Higgs Boson Physics



Split School of High Energy Physics September 14 – 18, 2015

Part 1 – How did we find it?

And how sure are we about it ...



Part 2 – What did we really find? And how do we know that ...



The Higgs boson



Standard Model of FUNDAMENTAL PARTICLES AND INTERACTIONS

The Standard Model summarizes the current knowledge in Particle Physics. It is the guantum theory that includes the theory of strong interactions (guantum chromodynamics or QCD) and the unified theory of weak and electromagnetic interactions (electroweak). Gravity is included on this chart because it is one of the fundamental interactions even though not part of the "Standard Model."

FERMIONS

matter constituents spin = 1/2, 3/2, 5/2, ...

Leptons spin = 1/2			Quarks spin = 1/2		
Flavor	Mass GeV/c ²	Electric charge	Flavor	Approx. Mass GeV/c ²	Electric charge
ν_{e} electron neutrino	<1×10 ⁻⁸	0	U up	0.003	2/3
e electron	0.000511	-1	d down	0.006	-1/3
$ u_{\mu}^{\mu}$ muon neutrino	<0.0002	0	C charm	1.3	2/3
$oldsymbol{\mu}$ muon	0.106	-1	S strange	0.1	-1/3
$ u_{ au}^{ ext{ tau}}_{ ext{ neutrino}}$	<0.02	0	t top	175	2/3
$oldsymbol{ au}$ tau	1.7771	-1	b bottom	4.3	-1/3

Spin is the intrinsic angular momentum of particles. Spin is given in units of h, which is the quantum unit of angular momentum, where $h = h/2\pi = 6.58 \times 10^{-25}$ GeV s = 1.05x10⁻³⁴ J s.

Electric charges are given in units of the proton's charge. In SI units the electric charge of the proton is 1.60×10⁻¹⁹ coulombs.

The energy unit of particle physics is the electronvolt (eV), the energy gained by one elec- $E = mc^2$, where 1 GeV = 10⁹ eV = 1.60×10⁻¹⁰ joule. The mass of the proton is 0.938 GeV/c² = 1.67×10⁻²⁷ kg. tron in crossing a potential difference of one volt. Masses are given in GeV/c² (remember

Baryons qqq and Antibaryons qqq Baryons are fermionic hadrons. There are about 120 types of baryons.							
Symbol	Symbol Name Quark Celectric Mass GeV/c ² Spin						
р	proton	uud	1	0.938	1/2		
p	anti- proton	ūūd	-1	0.938	1/2		
n	neutron	udd	0	0.940	1/2		
Λ	lambda	uds	0	1.116	1/2		
Ω-	omega	SSS	-1	1.672	3/2		

Matter and Antimatter

For every particle type there is a corresponding antiparticle type, denoted by a bar over the particle symbol (unless + or - charge is shown). Particle and antiparticle have identical mass and spin but opposite charges. Some electrically neutral bosons (e.g., Z^0 , γ , and $\eta_c = c\overline{c}$, but not $K^0 = d\bar{s}$) are their own antiparticles.

Figures

These diagrams are an artist's conception of physical processes. They are not exact and have no meaningful scale. Green shaded areas represent the cloud of gluons or the gluon field, and red lines the quark paths.



size and the entire atom would be about 10 km across.

PROPERTIES OF THE INTERACTIONS

Interaction Property		Gravitational	Weak	Electromagnetic	Str	ong
		Gravitational	(Electr	(Electroweak)		Residual
Acts on:		Mass – Energy	Flavor	Electric Charge	Color Charge	See Residual Strong Interaction Note
Particles experienc	ing:	All	Quarks, Leptons	Electrically charged	Quarks, Gluons	Hadrons
Particles mediatin	g:	Graviton (not yet observed)	W+ W- Z ⁰	γ	Gluons	Mesons
Strength relative to electromag	10 ^{−18} m	10 ⁻⁴¹	0.8	1	25	Not applicable
for two u quarks at:	3×10 ^{−17} m	10 ⁻⁴¹	10 ⁻⁴	1	60	to quarks
for two protons in nuclei	us	10 ⁻³⁶	10 ⁻⁷	1	Not applicable to hadrons	20

B

B

If the protons and neutrons in this picture were 10 cm across then the quarks and electrons would be less than 0.1 mm in

BOSONS spin = 0, 1, 2, ...

Unified Electroweak spin = 1			
Name	Mass GeV/c ²	Electric charge	
γ photon	0	0	
W-	80.4	-1	
W+	80.4	+1	
Z ⁰	91.187	0	

force carriers

roweak	spin = 1	Strong	(color)	
Mass	Electric	Name	Ma	
GeV/c ²	charge		GeV	
0	0	g gluon	0	
80.4	-1	Color Charge		
80.4	+1	Each quark carries one o		

of three types of lled "color charge." These charges have nothing to do with the colors of visible light. There are eight possible types of color charge for gluons. Just as electri-

ass V/c^2 Electric

charge

0

cally-charged particles interact by exchanging photons, in strong interactions color-charged particles interact by exchanging gluons. Leptons, photons, and W and Z bosons have no strong interactions and hence no color charge.

Quarks Confined in Mesons and Baryons

One cannot isolate guarks and gluons; they are confined in color-neutral particles called hadrons. This confinement (binding) results from multiple exchanges of gluons among the color-charged constituents. As color-charged particles (quarks and gluons) move apart, the energy in the color-force field between them increases. This energy eventually is converted into additional guark-antiguark pairs (see figure below). The guarks and antiguarks then combine into hadrons; these are the particles seen to emerge. Two types of hadrons have been observed in nature: mesons gg and baryons ggg.

Residual Strong Interaction

The strong binding of color-neutral protons and neutrons to form nuclei is due to residual strong interactions between their color-charged constituents. It is similar to the residual electrical interaction that binds electrically neutral atoms to form molecules. It can also be viewed as the exchange of mesons between the hadrons.

	Mesons qq̄ Mesons are bosonic hadrons. There are about 140 types of mesons.				
Symbol Name Quark Content Electric Mass GeV/c ² Spin					
π^+	pion	ud	+1	0.140	0
K⁻	kaon	sū	-1	0.494	0
ρ^+	rho	ud	+1	0.770	1
B ⁰	B-zero	db	0	5.279	0
η_{c}	eta-c	ςΣ	0	2 .980	0

The Particle Adventure

Visit the award-winning web feature The Particle Adventure at http://ParticleAdventure.org

This chart has been made possible by the generous support of: U.S. Department of Energy U.S. National Science Foundation

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Stanford Linear Accelerator Center American Physical Society, Division of Particles and Fields BURLE INDUSTRIES, INC.

©2000 Contemporary Physics Education Project. CPEP is a non-profit organiza-tion of teachers, physicists, and educators. Send mail to: CPEP, MS 50-308, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 94720. For information on charts, text materials, hands-on classroom activities, and workshops, see:

http://CPEPweb.org

	C C	- 0 0	
			17
			b
-	- e+	γ	uon d
2			giu
		> or	5
3	e-	7	Tiel -

oto- - P0 P0

 $n \rightarrow p e^- \overline{\nu}_o$

A neutron decays to a proton, an electron.

and an antineutrino via a virtual (mediating) W boson. This is neutron ß decay.

An electron and positron antielectron) colliding at high energy can annihilate to produce B⁰ and B⁰ mesons via a virtual Z boson or a virtual photon



produce various hadrons plus very high mass particles such as Z bosons. Events such as this one are rare but can yield vital clues to the structure of matter

THE MYSTERY OF MASS



quarks leptons

The reason **could** be the existence of a new particle, called the **"Higgs boson"**

Standard model and Higgs boson

- The Standard Model SU(3)×SU(2)×U(1) of electroweak and strong interactions extermly well confirmed and measured since ~ 30 years
 - But still incomplete and non-satisfactory ...
- All particles acquire mass by interaction with scalar particle → Higgs boson
- Higgs mechanism

Brout, Englert, Guralnik, Hagen, Higgs, Kibble (1964)



The Higgs mechanism



Basic Higgs Properties

- Spin = 0 particle
- Giving masses to all particles
 - Coupling proportional to particle masses



- Self interaction
 - Self interacting term in the Lagrangian



Higgs mass: theoretical constraints

 $M_{\rm H}[{\rm GeV}]$

Problem: Higgs mass is free parameter

 $M_H^2 = 2\lambda v^2 \quad \dots \quad v = 246 \text{ GeV}$

- > Theoretical constraints
 - Unitarity (no probabilities > 1)

 $M_{\rm H}\,{<}\,700\,{-}\,800\,{\rm GeV}$

Triviality

(Higgs self coupling remains finite)

$$M_H^2 < \frac{4\pi v^2}{3\ln(\Lambda/v)}$$

Stability (of vacuum)

$$M_{\scriptscriptstyle H}^{\,2} > \frac{4m_Z^4}{\pi^2 v^2} \ln(\Lambda/v)$$



SM Higgs before LHC



- Direct searches
 - LEP: M_H > 114.4 GeV
 - Tevatron: 156 GeV < M_H < 176 GeV
- Indirect limits from electroweak searches
 - M_{H} = 96⁺³¹-24 GeV, M_{H} < 169 GeV at 95% CL (standard fit)
 - M_H= 120⁺¹²-5 GeV ,M_H<143 GeV at 95% CL (including direct searches)
- SUSY prefers light Higgs boson (<~140 GeV)
 - 11



Higgs search at LHC

Some of the physicists' jargon

• Cross section (σ)

- A measure of 'frequency' of the physical process
- Units: barns (10⁻²⁸ m²)
 - Typical values: femtobarns (fb), picobarns (pb)

• Luminosity (L)

- Or instantenous luminosity
- A measure of collisions 'frequency'
 - Typical at LHC: L = 10³⁴ cm⁻²s⁻¹

• Integrated luminosity ($\mathcal{L} = \int Ldt$)

- A measure of number of accumulated collisions after a certain time period
- Units: (cross section)⁻¹ E.g. 1 fb⁻¹ = 1000 pb⁻¹
 - Tipical at LHC: few fb⁻¹

• Number of events (N)

- Number of (expected) events (N) after a certain time of running

$$\mathsf{N} = \sigma \cdot \mathcal{L}$$

Collisions in LHC



Proton - Proton Protons/bunch Beam energy Luminosity

Bunch collision frequency

Proton collision frequency

1300 bunches/beam 10¹¹ 4 TeV (7x10¹² eV) 10³⁴ cm⁻² s⁻¹

20 MHz

10 ⁷- 10 ⁹Hz

"New physics" frequency .00001 Hz

Event selection: 1 u 10 000 000 000 000



interaction vertex

High luminosity: multiple interactions PILE-UP



CMS Experiment at LHC, CERM Data recorded: Mon May 28-01:16:20 2012 CE3T Run/Event: 195099/ 35438125 Lumi section: 65 Orbit/Crossing: 16992111 (2295

50

÷È,

Our main goal

Finding something new

But: – New things are very rare – Otherwise someone else would have found them already

Data analysis – general picture



- confidence limits
- hypothesis tests



 $N \sim 10^9$ events per year i. e. electrons, photons, jets,

muons ...

Measured



Measured + predicted/expected



Measurements vs predictions

Predictions/Simulation

Event Generation

Tools: MC generators (PYTHIA, ...)

Output: final state particles

Detector simulation

Tools: MC simulators (GEANT)

Output: simulated detector response

Measurements



Output: new knowledge (parameter/interval estimates, hypothesis tests, article, talks ...)

Data collected by CMS

CMS Integrated Luminosity, pp



When we see something interesting

- Is it something new?
- Or it can be explain with what we already know?
- Use the Occam's razor as a guideline



Sure there are simpler ways to catch that bird, but the complicated ones kick ass.

What does it mean for our instruments?

- We need to calibrate them
 - By mesuring something we know very well
- Then when we see someting interesting → chances that it is something new are much larger
 - With respect to chances that it's a simple bug $\ensuremath{\textcircled{\odot}}$
- Be aware:
 - we will never be **absolutely** sure
 - But we can be **pretty** sure
 - What does the "pretty" really mean?

Standard candle



If we know how luminous the candle is and how bright it appears we can calculate how far away it is

Type Ia Super Novae as standard candles



days

From D. Denegri

Standard candle at LHC





CMS Experiment at LHC, CERN Run 133877, Event 28405693 Lumi section: 387 Sat Apr 24 2010, 14:00:54 CEST

Electrons $p_T = 34.0, 31.9 \text{ GeV/c}$ Inv. mass = 91.2 GeV/c²



Re-discovery of the Standard Model



CMS



Status of the Higgs

201

Standard Model: Precision Jets, W, and γ^*/Z









800 WW→lvlv events observed in 2011, ±10% xsec precision. Constrains Higgs backgrounds and anomalous trilinear electroweak couplings



lop mass

CMS average: 172.6 ± 0.4 ± 1.2 GeV



Underlying Event expected to be small

Cross-check: 44660

After applying the calibration, we obtain a top quark mass from 44660 events of $m_t = 172.6 \pm$ 0.2 (stat) ± 1.8 (syst) GeV which confirms the result obtained in the main analysis.



Standard Model at 7 TeV 2010-2012



J. Incandela for the CMS COLLABORATION The Status of the Higgs Search July 4th 2012

Higgs boson at LHC



Higgs boson: decay channels



m _∺ , GeV	WW→2l2v	ZZ→4I	γγ
120	127	1.5	43
150	390	4.6	16
300	89	3.8	0.04

Decay channel	Mass region
$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	110-150
$H \rightarrow bb$	110-135
Н → тт	110-140
H →WW →2l 2v	110-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$	110-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2\tau$	180-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2j$	226-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2v$	250-600

Most sensitive channels for low mass Higgs: $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow I^-\nu I^+\nu$, $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow I^-I^+I^-I^+$


CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN Data recorded: 2012-May-27 23:35:47.271030 GMT

Run/Event: 195099 / 137440354

$H \xrightarrow{} ZZ \xrightarrow{} l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$

Signal vs background(s)

- **Signal**: an event coming from the physical process under study
 - Example: $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-$
- Background: any other event
 - 'Trivial' backgrounds are all other backgrounds and are easily *rejected* by a simple requirement of having at least 4 electrons in the final state
 - 'Dangerous' background is any other process giving at least 4 electrons in the final state



Signal: $pp \rightarrow H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4e$



'Dangerous' background: pp→ZZ→4e



Signal vs backgrounds



40

How to detect particles?



$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ event selection



- Best 4I candidate choice
 - Two lepton pairs of opposite charge, p_T> 20, 10, 7, 5 GeV
 - Z hypothesis for at least on pair
 - $M_{4l} > 100 \text{ GeV}$
- Background rejection with isolation and impact parameter requirement
- M_{II}>12 GeV requirement on 2nd lepton pair
 - Event distribution consistent with SM ZZ*
- Event count (example from 2011):
 - data: 72, expected background: 67.1±6.0
 - 13 events below M_H =160, 9.5±1.3 expected

Measured



Measured + predicted/expected



Background models

a.u.

Events / 10

- Irreducible background ZZ \rightarrow 41 ^{o.4}
 - Estimated using simulation
 - Phenomenological shape models
 - Corrected for data/simulation scale
- Reducible background
 - Estimated from data
 - Measure probabilities for lepton misidentification
 - Extrapolate from control samples enriched with mis-identified leptons
 - Validation in data using "wrong flavors & charges" events
 - Total uncertainty ~50%



Situation in 2011



Accepting or rejecting theories?

• Image we make an experiment and obtain data

- Theory 1 agrees with data
- Theory 2 also agrees
- Theory 3 also agrees
- ...
- Theory n also agrees
- Than the statement that "Theory 1 is acceptable" is not so strong
 - Not wrong either
- But imagine this scenario
 - Theory 1 gives precise prediction
 - Experiment doesn't quite agree with that prediction
 - Than the statement "Theory 1 is not acceptable" is rather strong
 - Therefore we better reject than accept theories

Search for SM Higgs: limits from 2011



Two options for 2012

There's nothing new under the Sun Or is there?



Blind analysis



$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ events distribution



The day we looked at signal region 14 June 2012 @ 18h00



$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ events distribution



$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ events distribution



 $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ results



From PDG: "... p-value is defined as the probability to find t in the region of equal and lesser compatibility with H_0 than the level of compatibility observed with actual data ..."

$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ results



When do we claim a discovery?

- Claiming discovery is a serious issue
 - It should stay with us for a long long time (if not forever \odot)
- So, when do we claim a discovery?
 - When we are sure.
 - But we are never sure!
 - That's right, but we can be pretty sure \odot
 - 'Pretty' is not a scientific term!?
 - That's right, therefore we addopted some kind of a convention:
 - Make a hypothesis that the result you obtain is due to the fluctuation of the background (i.e. already know processes)
 - Calculate a probability for that hypothesis
 - Reject the hypothesis if that probability is smaller than 0.000000287 (significance > 5)

Converting p-values to significances

	p-value	Zscore / significance	Area ±nƠ	Probability of outcome: 1 in
	0.159	1	0.68268949	3.15
	0.023	2	0.95449974	22.0
	1.35E-03	3	0.99730020	370
	3.17E-05	4	0.99993666	15,787
	2.87E-07	5	0.99999943	1,744,278
Probability of Cases in portions of the curve ≈ 0.0013 ≈ 0.0214 ≈ 0.1359 ≈ 0.3413 ≈ 0.3413 ≈ 0.1359 ≈ 0.0214 ≈ 0.0000				≈ 0.1359 ≈ 0.0214 ≈ 0.0013
St	andard Deviations From The Mean	-3σ -2σ -1 -2σ	-1σ 0 +1 15.9% 50% 84.1	σ +2σ +3σ +4σ
	Z Scores -4.0	-3.0 -2.0	-1.0 0 +1.	.0 +2.0 +3.0 +4.0





ATLAS $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow l^{-}l^{+}l^{-}l^{+}$ results





Search for light higgs: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

(c) CERN. All rights reserved.

$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: Search for a narrow mass peak with two isolated high E_T photons



$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$: Search for a narrow mass peak with two isolated high E_T photons



$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ selection

- Background: direct QCD photons and fake photons from jets
- p_T> (40,30) GeV for two photon candidates
- Photon identification based on categories based on η_{γ} i conversion probability:
 - Isolation
 - Shape of electromagnetic calorimeter to reject $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$
 - Electron veto



 $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



p-value



Combination and interpretation



A new Higgs-like boson: CMS



Significance = $5\sigma @ 125.5 \text{ GeV}$

A new Higgs-like boson: ATLAS





Significance = $5.9\sigma @ 126.5 \text{ GeV}$






Observation of a new boson at a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC

The CMS Collaboration*

Abstract

Results are presented from searches for the standard model Higgs boson in protonproton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV in the CMS experiment at the LHC, using data samples corresponding to integrated luminosities of up to 5.1 fb⁻¹ at 7 TeV and 5.3 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV. The search is performed in five decay modes: $\gamma\gamma$, ZZ, WW, $\tau^+\tau^-$, and bb. An excess of events is observed above the expected background, a local significance of 5.0 standard deviations, at a mass near 125 GeV, signalling the production of a new particle. The expected significance for a standard model Higgs boson of that mass is 5.8 standard deviations. The excess is most significant in the two decay modes with the best mass resolution, $\gamma\gamma$ and ZZ; a fit to these signals gives a mass of 125.3 \pm 0.4 (stat.) \pm 0.5 (syst.) GeV. The decay to two photons indicates that the new particle is a boson with spin different from one.

This paper is dedicated to the memory of our colleagues who worked on CMS but have since passed away.

In recognition of their many contributions to the achievement of this observation.

31

[hep-ex]

arXiv:1207.7214v1

Observation of a New Particle in the Search for the Standard Model Higgs Boson with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC

The ATLAS Collaboration

Abstract

A search for the Standard Model Higgs boson in proton-proton collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC is presented. The datasets used correspond to integrated luminosities of approximately 4.8 fb⁻¹ collected at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in 2011 and 5.8 fb⁻¹ at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in 2012. Individual searches in the channels $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$, $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ and $H \rightarrow WW^{(*)} \rightarrow e\nu\mu\nu$ in the 8 TeV data are combined with previously published results of searches for $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)}$, $WW^{(*)}$, bb and $\tau^+\tau^-$ in the 7 TeV data and results from improved analyses of the $H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\ell$ and $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ channels in the 7 TeV data. Clear evidence for the production of a neutral boson with a measured mass of 126.0 ± 0.4 (stat) ± 0.4 (sys) GeV is presented. This observation, which has a significance of 5.9 standard deviations, corresponding to a background fluctuation probability of 1.7×10^{-9} , is compatible with the production and decay of the Standard Model Higgs boson.

Evolution of the excess with time



Energy-scale systematics not included

This was Part 1 - How did we find it?



Part 2 – What did we really find? And how do we know that ...



ATLAS - Final results with Run I data





CMS - Final results with Run I data







Measuring the properties

- What kind of objects did we find?
 - Is this the Higgs boson of the Standard Model?
 - Or something else ...
- Remember from the first part of this lecture: we better reject then accept hypotheses
- Let's call this particle "X particle", for the moment
- Tests:
 - What is the mass of X?
 - Is X produced according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 - Does X decay according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 - Does X couple to other particles according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 - What is the spin and parity of X?

Higgs boson at LHC



Higgs boson: decay channels



m _∺ , GeV	WW→2l2v	ZZ→4I	γγ
120	127	1.5	43
150	390	4.6	16
300	89	3.8	0.04

Decay channel	Mass region
$H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	110-150
$H \rightarrow bb$	110-135
Н → тт	110-140
H →WW →2l 2v	110-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$	110-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2\tau$	180-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2j$	226-600
$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 2I2v$	250-600

Most sensitive channels for low mass Higgs: $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ $H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow I^-\nu I^+\nu$, $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow I^-I^+I^-I^+$

Main channels



$H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4l$





Search for light higgs: $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$

c) CERN. All rights reserved.

 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$



ATLAS H $\rightarrow \gamma\gamma$



$H \rightarrow WW \rightarrow 2I_{2v}$

- Signal characteristics:
 - Only 2 opposite sign, isolated leptons
 - significant $ME_T \rightarrow No$ mass peak
 - No b-jets, no additional low $P_T \mu$
 - With additional 0, 1 or 2 jets (VBF)
 - Small $\Delta \Phi (l^+l^-) \leftarrow$ Higgs scalarity





Η→ττ



Search $H \rightarrow \tau \tau$ in two categories:

- VBF: 2 jets (P_T >30 GeV), $\Delta \eta_{jj}$ >3.5 $\eta_1 \bullet \eta_2$ <0, M_{ii} > 350 GeV
- Non-VBF: \leq 1jet , or 2j failing VBF
- In $\tau_e + \tau_h$, $\tau_\mu + \tau_h$, $\tau_e + \tau_\mu$ final states
- Background: top, EWK, $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ (irreducible)

VH→lvbb

Events / 0.5 $gg \rightarrow H \rightarrow bb$ and VBF are ATLAS Data 2012 10⁷ dominant production VH(bb) (µ=1.0) $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV} \int Ldt = 20.3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ Diboson modes tŦ 10⁶ Single top but overwhelmed by Multijet 10⁵ W+hf enormous QCD di-jet W+cl background W+I 10⁴ Z+hf Z+cl Best option: Z+I 10³ $qq \rightarrow VH; H \rightarrow bb$ 10² Use VH topology : $\Delta \Phi(V,H) > 3$ 10 $- P_{T}(V) > 100-160 \text{ GeV}$ 1 (boosted W/Z) Tight b-tagging & MET Pull (stat.) 2 quality 0 Backgrounds estimated from -2F--2.5-2 -1.5 -1 -0.5 0.5 control data -3.5 з $\log_{10}(S/B)$

٠

•

What is the mass of X?

Measure the mass through high precision channels $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$



 $m_X = 125.36 \pm 0.37 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.18 \text{ (syst)} \text{ GeV} m_X = 125.03 \pm \frac{0.26}{0.27} \text{ (stat)} \pm \frac{0.13}{0.15} \text{ (syst)} \text{ GeV}$



How does X decay?







SIVI

How is **X** produced?



How does X couple to other particles?



What is the spin and parity of X?

 Use kinematical discriminant (KD) to discriminate against different spin-parity J^P) models

$$\mathcal{D}_{J^P} = \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SM}}}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SM}} + \mathcal{P}_{J^P}} = \left[1 + \frac{\mathcal{P}_{J^P}(m_1, m_2, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4\ell})}{\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{SM}}(m_1, m_2, \vec{\Omega} | m_{4\ell})}\right]^{-1}$$



JP	Model	
0+	SM Higgs boson	
0-	Pseudoscalar	
0+ _h	SM with higher dim. operators	
1-	Vector	
1+	Axial vector	
2+ _{gg}	$gg \rightarrow graviton$	
2+ _{qq}	$qq \rightarrow graviton$	

Scalar (SM) vs pseudoscalar





Scalar (SM) or pseudoscalar



Scalar (SM) or pseudoscalar



Scalar (SM) vs pseudoscalar



Some other hypotheses





What is the spin and parity of X?



104

Measuring the width



Measuring the width



Summary

- What is the mass of X?
 Arround 125 GeV
- Is X produced according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 Yes
- Does X decay according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 Yes
- Does X couple to other particles according to the SM Higgs boson predictions?
 - Yes
- What are the spin and parity of **X**?
 - We excluded (almost) all the tested options except the SM one
- So, what is this particle?
 - Very probably the Higgs boson of the Standard Model

Evolution of language

• February 2012

- Combined results of searches for the standard model Higgs boson in pp collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 TeV
- By CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B710 (2012) 26-48
- July 2012
 - Observation of a new boson with a mass of 125 GeV with the CMS experiment at the LHC
 - By CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B716 (2012) 30-61

• December 2012

- Study of the Mass and Spin-Parity of the Higgs Boson Candidate Via Its Decays to Z Boson Pairs
- By CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 081803

• July 2013

- Measurements of Higgs boson production and couplings in diboson final states with the ATLAS detector at the LHC
- By ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B 726 (2013) 88

• March 2015

- Combined Measurement of the Higgs Boson Mass in pp Collisions at sqrt(s) = 7 and 8 TeV with the ATLAS and CMS Experiments
- By ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Phys.Rev.Lett. 114 (2015) 191803


Current Higgs questions

- It is a scalar (0⁺) particle, with couplings [to bosons AND fermions] that are proportional to mass.
 - It is fully consistent with being the SM Higgs.
- Is it the very Higgs of the Standard Model?
- Is it really an elementary particle or a composite one?
- Is it the first supersymmetric particle? Perhaps the first of five Higgs bosons?
- Is it natural?
- Does it couple to Dark Matter as well?
- Is it [and the vacuum it pops from] stable?
- Is it related to the matter-antimatter asymmetry?
- Is it related to inflation and the Universe-at-large?

CMS and ATLAS collaborations

