# Reviewer Recognition Program



Elsevier and Innovations in Peer Review



Bahar Mehmani

3 September 2015





- Reviewer Page
- Publishing Peer Review Report
- Cross-Review





# **Reviewer Page**






SHARE THIS PROFILE














2012





#### My Elsevier Reviews Profile BETA


Peer review is the cornerstone of science, and Elsevier is dedicated to supporting and recognizing our journals' reviewers. *My Elsevier Reviews Profile* aims to create a standard way of recording and acknowledging your efforts.

Claim your non-Elsevier journal reviews and create your public profile

### Welcome AJE Language Editor

ees@journalexperts.com

We thank you for your contributions to the peer review process. If you are interested in reviewing for more titles, please let us know.



# My Elsevier Reviews Profile

# **Objective**

Make peer review a measurable research output

# **Current Status**

- +400K review profiles
- +700 Elsevier Journals
- Thousands of Volunteers

#### **Advisors:**

Prof. Dr. Lutz Prechelt

Dr. Simon Gosling



#### Endocrinology.

View details for your review record and to claim your free certificate and reviewer badge.

You are 3 review(s) from becoming an Outstanding Reviewer.

View Detail...

## Volunteer for review

## Connect with my

✓ ORCID



▼ Scopus ID

Lookup in Scopus

□ I have neither ORCiD nor Scopus ID

Continue

ELSEVIER					
The Elsevier journal	ls I am interested in reviewing for are:				
Select journal(s)	·				
The reasons I have	chosen these journals are: *				
	ELSEVIER				
I am available: *  Monthly	Thank you!  Your preferences will be communicated to the editor of the journal(s) you listed. They will contact you if suitable reviewing opportunities arise.				
<ul><li>Quarterly</li><li>Annually</li><li>On a case by case</li></ul>	se basis				
My 5 latest publishe	ed articles: *				
My professional hor	ne page:				

Submit

## Which reviewers do you want to nominate?

Show nominees (0) | Show just outstanding reviewers (9) | Reset

Range going back 5

Recognized Reviewers are those who have completed a review for this journal within the last two years. Outstanding Reviewers are those who belong to the top 10th percentile in terms of the number of reviews completed for this journal within two years.

Show 10 reviewers	Search:						
Showing 1 to 10 of 350 reviewers	Previous	1 2	3		4	5	Next

REVIEWER	EMAIL ADDRESS	NR OF REVIEW	VS FECOGNITIONS	
Jagdish Butany	jagdish.butany@uhn.on.ca	53	Recognized reviewer	Add +
Avrum Gotlieb	avrum.gotlieb@utoronto.ca	51	Recognized reviewer	Add +
James Stone	jrstone@partners.org	49	Outstanding reviewer	Add +
L. Maximilian Buja	l.maximilian.buja@uth.tmc.edu	47	Recognized reviewer	Add +
Dylan Miller	dylan.miller@imail.org	47	Recognized reviewer	Add +
Marc Halushka	mhalush1@jhmi.edu	42	Outstanding reviewer	Add +
Richard Mitchell	rmitchell@rics.bwh.harvard.edu	41	Recognized reviewer	Add +
Vincenzo Arena	vincenzo.arena@rm.unicatt.it	40	Outstanding reviewer	Add +
Silvio Litovsky	slitovsk@uab.edu	40	Recognized reviewer	Add +
Frederick Schoen	fschoen@partners.org	40	Recognized reviewer	Add +
ilters:				Submit nominees (0)

Submit nominees (0)



Please find an overview of your review recognitions below.





#### Recognized reviewer - The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry Achieved: March 2015

— You are awarded this status because you completed at least one manuscript review in the last two years for **The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry**. View details for your review record and to claim your free certificate and reviewer badge.

View Detail...



Outstanding reviewer - Dental Materials Achieved: October 2014

# Create your Reviewer Page



#### Recognized reviewer - Geoderma Achieved: March 2014

You are awarded this status because you completed at least one manuscript review in the last two years for
 View details for your review record and to claim your free certificate and reviewer badge.

View Detail...

eview for other Elsevier journals

Claim your non-Elsevier journal reviews and create your public profile





# **Publishing Peer Review Reports**

## How?

- Only Peer Reviews of Published articles
- Only those approved by the Editor
- Reviewer's consent to reveal his/her name

# Peer review reports as articles on Science Direct



# Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Volume 4, Issue 1, March 2015, Pages 58-63



Open Access

## Long-term results of open repair of popliteal artery aneurysm

M.U. Wagenhäuser ♣ ' ➡, K.B. Herma ' ➡, T.A. Sagban ', ➡, P. Dueppers ', ➡, H. Schelzig ', ➡, M. Duran '. ➡

Show more

doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2015.01.005

Get rights and content

Under a Creative Commons license

#### Referred to by

## Peer review report 3 on Long-term Results of Open repair of Popliteal Artery Aneurysm

Annals of Medicine and Surgery, Volume 4, Supplement 1, January-December 2015, Page 1

🔁 PDF (101 K)

D : 10 I 1 D I 10 : 10 D I 14



#### Annals of Medicine and Surgery

Volume 4, Supplement 1, January-December 2015, Pages 1

Peer Review Report 2015



Open Access

Peer review report

#### Peer review report 3 on Long-term Results of Open repair of Popliteal

**Artery Aneurysm** 

Available online 30 March 2015

Freely available

Show less

doi:10.1016/j.amsu.2015.02.003

Separate DOI

Interlinked to the article

Get rights and content

Refers To

M.U. Wagenhäuser, K.B. Herma, T.A. Sagban, P. Dueppers, H. Schelzig, M. Duran

Long-term results of open repair of popliteal artery aneurysm

Annals of Medicine and Su.

**DF** (928 K)

Annals of Medicine and Surgery 4 (2015) S1



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

journal homepage: www.annalsjournal.com



Peer review report

**Typeset** 


Peer review report 3 on Long-term Results of Open repair of Popliteal Artery Aneurysm

[H1]Original Submission

The outcome of this paper is not accordance with the title. The descriptions of the long-term results are few and weak. The operation technique could be better described in details. The anatomic

[H2]Recommendation

# **Survey Results**







# **Cross-Review**

# What is Cross-Review?

Once all review reports on a manuscript are submitted, handling editor can choose to invite reviewers for a discussion. This approach has proven to be very efficient mostly in the case of conflicting review reports.

Editor invites reviewers to a forum where all review reports are anonymized.

Editor moderate s the discussion and reviewers can comment on each others report. Editor informs author about the summary of the discussion and the final decision.

Reviewers get notificatio n about the final decision.

# Thank you!

#### **My Elsevier Reviews Profile**

#### You are a Recognized Reviewer!

>> Access My Elsevier Reviews Profile

We recognize the important contribution all reviewers make to Elsevier's journals. Based on your contribution, we have awarded you Recognized Reviewer Status.



**Fmails** are

sent to

reviewers

#### **My Latest Review**

General and Comparative Endocrinology September 2014

You are awarded this status as you have completed at least one manuscript review in the last two years for *General and Comparative Endocrinology*.

My Elsevier Reviews Profile BETA is a personalized page where you can:

- Track your reviewer status
- Claim reviewer discounts
- Collect your certificate
- Share your reviewer record.

you can:

you can:

personal
profile page

**ACCESS YOUR PROFILE** 

# Publishing peer review reports for 5 journals

- Annals of Medicine and Surgery
- International Journal of Surgery
- Engineering Fracture Mechanics
- Journal of Hydrology
- Agricultural and Forest Meteorology