Documentation status, automatic build tests with CDash and daily tagging strategies

> Dario Berzano ALICE Offline - CERN

ALICE Offline Week - 19.03.2015

Documentation



Rationale



- AliRoot code had diverse documentation formats:
 - Official: THtml
 - Some private parts: Doxygen was already used
 - Most code documented with non-specially formatted comments
- Why Doxygen
 - No ROOT required to generate doc
 - Has a cache: continuous incremental generation is fast
 - More robust

alidoc.cern.ch





- Generated and archived for every tag
- Every two hours: generated from master

Dario.Berzano@cern.ch - ALICE Offline Week - Documentation, CTest/CDash, automatic tagging strategies



Documentation conventions



- Documentation conventions are available here:
 - https://dberzano.github.io/alice/doxygen
- What is covered:
 - Class and macro general description
 - Function comments
 - Commenting class data members
- Markup language used: Markdown much easier than HTML
- If you write a new class, please document it from the beginning



Converting from THtml to Doxygen



- We thought we could run a script and convert it in one go
- ...but AliRoot did not follow clear conventions: automation impossible
- Solution: an artificial intelligence doing the work for us
- Other solution: a script doing most of the work for you
 - We wrote the script and its doc
 - It does most of the work
 - You check its output and make minor adjustments
 - When happy you commit







- Python script *helping** with the conversion
- Based on libclang's Python bindings
- *Must be used carefully...
 - Lots of dirty coding
 - Always check the diff before committing!
 - Very sensitive to libclang's version: Python API changes frequently
- ...but at the end of the day it saves from lots of manual editing
- How to use it: https://dberzano.github.io/alice/doxygen/ #convert_existing_documentation_to_doxygen
- Helping ROOT converting their doc to Doxygen



Images from ROOT macros



- THtml supports on the fly image generation via macros
 - Macro block: BEGIN_MACRO ... END_MACRO
 - Internally, macro is run and the pad is printed to an image file
- In ALICE we want to generate doc without having ROOT
 - Macro extracted to .C file and substituted with Markdown tag:

![Picture from ROOT macro](TBlah_h_foobar.png)

- Both image and source macro committed
- Manually exec a helper script to regenerate image

CERN

Images from ROOT macros





- Images are PNG files committed under <yourdir>/imgdoc
- Utility to (re)generate images from ROOT macros

Dario.Berzano@cern.ch - ALICE Offline Week - Documentation, CTest/CDash, automatic tagging strategies



LaTeX blocks



the binsize of the generated histogram, -1 means, that the maximal reasonable stepsize is used The actual work is done on the array.

$$f(x,\mu,\sigma) \Rightarrow S(t,\mu,\sigma) = \int_{-\infty}^{\mu+\iota\sigma} f(x,\mu,\sigma) dx / \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x,\mu,\sigma) dx$$

- Both THtml and Doxygen support LaTeX:
 - ROOT: BEGIN_LATEX ... END_LATEX
 - Doxygen: \f[... \f]
- Symbols like #sigma are replaced with the native \sigma
- Doxygen supports MathJax: no need to pre-render formulas!





- In order to avoid confusion, only the directories converted to Doxygen are considered when generating documentation
- When you are done, you must add the directory explicitly
 - This is explained here too: https://dberzano.github.io/alice/ doxygen/#adding_your_directories_and_images_to_doxygen
 - Probably you don't have the permissions to do that: open a JIRA ticket asking to add the directory to Doxygen



Check result before pushing



• Generating documentation is easy:

make doxygen

- Generates HTML files locally
- You can easily test it before pushing
- Only Graphviz and Doxygen required
- This is explained here: https://dberzano.github.io/alice/doxygen/ #run_doxygen



Doxygen, ROOT 5 and ROOT 6



• Inline data member comments in Doxygen can have two formats:

int a; ///< Description of a
int b; //!< Description of b</pre>

• This used to be compatible with ROOT 5's special comments:

int a; ///< ROOT non-transient and valid Doxygen
int b; //!< ROOT transient and valid Doxygen</pre>



ROOT 6 broke comment compatibility!



- ROOT 6 broke this compatibility (//! is OK, but //! < is not):
 - int a; ///< ROOT non-transient and valid Doxygen
 int b; //!< Valid Doxygen, but non-transient!
 int c; //! Ignored by Doxygen, ROOT transient</pre>
- ROOT 6 interprets //! < as a Doxygen-only marker and does not mark the variable as transient any longer!
- Issue opened to ROOT: https://sft.its.cern.ch/jira/browse/ROOT-7125
- Workaround: transient member comment in Doxygen, ROOT 5 and 6:
 - int a; //!<! Valid Doxygen, transient in both ROOT 5 and 6</pre>
- Notice the double exclamation mark!



Who writes the doc?



- AliRoot
 - TPC: we have partly done it as an example
 - Lots of images and formulas: it was a good testing
 - MUON: it was already in Doxygen format (thanks Ivana!)
 - STEER, ANALYSIS,...: to be done by the Offline (will do ASAP)
- AliPhysics
 - PWG members should do that
 - In general every user is responsible of her own code



Who writes the doc?





- We have a sensible documentation format and conventions
- Automatic generation and instructions are in place
- You need to convert and/or write the doc

Dario.Berzano@cern.ch - ALICE Offline Week - Documentation, CTest/CDash, automatic tagging strategies

CTest and CDash



A dashboard for AliRoot builds



Login All Dashboards Wednesday, March 18 2015 22:23:03 UTC 🔊										
	AliRoot									
Dashboard	Calendar Previous C	urrent	Ne	xt	Pr	oject				
No file changed as of Tuesday, March 17 2015 - 01:00 UTC 3 hours ago: 2 files changed by ppillot 7 hours ago: 1 file changed by morsch 13 hours ago: 1 file changed by shahoian 1 days ago: 1 file changed by rgrosso 1 days ago: 1 file changed by rgrosso										
Continuous										
Site	Build Name	Update	Configure		Build		Test			
		Files	Error	Warn	Error	Warn	Not Run	Fail	Pass	Build Time
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 17:49 UTC
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 15:42 UTC
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	1	0	0	0	0.27	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 15:28 UTC
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	2	0	0	0	27*27	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 14:28 UTC
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	12	0	0	0	0.,2	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 14:14 UTC
alinsure.cern.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	2	0	0	0	2*2	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 13:56 UTC
alinsure.cem.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 13:35 UTC
alinsure.cern.ch	∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8- ROOT-V5-34-18	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Mar 17, 2015 - 12:56 UTC
	A Libuntu-linux-x86_64-acc4 8-						-		-	Mar 17, 2015 - 11:07

- CTest and CDash: test your build and display results on a dashboard
- Dashboard: cdash.cern.ch







∆ Ubuntu-linux-x86_64-gcc4.8-ROOT-V5-34-18 2 0 0 0 27⁺²⁷

- Display status of builds on a shared dashboard
 - How many more or less warnings/errors with respect to last build
 - Build status on different platforms at a glance
 - Email notifications on new warnings/errors
- Also display results from custom unit and functional tests
- Independent from the build system:
 - CDash is only used to *display* results from CTest
 - CTest can be manually launched, or automated



What we are currently testing



- We are testing AliRoot Core only on Ubuntu 14.04 LTS
 - Continuous builds (incremental "make" after each single commit)
 - Nightly builds (from scratch)
 - Those are the "certified" builds



- In addition to Continuous and Nightly, there's an Experimental section
 - Everybody can launch a test: results published on cdash.cern.ch
 - Users/sites with special builds/needs can monitor and share results on the same dashboard
- Thanks to Mohammed for putting it in place!



What we plan to have



- AliRoot and AliPhysics
- Automatic Continuous and Nightly builds on several platforms
 - Ubuntu, OS X, SLC/CC, Fedora
- Automatic email notifications to the committer that broke a build
 - They immediately know what did not work and on what platform
- Add basic functional tests
- Display the results from builds coming from our next build system
 - See Giulio's presentation on Jenkins



You can test it now



- Works on AliRoot Core
- Create a config file containing the lines:

export LINUX_FLAVOUR=ubu1404 # arbitrary
export ROOTSYS=path_to_root
export BUILDDIR=tmp_dir_where_to_build_aliroot
export SOURCEDIR=aliroot_source_dir

• Launch it - from the AliRoot Core source:

./Dart.sh <path_to_config_file>

• Results published at the end on cdash.cern.ch under Experimental

Daily tagging strategies for AliPhysics (discussion)



Current status



- AliPhysics has daily tags
 - At 4pm every day a script builds and tags
 - Trains can be started with the new tags
- This is a porcelain system
 - Users rushing to catch the train may break all at the last minute
 - We are skipping daily tags if one user broke all: unfair for the others
- Recover from broken builds
 - Lots of daily tedious manual work to revert commits before 4pm
 - At least thanks to the split, problems are confined to AliPhysics



Lots of room for automating



- Rationale:
 - Do not skip daily tags
 - Do not involve manual retagging
- Two major proposals plus one (collected during weekly meetings):
 - Some form of automatic revert of broken commits
 - Do not tag at HEAD but at the closest compiling commit
 - Use pull requests and label them accordingly (with GitHub/GitLab)



Automatic revert



- Order-0 idea: if a commit is broken, git revert <commit>
- Problem: lots of commits in AliPhysics
 - Many of them don't compile: we would litter the repository with plenty of "revert commits"
 - It is not always possible to automatically revert a commit: there might be merge conflicts due to other commits!
 - Even if the revert applies, we might accidentally apply a revert *after* an appropriate fix has been committed already
- IMHO automatic revert is complicated and risky to implement



Verified branch



- Separate branch where no one can push: call it verified
- Every commit from master is ported to verified only if it compiles
 - No cherry-pick: verified and master share history (verified behind)



• If a commit breaks, stop porting new commits to verified



• If a fix commit appears, port it along with all the skipped ones:





Verified branch



• Advantages:

- We tag from verified: no skipping tags, no manual work
- Objections collected so far:
 - "Users will not know if their code made it to the daily tag" → check the Git history
 - "It's complicated for users to check the history" → send users an email with a clear résumé of today's commits
 - "Users will have to wait for commits to be checked" → incremental builds are fast, it's a little more wait for a good cause



Labeled pull requests



- Assumptions:
 - Using GitHub/GitLab
 - Every user has her own remote repository and pushes to it only
 - Users make a "pull request" to the main repository
- Automatic build system checks and labels pull requests:
 - *e.g.* build-osx-ok build-ubu1404-broken *etc.*
- Administrators of main repo know if the pull request cleanly compiles
 - They know if they can merge it safely
- CMS workflow see presentation from Giulio

