
# **Development of a GPU based PIC**


Martina Sofranac 9.10.2015




#### **CST PARTICLE STUDIO**



## Particle in Cell




#### CPU vs GPU: different design phylosophies




DRAM			

GPU

#### PIC on Multi GPU: Domain Decomposition




## **Domain Decomposition**




Domain is decomposed and cutting planes are along the direction in which the CPU-GPU transfers are minimal


#### Exchange of data








## **Pinned Vs Non Pinned Memory**




One allocation, transfer to GPU, copy back of data to CPU and deallocation


#### Number of transfers to break even




# **DRAM and Memory Coalescing**




# Extraction from dynamical set of data






#### Magnetron

Gap Filter






BWO




## **Privatization concept**



- Avoid contention by aggregating updates locally
- Requires storage resources to keep copies of data structures

# Kernel for extraction of particle data




Result of atomic Add on block counters -> in registers


Result of atomic Add on global counter -> in shared memory



#### **Current interpolation scheme**




#### Standard domain decomposition




# Load Balancing


Option 1: Dynamical Load Balanced Domain decomposition



Option 2: Load Balanced Splitting of Particles, whole domain on every GPU



#### Example 1: Results




Mesh Cells	Number of Particles	Simulation Time 1 GPU [s]	Simulation Time 2 GPUs [s]	Speed up factor
81 920	41 876	50	39	1.22
81 920	128 180	84	65	1.23
81 920	234 900	106	74	1.30
81 920	490 100	173	124	1.28
81 920	918 836	296	212	1.29
4 320 000	41 876	3326	1922	1.73

#### **Comparison of different architectures**

#### Simulation of klystron with 1.4 million of cells and 30 000 particles

CPU [s]	Fermi C2050 [s]	Kepler K40 [s]	2 Fermi Cards [s]
10 517	5 224	4 321	3 862



Number of cells [millions]	1 Fermi [s]	2 Fermi [s]	Speed up
2.4	6 458	4 473	1,44
3.1	8 369	5 542	1,51

#### Klystron example

152			147		
153	Solver Statistics:		148	Solver Statistics:	
154			149		
155	Hardware:		150		
156	Computer name:	gpuwork33-lin.cst.de	151		SPARGO
157			152		
158 159	hardware type:	2 GPU/Phi solvers, Kepler	153		12 1 GPU/Phi solver, Tesla 20
160	nardware cype:	2 GPO/PHI SOLVELS, REPLET	104	hardware type:	1 Gro/Phi Solver, lesia 20
161			156		
162	Number of mesh cells:	2781600	150		2781600
163	Number of emission points:	160	158		160
164	Surface mesh triangles:	54830	159	Surface mesh triangles:	54846
165	Simulation time (user specification):	: 0.1 us	160		: 0.1 us
166			161		
167	Excitation duration:	1.0000000e-001 us	162	Excitation duration:	1.0000000e-001 us
168	Calculation time for excitation:	0 s	163	Calculation time for excitation:	0 з
169	Number of calculated pulse widths:	0.0999991	164	Number of calculated pulse widths:	0.0999991
170	Simulated number of time steps:	95647	165	Simulated number of time steps:	95647
171	Maximum number of time steps:	95647	166	Maximum number of time steps:	95647
172	Time step width:		167	Time step width:	
173	without subcycles:	8.15918501e-007 us	168		8.15918541e-007 us
174	used:	1.04550131e-006 us	169		1.04550131e-006 us
175			170		
176	CAD preparation time: 1	3	171		
177	Matrix calculation time:	31 s 50 s		Matrix calculation time:	66 s 29 s
178	Solver setup time:			Solver setup time:	
179	Solver loop time:	3653 s		Solver loop time:	12455 s
180	Solver post processing time:	34 s	175	Solver post processing time:	66 s
181			170	Total solver time:	
182 183	Total solver time:	3769 s (= 1 h, 2 m, 49 s)	1//	Total solver time:	12617 s (= 3 h, 30 m, 17 s)
			170		
184 185			1/9 180		
	Adaptive port meshing time:	0		Adaptive port meshing time:	0 3
186 187	Total solver time (all cycles):	0 s 3780 s (= 1 h, 3 m, 0 s)	181	Total solver time (all cycles):	0 s 12618 s (= 3 h, 30 m, 18 s )
187	iotal solver time (all cycles):	5765 5 ( - 1 II, 5 III, 0 5 )	182		12010 S ( - 0 II, 30 III, 10 S )
188	Total simulation time:	3780 s (= 1 h, 3 m, 0 s)	183		 12618 s (= 3 h, 30 m, 18 s)
190	TOTAL SIMULATION DIME.	9/00 5 ( I H, 0 H, 0 5 )	185	Total Simulation Sinc.	
190					
	Solver finished at: 11:28 AM Tuesday, (	17. July 2015		Solver finished at: 06:41 PM Thursday,	
192	restrict and and a restrict and fullouting, it		188		
			100		

#### Conclusion: Kepler K80 versus Tesla C2050 gives speed up of 3.45

#### **THANK YOU!**