# Flavor Physics and CP Violation

### Stefania Gori

#### Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics

sgori@perimeterinstitute.ca

The European Schools of High-Energy Physics

Bansko, September 2-15, 2015

### Plan of the lectures

#### Lecture 1. Standard Model

What is flavor? Flavor structure of the Standard Model (SM) Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism Standard Model flavor problem Tests of the Standard Model flavor and CP structure

Lecture 2. Effective theories beyond the Standard Model

Why to go beyond the Standard Model. TeV scale New Physics (NP) The New Physics flavor problem Testing high scale New Physics using flavor The Minimal Flavor Violation (MFV) ansatz

#### Lecture 3. New Physics models

New Physics models and flavor implications Prospects for the discovery of New Physics using flavor transitions at high energy: Top flavor chaging decays Higgs flavor changing decays

## A few comments to start

**Disclaimer:** <u>Some topics I will not cover</u>:

- Lattice QCD
- Strong CP problem
- CP violation and baryogenesis

I will only mention:

• Neutrino flavor. Lectures of S. Petcov, September 12-14

I will cover only the main ideas.

For more details, there are reviews and books:

• Y. Nir, arXiv: hep-ph/0510413;

 O. Gedalia and G. Perez, TASI 2009 Lectures - Flavor Physics," arXiv:1005.3106 [hep-ph].

- Z. Ligeti, TASI Lectures on Flavor Physics," arXiv:1502.01372 [hep-ph].
- G. Branco, L. Lavoura and J. Silva, CP Violation, Clarendon Press, Oxford, UK (1999)

۰...

#### Please ask questions!

# What is flavor?

Physics of the three generation Standard Model (SM) quarks and leptons



## The SM Lagrangian

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{I} &= -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \\ + i\Psi \mathcal{B}\Psi + h.c. \\ + \Psi_i \mathcal{G}_i \mathcal{G}\Psi_j \Phi + h.c. \\ + |\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - \mathcal{V}(\Phi) \end{split}$$

# Flavor and the Proliferation of Parameters

+  $|D_{\mu}\Phi|^2 - V(\Phi)$ 

 $+\Psi_i Y_{ij} \Psi_j \Phi + h.c$ 

- Describes the gauge interactions of quarks and leptons

- Parametrized by **3 gauge couplings**  $g_1, g_2, g_3$ 

- Stable with respect to quantum corrections

- Highly symmetric

- Breaks electro-weak symmetry and gives mass to the *W*<sup>±</sup> and *Z* bosons

2 free parameters:
 Higgs mass
 Higgs vev

- Not stable with respect to quantum corrections

- Leads to masses and mixings of the quarks and leptons

 10+10 free parameters in the quark+lepton sector (12 in the lepton sector in case of Majorana masses)

- Stable with respect to quantum corrections

## The gauge sector and flavor symmetries

-1/4FuvF"  $i\Psi B\Psi + h.c.$ Fermion representations under  $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ :  $Q_L = \left( egin{array}{c} u_L \ d_L \end{array} 
ight) = (3, 2, 1/6), \, u_R = (3, 2, 2/3), \, d_R = (3, 1, -1/3)$  $L_L = \begin{pmatrix} 
u_L \\ 
\ell_L \end{pmatrix} = (1, 2, -1/2), \ e_R = (1, 1, -1)$ Flavor index  $\sum \bar{\psi}_i D \psi_i$  $\sum$ 3 identical replica of the basic fermion family:  $\psi = Q_L, u_R, d_R, L_L, e_R$  i=1.2.3

The gauge Lagrangian is invariant under 5 independent U(3) global rotations for each of the 5 independent fields:  $U(3)^5$  global symmetry

 $\begin{array}{l} U(1)_L \times U(1)_B \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)_{PQ} \times U(1)_e \times \\ \times SU(3)_Q \times SU(3)_U \times SU(3)_D \times SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_e \end{array}$ 

# The Higgs-flavor sector

 $+\Psi_i y_{ij} \Psi_j \Phi + h.0$ 

$$ar{Q}_L^i Y_D^{ij} d_R^j \Phi + ar{Q}_L^i Y_U^{ij} u_R^j ilde{\Phi} + ar{L}_L^i Y_E^{ij} e_R^j \Phi + h.c.$$

$$\Phi = (1, 2, 1/2), \ \ \tilde{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Phi^*$$

The Y are not hermitian

They are diagonalized by bi-unitary transformations

After Electroweak Symmetry Breaking (EWSB):  $\langle \Phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, v = 246 \,\text{GeV}$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Mass eigenvalues} & M_f^{\text{diag}} = U_{fL} M_f U_{fR}^{\dagger}, \ (f=u,d) \\ \\ \text{Mass eigenstates} & f_{Li} = U_{fL}^{ij} f_{Lj}^I, \ f_{Ri} = U_{fR}^{ij} f_{Rj}^I \end{array}$ 

Mass matrices diagonalized by different transformations for  $u_{L}$  and  $d_{L}$ , which are part of the same SU(2) doublet,  $Q_{L}$  CKM matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{Li}^{I} \\ d_{Li}^{I} \end{pmatrix} = (U_{uL}^{\dagger})_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} u_{Lj} \\ (U_{uL}U_{dL}^{\dagger})_{jk} d_{Lk} \end{pmatrix}$$

# CKM matrix and the quark interactions

Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa

#### Interactions with the W boson:

$-rac{g}{2}ar{Q}_{Li}\gamma^{\mu}W^a_{\mu} au^aQ_{Li}+h.c.$	$\stackrel{u,d}{\longrightarrow} \xrightarrow{\text{mass-basis}}$



$$-rac{g}{\sqrt{2}}(ar{u}_L,\,ar{c}_L,\,ar{t}_L)\gamma^\mu W^+_\mu oldsymbol{V}\left(egin{array}{c} d_L\ s_L\ b_L\end{array}
ight)+h.c.$$

Not to get confused: this mixing originates only from the Higgs sector:  $V_{ij} \rightarrow \delta_{ij}$  if we switch-off the Yukawa interactions

Exercise: prove that neutral  $\gamma$ , Z and g currents stay flavor universal, since they don't mix the chiralities

#### Interactions with the Higgs:

With (only) one Higgs doublet, the mass matrix is aligned with the Yukawa  $\mathcal{L}_m \sim Y v \bar{d}_L d_R$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{int} \sim Y H \bar{d}_L d_R$ 

With two doublets...see later (lecture 3)

# Flavor Changing Neutral Currents (FCNCs)

What did we learn?

In the SM, there are no FCNCs at the tree level



(example for Kaon mixing)

Only loop mediated processes with charged interactions



(example for Kaon mixing)

# The CKM matrix (parametrization)

The standard parametrization of the CKM matrix

$$egin{aligned} W &= \left(egin{aligned} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{array}
ight) \ &= \left(egin{aligned} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -s_{23}c_{12} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{array}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

$$c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$$
 and  $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$   $(i, j = 1, 2, 3)$ 

<u>Wolfenstein parametrization</u>  $\lambda \sim 0.23$  (Cabibbo angle)

$$V = egin{pmatrix} 1 - rac{\lambda^2}{2} & \lambda & A\lambda^3(arrho - i\eta) \ -\lambda & 1 - rac{\lambda^2}{2} & A\lambda^2 \ A\lambda^3(1 - arrho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \ \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4) \ A, \ arrho, \ \eta = \mathcal{O}(1)$$

Counting of the free parameters:

3 real parameters (rotational angles) + 1 complex phase (CP violation (CPV)) + 6 physical masses <u>Exercise</u>: check this is true

11

# t a full proo<sup>.</sup>

# Why CP violation (CPV)

How to simply understand why a physical phase in the Yukawa couplings means CP violation?

 $Y_D^{ij}\bar{Q}_{Li}\Phi D_R^j + h.c. = Y_D^{ij}\bar{Q}_{Li}\Phi D_R^j + Y_D^{ij*}\bar{D}_R^j\Phi^\dagger Q_{Li}$ 

Under CP:

 $Y_D^{ij} ar{D}_R^j \Phi^\dagger Q_{Li} + Y_D^{ij*} ar{Q}_{Lj} \Phi D_R^i$ 

CP is conserved if  $Y_D^{ij} = Y_D^{ij*}$ 

Having a phase  $\longrightarrow$  CP violation (the only source of CP violation in the SM (excluding the CP strong phase)

Not a full proof, since there is still a basis choice...



# Properties of the CKM matrix

<u>It is unitary:</u>  $V_{a1}(V^+)_{1b} + V_{a2}(V^+)_{2b} + V_{a3}(V^+)_{3b} = 0$ 

6 unitary triangles:

the area of these triangles is:

- always the same
- zero in absence of CP violation



the most stringent test is provided by  $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$ 

#### Goal:

Overconstrain sides and angles by many measurements sensitive to different short distance physics

## Extracting the CKM parameters



Not competitive with unitarity constraints

Experimental feasibility and theoretical cleanliness are the key ingredients work our way around QCD (We calculate with quark, but we measure hadrons!) S.Gori

## Experimental tests (latest results)



Remarkable success of the CKM picture

# The SM flavor problem

#### Measurements tell us that:



Reminder for the gauge couplings:  $g_1 \sim 0.35$ ;  $g_2 \sim 0.65$ ;  $g_3 \sim 1.2$ 

This structure does not seem accidental. New dynamics?

# Kaon mixing and the GIM mechanism

S. L. Glashow, J. Iliopoulos and L. Maiani, Phys. Rev. D 2, 1285 (1970).

We have learned that flavor changing neutral processes are suppresed by ~1/(16 $\pi^2$ ) in the SM (loop suppression). But not only...



- The mass-independent part cancels thanks to the unitarity of the CKM matrix.
- The leading term will have a further mass suppression:  $f \sim m_i^2/m_W^2$

In summary: suppression by

- Loop CKM elements
- Mass ratios

# Meson mixing (generalities)

#### Two quark bound state

D mesons:  $|u\bar{c}\rangle, |\bar{u}c\rangle$  (M~ 1.9 GeV) Kaons:  $|s\bar{d}\rangle, |\bar{s}d\rangle$  (M~ 0.5 GeV) B mesons:  $|(s, d)\bar{b}\rangle$ ,  $|(\bar{s}, \bar{d})b\rangle$  (M ~ 5.3 GeV)

Let's focus now on B<sub>d</sub> mixing:  $\psi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B_d(t) \\ \bar{B}_d(t) \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$CP(B_d) = ar{B}_d \ CP(ar{B}_d) = B_d$$

Time evolution

18

$$\hat{H} = \hat{M} - i\frac{\hat{\Gamma}}{2} = \begin{pmatrix} M - i\Gamma/2 & M_{12} - i\Gamma_{12}/2 \\ M_{12}^* - i\Gamma_{12}^*/2 & M - i\Gamma/2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Counting the degrees of freedom: Hermitian and with positive eigenvalues 4 CP conserving and 1 phase  $i\frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = \hat{H}\psi(t) \Rightarrow \psi(t) = e^{-i\hat{H}t}\psi(0)$ If we define  $Q = \sqrt{\left(M_{12} - i\frac{\Gamma_{12}}{2}\right)\left(M_{12}^* - i\frac{\Gamma_{12}}{2}^*\right)} \sim |M_{12}| + \cdots$  (only valid for B mixing) 2 mass (and width) eigenstates with  $|B_{H,L}\rangle = p|B_d\rangle \mp q|\bar{B}_d\rangle$  $\frac{q}{p} = -\frac{2M_{12}^* - i\Gamma_{12}^*}{\Delta m - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\Gamma}$ 

Mass 
$$M_{H,L} = M \pm \text{Re}(Q)$$
  
Width  $\Gamma_{H,L} = \Gamma \mp 2\text{Im}(Q)$ 

S.Gori

### Meson mixing (SM predictions)



Formalism of effective Hamiltonians:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Delta B=2}=rac{G_F^2}{16\pi^2}m_W^2(V_{tb}^*V_{td})^2S_0(x_t)(ar{b}\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)d)^2$$

$$\langle ar{B}_d | (ar{b} \gamma_\mu (1-\gamma_5) d)^2 | B_d 
angle \equiv rac{8}{3} B_B F_B^2 m_{B_d}^2$$

Exercise: show that this is the dominant contribution

 $x_t = \frac{m_t^2}{m^2}$ 

The full expression, including loop corrections:

$$\Delta m_B = \frac{G_F^2}{6\pi^2} \eta_B m_{B_d} m_W^2 B_B F_B^2 S_0(x_t) |V_{tb} V_{td}^*|^2$$

For the Kaon system, we cannot neglect the other contributions:  $\Delta m_K = \frac{G_F^2}{6\pi^2} m_K m_W^2 B_K F_K^2 \left| \eta_1 S_0(x_c) (V_{cs} V_{cd}^*)^2 + \right.$ 

$$\eta_2 S_0(x_t) (V_{ts}V_{td}^*)^2 + 2\eta_3 S_0(x_c,x_t) (V_{cs}V_{cd}^*) (V_{ts}V_{td}^*) \Big|$$

# CP violation in the meson system

#### Let us consider now a meson decay.

We define the decay amplitudes to the final state f

$$\mathcal{A}_f = \langle f | \mathcal{H} | B 
angle, \; ar{\mathcal{A}}_f = \langle f | \mathcal{H} | ar{B} 
angle$$

In general, there are 3 types of CP violation in meson decays:

(i) CP violation <u>in mixing</u>, when the two neutral mass eigenstate admixtures cannot be chosen to be CP-eigenstates

(ii) CP violation in decay, when the amplitude for a decay and its CP-conjugate process have different magnitudes;

(iii) CP violation in the interference of decays with and without mixing, which occurs in decays into final states that are common to B and  $\overline{B}$ 



# (i) CP violation in mixing

 $\begin{array}{rcl} \text{Reminder from} & |B_{H,L}\rangle &=& p|B_d\rangle \mp q|\bar{B}_d\rangle \\ \text{3 slides ago:} & \frac{q}{p} &=& -\frac{2M_{12}^* - i\Gamma_{12}^*}{\Delta m - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\Gamma} \end{array}$ 

This type of CP violation arises if  $|q/p| \neq 1$ 

$$a_{SL} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d(t) \to \ell^+ \nu X) - \Gamma(B_d(t) \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} X)}{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d(t) \to \ell^+ \nu X) + \Gamma(B_d(t) \to \ell^- \bar{\nu} X)} = \frac{1 - |q/p|^4}{1 + |q/p|^4}$$

One example of measurement of these asymmetries:



 $B_d = \bar{b}d, \ \bar{B}_d = b\bar{d}$ 

# (ii) CP violation in decay

Reminder from 2 slides ago:  $\mathcal{A}_{f} = \langle f | \mathcal{H} | B \rangle, \ \bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{f}} = \langle \bar{f} | \mathcal{H} | \bar{B} \rangle$  $a_{f^{\pm}} = \frac{\Gamma(B^{+} \to f^{+}) - \Gamma(B^{-} \to f^{-})}{\Gamma(B^{+} \to f^{+}) + \Gamma(B^{-} \to f^{-})} = \frac{1 - |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{f^{-}} / \mathcal{A}_{f^{+}}|}{1 + |\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{f^{-}} / \mathcal{A}_{f^{+}}|}$ 

This type of CP violation arises if  $|\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}/A_f| \neq 1$ 

This arises only if we have both a "CP weak" and a "CP strong" phase and more than one amplitude interfering

$$\left|\frac{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\bar{f}}}{A_{f}}\right| = \left|\frac{\sum_{i} A_{i} e^{i(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} - \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})}}{\sum_{i} A_{i} e^{i(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{i} + \boldsymbol{\phi}_{i})}}\right|$$

Non-zero CP asymmetries observed in few B meson decay modes (e.g.  $B^+ \longrightarrow K^+ K^- K^+$  and  $B^+ \longrightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ @ LHCb$ )

# (iii) CP violation in the interference

Reminder from 
$$\lambda_{CP} \equiv \frac{q}{p} \frac{\bar{\mathcal{A}}_f}{\mathcal{A}_f}$$
  $\begin{pmatrix} B_{H,L} \rangle &= p | B_d \rangle \mp q | B_d \rangle \\ \frac{q}{p} &= -\frac{2M_{12}^* - i\Gamma_{12}^*}{\Delta m - \frac{i}{2}\Delta\Gamma} \\ \frac{\mathcal{A}_f &= \langle f | \mathcal{H} | B \rangle, \ \bar{\mathcal{A}}_f = \langle f | \mathcal{H} | \bar{B} \rangle \end{pmatrix}$ 

Decays of mesons and anti-mesons to the same final state:



This type of CP violation arises if  $|\lambda_f| = 1$ ,  $\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_f) \neq 0$ 

$$a_{f_{CP}} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d(t) \to f_{CP}) - \Gamma(B_d(t) \to f_{CP})}{\Gamma(\bar{B}_d(t) \to f_{CP}) + \Gamma(B_d(t) \to f_{CP})} = -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{CP})\sin(\Delta m_B t)$$

Some example of measured CP observables

$$a_{\psi K_s} = S_{\psi K_s} \sin(\Delta m_{B_d} t)$$

### **Experimental status**



For a complete list of observables: http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/ http://pdg.lbl.gov/



-0.4

-0.6L

-2

-1

0

S.Gori

 $2.2\sigma$  from SM

1

 $\phi_{s}^{J/\psi\phi} = -2\beta_{s}^{J/\psi\phi}$  [rad]

2

## **Experimental status**



For a complete list of observables: http://www.slac.stanford.edu/xorg/hfag/ http://pdg.lbl.gov/



### What we have learned?

- Flavor structure of the Standard Model: Question: what is the global flavor symmetry broken by the SM Yukawa couplings?
- Glashow-Iliopoulos-Maiani (GIM) mechanism: FCNCs suppressed by loops, CKM elements and quark masses.
- Standard Model flavor problem: new dynamic beyond the SM?
- Tests of the Standard Model flavor and CP structure: Meson mixing. Three types of CP violation.

<u>Next:</u> Effective theories beyond the SM...