

PARTICUE COLLISION NEAR 1+1-D HORAVA-LIFSHITZ BLACKHOLES



Eastern Mediterranean University,Northern Cyprus – arXiv:1504.03840 @KSM2015,Frankfurt

KARL SCHWARZSCHILD MEETING 2015

(10)

(11)

(12)

(16)

(18)

Motivation

Banados, Silk, West (BSW Effect), Phys.Rev.Lett.**103**, 111102 (2009).

- Drop two particles at rest at infinity on the equatorial plane.
- Consider the collision of the two particles near the horizon
- Take the maximum rotation limit and finetune the angular momentum of either particle then the center-of-mass energy can be

CM ENERGY

and

(1)

(5)

(6)

(8)

(9)

The two-velocity of the particles are given by $u^{\mu} = \frac{dx^{\mu}}{d\tau}$. We have already obtained u^{t} in the above derivation. To find $u^{x} = \dot{x}$, the normalization condition for time-like particles, $u^{\mu}u_{\mu} = -1$ can be used and one obtain u^{x} as,

 $(u^x)^2 = E^2 - N^2.$

Now, the two-velocities can be written as,

$$u^t = \dot{t} = \frac{E}{N^2}$$

SOME EXAMPLES

Reissner-Nordstrom-like solution CM energy is calculated by using the limiting value of eqn. (17)

$$E_{cm}^2(x \longrightarrow x_{h=M+\sqrt{(M^2-Q^2)}}) = \infty \qquad (19)$$

so there is a BSW effect. **The Non-Black Hole case** For the CM energy on the horizon, we have to compute the limiting value of eq.(17) as $x \rightarrow x_h = \frac{1}{2M}$, where lies the horizon. After some cal-

arbitrarily high!

Is it also valid for near horizon of a black hole emerges even in 1+1-dimensional Hořava-Lifshitz gravity?

1+1-D HL BLACK HOLES

The line element is

 $ds^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + \frac{dx^2}{N^2}$

where

and

Hence,

$$N^{2} = 2C_{2} + \frac{A}{\eta}x^{2} - 2C_{1}x + \frac{B}{\eta x} + \frac{C}{3\eta x^{2}} \quad (2)$$

in which C_2 , A, C_1 , B and C are integration constants.

The new black hole solution which is derived by Bazeia et. al. is found by taking $C_1 \neq 0, C_2 \neq 0$, $B \neq 0$ and A = C = 0

 $u^x = \dot{x} = \sqrt{E^2 - N^2}.$

We proceed now to present the CM energy of two particles with two-velocity u_1^{μ} and u_2^{μ} . We will assume that both have rest mass $m_0 = 1$. The CM energy is given by,

$$E_{cm} = \sqrt{2}\sqrt{(1 - g_{\mu\nu}u_1^{\mu}u_2^{\nu})}$$
(13)

$$\frac{E_{cm}^2}{2} = 1 + \frac{E_1 E_2}{N^2} - \frac{\kappa \sqrt{E_1^2 - N^2} \sqrt{E_2^2 - N^2}}{N^2}$$
(14)

where $\kappa = +1/-1$ corresponds to particles moving in the same / opposite direction with respect to each other. The higher order terms can be neglected and CM energy of two particles can be written as

 $\frac{E_{cm}^2}{2} \approx 1 + (1 - \kappa) \frac{E_1 E_2}{N^2} + \kappa \left(\frac{E_2}{2E_1} + \frac{E_1}{2E_2}\right)$

culations, we get the limiting value of eq.(17):

$$E_{cm}^2(x \longrightarrow x_h) = \infty \tag{20}$$

The Extremal case of the Reissner-Nordstrom like black hole For the extremal case we have with M = Q,

$$N^2 = \left(1 - \frac{M}{x}\right)^2 \tag{21}$$

so that it also gives the same answer from eq.(17) as

$$E_{cm}^2(x \longrightarrow x_h) = \infty. \tag{22}$$

Specific New Black Hole Case The CM energy of two colliding particles is calculated by taking the limiting values of eq.(17)

$$E_{cm}^2(x \longrightarrow x_h) = \infty.$$
 (2)

Hence the BSW effect arises here as well.

$$N^2 = 2C_2 - 2C_1x + \frac{B}{nx}.$$

This solution develops the following horizons

$$x_h^{\pm} = \frac{C_2}{2C_1} \pm \sqrt{\Delta}, \Delta = \frac{C_2^2}{4C_1^2} + \frac{B}{2\eta C_1}.$$
 (4)

As $\Delta = 0$ they degenerate, i.e., $x_h^+ = x_h^-$. In the case of $C_2 = 1/2$, B = -2M, $\eta = 1$ and $A = C = C_1 = 0$, it gives a Schwarzschild-like solution;

$$N^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{x}$$

On the other hand, the choice of the parameters, for $C_2 = 1/2, B = -2M, C = 3Q^2, \eta = 1$ and $A = C_1 = 0$ gives a Reissner–Nordstr öm-like solution.

 $N^2 = 1 - \frac{2M}{x} + \frac{Q^2}{x^2}$

(15) There are two cases for this CM energy. First case is $\kappa = +1$, in which the CM energy is reduced to

$$\frac{E_{cm}^2}{2} \approx 1 + \frac{\left(E_2^2 + E_1^2\right)}{2E_1 E_2},\tag{6}$$

where the CM energy is independent from metric function, hence it gives always the finite energy.Second case is $\kappa = -1$,

$$\frac{E_{cm}^2}{2} \approx 1 + \frac{2E_1E_2}{N^2} - \frac{\left(E_2^2 + E_1^2\right)}{2E_1E_2} \qquad (1$$

in which it gives unbounded CM energy near to horizon of the HL black holes when we have the limiting value as $x \longrightarrow x_h$.

SOME EXAMPLES

Schwarzchild-like Solution For the CM en-

HAWKING PHOTON

The massless photon of such an emission can naturally scatter an infalling particle or vice verse. This phenomena is analogous to a Compton scattering taking place in 1+1-dimensions. One obtains

$$E_{cm}^2 = m^2 + \frac{2mE_1}{N^2} \left(E_2 + \kappa \sqrt{E_2^2 - N^2} \right). \quad (24)$$

In the near horizon limit this reduces to

$$E_{cm}^2 = m^2 + \frac{2mE_1}{N^2} \left(E_2 + \kappa E_2 - \frac{N^2}{2E_2} \right). \quad (25)$$

Note that for $\kappa = -1$ we have E_{cm}^2 given by

$$E_{cm}^2 = m^2 \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{mE_2} \right) \tag{2}$$

which is finite and therefore is not of interest. On the other hand for $\kappa = +1$ we obtain an unbounded E_{cm}^2 .

The canonical momenta are calculated as

 $p_t = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\dot{t}} = -N^2 \dot{t}$

 $p_x = \frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\dot{x}} = \frac{\dot{x}}{N^2}.$

 $\dot{t} = \frac{E}{N^2}$

(7) ergy on the horizon, we have to compute the limiting value of eq.(14) as $x \to x_h = 2M$, where is the horizon of the black hole. Setting $\kappa = -1$ as is, the CM energy near the event horizon for 1+1 D Schwarzschild BH is

$$E_{cm}^2(x \longrightarrow x_h) = \infty.$$

From the case of $\kappa = +1$, it is shown that the CM energy is finite. Hence, the condition of $\kappa = -1$, when the location of particle one approaches the horizon, on the other hand the particle 2 escaping from the horizon might give us the BSW effect.

Conclusion

• Our aim is to show that the BSW effect which arises in higher dimensional black holes applies also in the 1+1- D.

• In other words the strong gravity near the event horizon effects the collision process with unlimited source to turn it into a natural accelerator.

• Finally, we must admit that absence of rotational effects in 1+1- D confines the problem to the level of a toy model.