

# WP2: 11Carbon PET-aided hadron therapy

## Research objectives ESR positions Secondments

L. Penescu, MedAustron On behalf of WP2

## WP2 Scope. Presentation summary.



Scope of WP2: 11Carbon PET-aided hadron therapy

$\checkmark$	Production and mass separation of <sup>11</sup> CO <sup>+</sup> .	(ESR11)
$\checkmark$	Development of charge breeding scheme required for acceleration.	(ESR3)
$\checkmark$	Full <b>acceleration</b> and <b>treatment</b> - <sup>11</sup> C hadron therapy test and planning.	(ESR9)
$\checkmark$	Further development of bioconjugates suitable for imaging and treatment of the	ovarian cancer.
	New bifunctional fluorescent and radioactive bioligand. Tests with 11C as chelate.	(ESR15)
$\checkmark$	Development of multimodal <b>imaging</b> methodologies for the treatment planning.	
	Methodology and preclinical techniques. Biological models.	(ESR12)

#### PARTNERS

<b>ESR11</b> :	University of Leuven (Recruitin	g and Enrolment; 36 mo)	+ <u>CNAO</u> (Secondment; 10 mo)
ESR3:	CERN (Recruiting; 36 mo)	+ Chalmers University of Technology (Enrolment)	+ MedAustron (Secondment; 5 mo)
ESR9:	CNAO (Recruiting; 36 mo)	+ University of Pavia (Enrolment)	+ <u>CERN</u> , <u>HUG</u> (Secondment; 3 + 3 mo)
<b>ESR15</b> :	HUG (Recruiting; 36 mo)	+ <u>EPFL</u> (Enrolment)	+ AAA (Secondment; 3 mo)
ESR12:	HUG (Recruiting; 36 mo)	+ University of Geneva (Enrolment)	+ <u>CNAO</u> (Secondment; 3 mo)

### **Presentation SUMMARY**

- > Delivering a beam for treatment at an existing facility (MedAustron, CNAO, HIT).
- > Possibilities for mixing/replacing <sup>12</sup>C with <sup>11</sup>C, for treatment and PET imaging.
- Open questions (to be addressed by the ESRs).

# **PIMMS-based accelerators: HIT, CNAO, MedAustron**

 $\checkmark$ 



PIMMS: Proton-Ion Medical Machine Study, CERN 2000-006 **MedAustron CNAO** Linac RFQ 0.4 - 7 MeV/u H<sup>3+</sup> 0.008 - 0.4 MeV/u H3 0.4 - 7 MeV/u C4+ 0.008 - 0.4 MeV/u C4+ Ion Sources 0.008 MeV/u H<sup>3+</sup> I ~ 0.7 mA H<sup>3+</sup> 0.008 MeV/u C4+ I ~ 0.2 mA C4+ Synchrotron **Two Ion Sources** 7-250 MeV p 7-400 MeV/u C HIT Line V I ~ 0.1-6 mÅ (p) Lina I ~ 0.03-1.5 mA (C) Synchrotron Line Z Horizontal Gantry treatmen High Energy Transfer HEBT Lines 60-250 MeV p < 10<sup>10</sup> p/spill (~2nA) ne T Line U 120-400 MeV/u C < 4 108 C/spill (~0.4nA) Beam Experimental Dump Area (QS) Same main ideas  $\checkmark$ **Different implementation choices** 

## The 12C beam path at MedAustron

## MedAustron 🎴







- Pencil beam: 4 to 10 mm FWHM in vacuum
- Fast magnetic deflection, H and V (20 m/s)
- Scanning field size: 20 x 20 cm<sup>2</sup> (IR 1 to 3)
- Beam position accuracy: ± 0.5 mm
- $> \le 1 \cdot 10^{10}$  protons/spill;  $\le 4 \cdot 10^8$  C-ions/spill
- Energies corresponding to 3-37 cm penetration depth in human tissue
- $ightarrow \sim$  1 minute to deliver 2 Gray in 1 L tumor volume



Applied magnet current current

5



# The accelerator side: commissioning a "cycle code"



### The accelerator is able to generate:

- ✓ Number of ion species: 2
- ✓ Number of different energies: 255
- ✓ Number of beam sizes: 4
- ✓ Number of intensities: 4
- ✓ Number of extraction times: 8
- Beam combinations per beam line:
  65280
- Gantry: different angles need to be considered
- Non-clinical research: extended energy range

PARAMETERS which are NOT part of cycle code, but part of design + commissioning:

- Energy spread
- Spill quality (stability: intensity, position)
- Choices of beam optics (longitudinal and transversal)

DURATION OF A CYCLE – depends on accelerator performance:

- Injection time
- Acceleration time
- Short hysteresis time
- Hardware configuration time



### **Question marks vs. ESR topics**

- 1) How to produce the 11C with needed intensity, reproducibility and stability?
- 2) Where to inject the 11C along the beam path? (answer correlated to 1...)
- 3) PET-related questions...

- Compact PET cyclotron → N2 target → release of 11CO2 into ion source → beam path joining 12C
- ISOL production → mass separation and charge breeding (→ post-acceleration?) → beam path joining 12C

$\checkmark$	Production and mass separation of <sup>11</sup> CO <sup>+</sup>	(ESR11)
$\checkmark$	Development of charge breeding scheme required for acceleration	(ESR3)
$\checkmark$	Full acceleration and treatment - <sup>11</sup> C hadron therapy test and planning	(ESR9)
$\checkmark$	Further development of bioconjugates suitable for imaging and treatment of the	ovarian cancer.
	New bifunctional fluorescent and radioactive bioligand. Tests with 11C as chelate.	(ESR15)
$\checkmark$	Development of multimodal <b>imaging</b> methodologies for the treatment planning.	
	Methodology and preclinical techniques. Biological models.	(ESR12)

#### TO HAVE IN MIND: The available intensity per spill is not a veto-condition.

Accelerator efficiency depends on all of the following:

- Intensity per spill
- Cycle time (acceleration time; hysteresis; re/configuration; injection of 11C?)
- Strategy for using effectively all accelerated particles.
- Dealing successfully with machine limitations at higher intensities.

