



# Top Quark Production at the LHC

#### Abideh Jafari UCLouvain and FNRS for the CMS & ATLAS collaborations

3<sup>rd</sup> Annual LHC Physics Conference 31<sup>st</sup> Aug. – 5<sup>th</sup> Sept. St. Petersburg, Russia

# The Top Quark



- A testing ground to validate SM or see hints for new physics
- Diversity of particles in final state  $\rightarrow$  a commissioning tool for early data
- Large mass, short life time  $\rightarrow$  bare quark properties
- Validate Monte-Carlo generators ...
- Background for searches ...

### The Top Quark Factory



Rate  $\geq$  20 times larger than Tevatron



### The Top Quark Factory



### The Top Quark Factory

2

≥ 3

N<sub>b-tag</sub>



# And the recent observation of the top quark in forward regions at LHCb



≥3

b jet multiplicity

0

Events

### The single-Top Quark Factory



The single-Top Quark Factory



# PAIR PRODUCTION



### **Top pair cross section and final states**

E <sub>COM</sub> LHC	$\sigma_{tt} (m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV})^1$	<sup>g</sup> eccepter <sup>i</sup> <sup>q</sup>			
7 TeV	177.31 + 10.1 - 10.8				
8 TeV	252.89 +13.3 -14.5	• high rate large background			
13 TeV	831.76 + 40.2 - 45.6	<ul> <li>Ingh rate, large background</li> <li>Used with more data</li> </ul>			
q q q q	b b b b c c q' q' q' d	ay $t + \tau$ 1% $t + \mu$ 2% $t + \mu$ 5% $t + \mu$ 1% $t + \mu$ 5% $t + \mu$ 5%			
	Low rate but clean Early measurement	<ul> <li>Moderate rate, moderate background</li> <li>Early differential measurement</li> </ul>			

## Inclusive

## Differential

- Global picture
- Establish and validate the analysis strategy
- Sensitive to overall excess



Top pair cross section

- Residual picture
- Detailed model validation
- Sensitive to residual BSM effects



## Fiducial

#### • Within the detector acceptance

- Algorithms similar to detector level
- Less dependence to extrapolation effects

#### **Experiment**











# Fiducial

#### • Within the detector acceptance

Top pair

cross

section

- Algorithms similar to detector level
- Less dependence to extrapolation effects

#### **Experiment**



• Suitable to compare with recent QCD calculations

## Full phase space

Theory



- Total recorded luminosity in Run I  $\sim 20$  (8 TeV) +  $\sim 5$  (7 TeV) fb<sup>-1</sup>
- The run I data is still being exploited to achieve even better precision and to look into differential distributions and properties

# LHC RUN I



- Total recorded luminosity in Run I  $\sim 20$  (8 TeV) +  $\sim 5$  (7 TeV) fb<sup>-1</sup>
- The run I data is still being exploited to achieve even better precision and to look into differential distributions and properties

# INCLUSIVE



### Top pair cross section *l+jets* 8 TeV



#### **Selection:**

- Exactly one muon or electron
- At least 3 jets
- At least 1 b-tagged

**Signal extraction:** A template fit to the likelihood model, constructed using the most discriminative variables



### Top pair cross section *l*+jets 8 TeV



$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{lj} = 258 \pm 1(stat.) + 22(syst.) \pm 8(lumi.) \pm 4(beam) pb$$

• Dominant systematics: PDF

• 
$$\frac{\Delta \sigma_{t\bar{t}} / \sigma_{t\bar{t}}}{\Delta m_t} = -1.1 \% GeV^{-1}$$

$$m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}$$

Fiducial: particle level reconstruction/selection close to detector level

$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{lj} = 22.8 \pm 0.1(stat.) + 1.9 (syst.) \pm 0.7 (lumi.) \pm 0.4 (beam) pb$$

### Top pair cross section eµ "7+8" TeV



- *Multi-differential* measurement in categories
- b-tag multiplicity used in signal extraction
- Additional non-tagged jets,  $p_T$  of softest jets:
  - Control extra radiations
- A simultaneous likelihood fit with systematics as nuisance parameters





### Top pair cross section eµ "7+8" TeV

Fiducial
 
$$\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{fid}(7 TeV) = 3.05 \pm 0.04(stat.) + 0.08(syst.) \pm 0.07(lumi.) pb - 0.07$$
 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{fid}(8 TeV) = 4.24 \pm 0.02(stat.) + 0.11(syst.) \pm 0.11(lumi.) pb - 0.10$ 

T	<b>OP-13-004</b>	7 TeV (pb)	8 TeV (pb)	<b>R</b> <sub>tt</sub>	
		(scale $\pm$			
moc	Theory	$177.3^{+4.7}_{-6.0} \pm 7.1$	$252.9 \frac{+6.7}{-8.6} \pm 11.7$	$1.43 \pm 0.01$	
		$182.9 \pm 7.1$	$242.4 \pm 10.3$	$1.33 \pm 0.06$	
		$174.5\pm6.2$	$245.6\pm9.3$	$1.41 \pm 0.06$	

<sup>1</sup>Eur.Phys.J. C74 (2014) 3109

### Top pair cross section eµ "7+8" TeV



 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{fid}(7 \, TeV) = 3.05 \pm 0.04 \, (stat.)$ + 0.08 (syst.) \pm 0.07 (lumi.) pb - 0.07

DY, Lepton

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}}^{fid}(8TeV) = 4.24 \pm 0.02(stat.) + 0.11(syst.) \pm 0.11(lumi.) pb - 0.10$ 

<b>TOP-13-004</b>				
		7 TeV (pb) 8 TeV (pb)		$\mathbf{R}_{t\bar{t}}$
	Theory	$177.3 + 4.7 \pm 7.1 - 6.0$	$252.9 + 6.7 \pm 11.7 - 8.6$	$1.43 \pm 0.01$
noi	AT LAS	182 <mark>3.5%</mark> 1	242. <mark>3.9%</mark> 3	$1.33 \pm 0.06$
		174 <mark>3.5%</mark> 2	245 <mark>3.8%</mark> 3	1.41 ± 0.06

<sup>1</sup>Eur.Phys.J. C74 (2014) 3109

### ttb(b) cross section 8 TeV

arXiv:1508.06868





- Investigating NLO QCD
- Background to ttH, ttZ, ...



- Based on the b-tag discriminator of the 3<sup>rd</sup> and 4<sup>th</sup> jets
- Ratio  $\sigma_{ttbb}^{\dagger}/\sigma_{ttjj}^{\dagger}$  measured, too





# DIFFERENTIAL

### **Differential cross section**



#### **Top quark reconstruction**

Data

tī Signal

tt Other

Single t

W+Jets

Z / γ<sup>\*</sup>+Jets

Diboson

\_\_\_\_\_\_ tī+Z/W/γ

16

14E

12

10Ē

8

6

1.4

1.2

0.8

0.6

ō 50

e/u + Jets

Top quarks / 20 GeV

N<sub>Data</sub>

#### **Compare to theory**



#### **Compare to theory**



### **Differential cross section**

#### **Object reconstruction**



#### **Compare to theory**



- Compare to *particle level*
- *Reconstructed* such to resemble detector level objects
- *Selected* similarly to detector level objects
- Example:
- Jets are clustered from stable particles with the same jet algorithm as the detector level



### **Differential cross section**



### Differential cross section *ll*, *l*+jets 8 TeV

#### **Di-lepton:**

- Exactly two opposite sign leptons
- Not compatible with Z bososn
- At least 2 jets, at least 1 *b-tag*

#### Single lepton:

- Exactly one electron or muon
- At least 4 jets, at least 2 *b-tag*, at least 2 *non-tagged*



### Differential cross section *ll*, *l*+jets 8 TeV



### Differential cross section *l+jet* 7 TeV



### Differential cross section *l+jet* 7 TeV



#### **Rapidity of leptonic top**



#### **JHEP 06(2015)100**

### Differential cross section *l+jets* 7 TeV



# The p<sub>T</sub> of the top quark

#### Softer spectrum in data than simulation





Top quark is treated as a single jet! Identified with jet substructure techniques ...

# **BOOSTED REGIMES**



# Differential $\sigma_{tt}$ for high $p_T$ tops at 8 TeV



#### Fiducial at particle level

#### **TOP-14-012**

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 1.28 \pm 0.09 (stat.+syst.) \pm 0.1 (PDF) \pm 0.09 (Q^2) \pm 0.03 (lumi.) pb$ 

#### Fiducial at parton level (before decay after QCD radiations)

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 1.44 \pm 0.10(stat.+syst.) \pm 0.13(PDF) \pm 0.15(Q^2) \pm 0.04(lumi.)pb$ 



PowHeg predicts 1.49 (1.67) pb at particle (parton) level
About 14% higher, corresponding to 1.3σ (1.0σ)

## Differential $\sigma_{tt}$ for high $p_T$ tops at 8 TeV



Still PowHeg shows trend to be harder than data (MadGraph ok)

# Differential $\sigma_{tt}$ for high $p_T$ tops at 8 TeV



### Similar observation in the *first* measurement by ATLAS

**ATLAS-CONF-2014-057** 



- The overestimation exists in almost all generators
- Increases with top  $p_{T}$



# LHC RUN II

### Top pair candidate eµ 13 TeV Δ EXPERIMENT Jet Run: 267638 0 Event: 193690558 Muon 2015-06-13 23:52:26 CEST Jet Electron

### Top pair candidate eµ 13 TeV



## Top distributions with first 13 TeV collisions



#### **CMS DP-2015/019**

**ATL-PHYS-PUB-2015-017** 





ATLAS-CONF-2015-033



**Fir cross section eµ 78 pb<sup>-1</sup> 13 TeV**  
In-situ measurement of 
$$\sigma_{tt}$$
 and b-jet finding efficiency,  $\varepsilon_{b}$ 

$$N_{1b} = L \sigma_{tt} \epsilon_{e\mu} 2 \epsilon_b (1 - C_b \epsilon_b) + N_{1b}^{bkg} \qquad N_{2b} = L \sigma_{tt} \epsilon_{e\mu} C_b \epsilon_b^2 + N_{2b}^{bkg}$$





In-situ measurement of 
$$\sigma_{tt}$$
 and b-jet finding efficiency,  $\epsilon_{tt}$ 

$$N_{1b} = L \sigma_{tt} \epsilon_{ey} 2 \epsilon_b (1 - C_b \epsilon_b) + N_{1b}^{bkg}$$

From simulation

b-tagging correlation between top and anti-top and mis-tagged jets • DY: taken from

 $N_{2b} = L \sigma_{tt} \epsilon_{e\mu} C_b \epsilon_b^2 +$ 

- simulation, validated in
- data (lack of statistics)
- Non-prompts: from
- same-sign data, scaled
- by MC ratio of OS/SS



### $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 825 \pm 49(stat.) \pm 60(syst.) \pm 83(lumi.)pb$

- Hadronization in signal: Herwig++ vs. Pythia6 (default)
- Electron identification

 $m_t = 172.5 \text{ GeV}_{46}$ 

- An electron-muon trigger for online selection
- Reject heavy flavor resonances with  $m_{e\mu} < 20 \text{ GeV}$
- At least two jets and no b-tagging requirement



A A A

CMS

- An electron-muon trigger for online selection
- Reject heavy flavor resonances with  $m_{eu} < 20 \text{ GeV}$
- At least two jets and no b-tagging requirement



- **DY**:  $R_{out/in}$  method in ee/µµ to correct the whole range using the Z-mass window. MC eµ is corrected with overall Data/MC SF of (1.06±0.17)
- Non-prompt: from same-sign data, scaled by MC scale of OS/SS





### **TOP-15-003**

$$A \times \epsilon_{sel} \times Br(t \rightarrow e \mu)$$
  
=(0.60±0.04)%

	Number of events
Source	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$
Drell–Yan	$6.4 \pm 1.2$
Non-W/Z leptons	$8.5\pm4.3$
Single top quark	$10.6 \pm 3.4$
VV (V = W  or  Z)	$2.6\pm0.9$
Total background	$28.1\pm5.7$
$t\bar{t}$ dilepton signal	$206.7 \pm 16.0$
Data	220



NIS IN

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 772 \pm 60(stat.) \pm 62(syst.) \pm 93(lumi.)pb$ 

• A counting experiment in the selected sample

Compact M		Number of events	
	Source	$e^{\pm}\mu^{\mp}$	
	Drell–Yan	$6.4 \pm 1.2$	
<b>TOP-15-003</b>	Non-W/Z leptons	$8.5\pm4.3$	
	Single top quark	$10.6 \pm 3.4$	$m_{t} \approx 12$
$\Lambda \vee c  \vee Br(t \rightarrow cu)$	VV (V = W  or  Z)	$2.6\pm0.9$	1/2.5
$A \land e_{sel} \land DI (l \lor e \mu)$	Total background	$28.1 \pm 5.7$	Gev
$=(0.60\pm0.04)\%$	$t\bar{t}$ dilepton signal	$206.7 \pm 16.0$	
	Data	220	

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 772 \pm 60(stat.) \pm 62(syst.) \pm 93(lumi.) pb$ 

- Dominant systematics are lepton trigger and identification.
- Top mass dependence: small! 0.7% reduction on  $m_t = 173.34 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$
- Cross section in the **fiducial** volume:

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 12.9 \pm 1.0(stat.) \pm 1.1(syst.) \pm 1.5(lumi.)pb$ 

# Summary of eµ inclusive analyses at 13 TeV



Uncertainties on the measurements are comparable with that of theory

## **Differential cross section ll 13 TeV**

Top quark properties and jet multiplicity All lepton flavors



TOP-15-010

CMS

### Differential cross section *ll*, 13 TeV



### Differential cross section *l+jets* 13 TeV



### Differential cross section *l+jets* 13 TeV

**Backgrounds** from simulation

 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}} = 836 \pm 27(stat.) \pm 84(syst.) \pm 100(lumi.)pb$ 

b-tagging  $\sim 5\%$ **TOP-15-005** 42 pb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 42 pb<sup>-1</sup> (13 TeV) 9 <u>×10</u>⁻³ <u>×</u>10<sup>−3</sup>  $rac{1}{\sigma} rac{d\sigma}{dp_T(t_h)} [GeV^{-1}]$  $\frac{d\sigma}{dp_{T}(t_{j})}$  [GeV<sup>-1</sup>] l+jets CMS CMS l+jets 9 Preliminarv Preliminarv 📥 data 🔶 data 8 8 sys ⊕ stat sys ⊕ stat stat stat Powheg Pythia8 Powheg Herwig++ aMC@NLO Powheg Pythia8 Powheg Herwig++ aMC@NLO -10 Madgraph Madgraph Hadronic Leptonic theory data theory 4 data 0.8 0.8 0.6 0.6 50 350 400 400 450 50 p\_(t<sub>L</sub>) [GeV] 50 350 450 100 250 300 100 200 250 300 400 150 200 150 500 0 0 p\_(t) [ĞeV] CMS Generally good agreement between data and simulation 55





# Summary

- The LHC experiments studied the very first top quarks from pp collisions at 13 TeV
- The production rate of tt is measured inclusively and in bins of top quark properties together with lepton and jets
- More precise results are obtained using the full LHC data set in Run I
  - Boosted regimes are explored thanks to the large statistics
- It's only the beginning with the 13 TeV data
  - More fun and excitement are underway
  - Stay tuned ...



# St Petersburg artists inspired by top quark!



© Martini Art Love event



# THANK YOU!



# BACKUP

### Top pair cross section *l+jets* 8 TeV



#### **Background:**

- QCD: matrix method
- Rest from simulation

PRD91,112013(2015)

**TOP-13-004** 

• Systematics from pseudo experiments

### Top pair cross section eµ "7+8" TeV



### **Background:**

- Shape from MC
- Normalization in the fit

### Differential cross section *ll*, 13 TeV

The total systematic uncertainty on the normalized differential cross sections is typically around 8.5%. This value is calculated as the median of the distribution of the total systematic uncertainties over all bins of all measured observables. Typical values for the dominant contributions to the systematic uncertainty for rapidity observables (all other observables) are: generator, with 3.4% (1.6%); hadronization and parton showering, with 2.3% (2.9%); PDF, with 1.5% (0.5%); JES, with 1.2% (1.2%); JER, with 0.7% (0.8%); b-tagging, with 0.6% (0.9%).

The total uncertainty on the measurement is typically 19.2%, dominated by the statistical uncertainty.

## ttb(b) cross section 8 TeV



#### ATLAS-CONF-2015-247

<u>4</u> 5	$\sigma_{_{ttb}}^{\mathrm{fid}}$	$\sigma_{_{ttb}}^{\mathrm{fid}}$	$\sigma_{_{ttbb}}^{\mathrm{fid}}$	$\sigma_{_{ttbb}}^{\mathrm{fid}}$	<b>R</b> <sub>ttbb</sub>
	Lepton-plus-jets	ttb eµ	Cut-based	Fit-based	Fit-based
Source	uncertainty uncertaint		uncertainty	uncertainty	uncertainty
	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Total detector	+17.5 -14.4	+11.6 -8.0	±14.5	+11.9 -13.1	+10.9 -12.5
Jet (combined)	+3.9 -2.7	+10.1 -6.1	±5.5	+6.0 -8.5	+8.7 -10.7
Lepton	±0.7	+1.0 -0.5	±2.0	+2.4 -2.7	+0.8 -1.6
b-tagging effect on $b$ -jets	+4.4 - 4.0	+3.6 -3.1	±12.9	+9.4 -9.0	+6.0 - 5.8
b-tagging effect on $c$ -jets	+16.2 -13.4	+4.0 -3.6	±1.7	± 1.4	+1.2 -1.3
<i>b</i> -tagging effect on light jets	+3.1 -2.0	+1.9 -2.0	±4.3	+3.3 -2.9	+2.2 -1.9
Total <i>tī</i> modelling	+13.1 -13.7	+23.8 -16.1	±23.8	±21.7	±16.1
Generator	+1.1 -1.4	+23.3 -15.1	±16.9	±17.4	±12.4
Scale choice	±4.3	+1.1 -2.7	±14.2	±9.5	±6.0
Shower/hadronisation	+11.4 -12.1	+3.0 -3.4	±8.2	±8.7	±7.1
PDF	+4.7 -4.5	±3.3	±3.3	±0.8	±4.1
Removing/doubling $t\bar{t}V$ and $t\bar{t}H$	±0.4	+1.1 -0.9	±1.5	+3.1 -2.7	+3.0 - 2.6
Other backgrounds	±0.8	+0.9 -0.8	±1.6	+3.5 -3.3	±2.5
MC sample size	< 1	< 1	±9.6	±7.4	±7.4
Luminosity	±2.8	±2.8	±3.2	±2.9	±0.1
Total systematic uncertainty	+25.5 -19.2	+30.5 - 19.9	±29.5	+26.4 -26.9	+21.1 -21.9
Statistical uncertainty	±7.1	+19.2 -17.9	±18.4	±24.6	±25.2
Total uncertainty	+26.5 -20.5	+36.0 -26.8	±35.2	+36.1 -36.4	+32.9 -33.4

#### Changing templates and redo the fit

### Differential cross section *ll*, *l*+jets 8 TeV



#### Background

arXiv:1505.04480

• DY in  $\ell\ell$  from data

**Systematics** 

Relative systematic uncertainty (%)						
Source	Lepton and b jet observables		Top quark and tt observables			
	ℓ+jets dileptons		$\ell$ +jets	dileptons		
Trigger eff. & lepton selec.	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1		
Jet energy scale	2.3	0.4	1.6	0.8		
Jet energy resolution	0.4	0.2	0.5	0.3		
Background (Z+jets)		0.2		0.1		
Background (all other)	0.9	0.4	0.7	0.4		
b tagging	0.7	0.1	0.6	0.2		
Kinematic reconstruction		< 0.1		< 0.1		
Pileup	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.1		
Fact./renorm. scale	1.1	0.7	1.8	1.2		
ME-PS threshold	0.8	0.5	1.3	0.8		
Hadronization	2.7	1.4	1.9	1.1		
Top quark mass	1.5	0.6	1.0	0.7		
PDF choice	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5		

Changing source and redo the calculation

# Differential cross section *l+jet* 7 TeV



**JHEP 06(2015)100** 

#### Selection

- 1 lepton +>= 4jets +>= 2b
   Background
- Dilepton tt: simulation, corrected for acceptance, etc.
- W+jets
  - normalization from charge asymmetry,
  - HF from MC

#### **Systematics**

- Measurements are currently limited by the systematic uncertainty
- The main components
  - b-tagging uncertainty,
  - Jet energy measurement
  - Modelling uncertainty of the initial and final state parton showers