User Analysis – some questions

- Clarify roles of Tier 1, 2, 3 for user analysis for each experiment
  - Batch Analysis – the assumption is that this is grid based
  - End-user Analysis – what is this? – laptops, local analyses, ...?
  - ➔ what are the distinctions?
- What is the set of services that are needed (in addition to what exists now) to support these analysis models?
  - NOT an open door for new development requirements !
  - But, e.g.:
    - Xrootd as common protocol / interface
    - Needs for authentication/authorization
    - Quotas
    - Configurations of MSS pools etc.
- What is a "standard model" for a Tier 2 supporting analysis?
  - E.g. File systems (Lustre, NFS4, gpfs, AFS) with what interface, etc.?
  - How many users? Size per user, etc.

Mandate

- Clarify and confirm the roles of the Tier 1, 2, 3 sites for user analysis activities for each experiment, distinguishing between batch analysis and end-user analysis.

- Agree on the minimal set of services, or changes to existing services, essential to implement those models. These must be prioritised clearly between "essential" and "desirable". The expectation is that this is addressing configuration of services, or additional service instances rather than new developments. New developments must be clearly justified.

- Deliverables:
  - Documented analysis models
  - Report on requirements for services and developments with priorities and timescales.

Membership & Timescale

- ## Membership
  - 1 -2 people from each experiment
  - 4-6 people from Tier 1 and Tier 2 sites
  - Representative from EGEE and OSG sw development activities
  - Chairperson

- ## Timescale
  - Group should start immediately
  - Final report by end of year, monthly reports to MB