

Upgrade, IST and Powering tests of the Quench Detection System

Jens Steckert



Outline

- Activities during LS1
- Resources
- Planning
- IST
- Negative/Positive examples
- Technical issues
- Improvement/Changes
- CSCM
- Expert overload
- Conclusion



Activities during LS1 I

- DQLPU-A upgrade (covered in Vincent's talk)
- nQPS upgrade, testing, commissioning
 - DQQDS firmware upgrade/testing/commissioning
 - mDQQBS production/integration/commissioning
 - DQAMGS crate controller firmware upgrade
- IPQ/IPD protection upgrade
 - nDQQDI board integration/commissioning
 - DQQDC firmware update
 - DQAMG crate controller firmware update
- CSCM, protection/DAQ hardware, support
- Warm BB measurements
- R2E relocation P1&5 (and clean-up afterwards)



Activities during LS1 II

- IST/Hardware commissioning of all LHC QPS systems
- Development of new 600A quench detection board
- Finalizing/production of nDQQDI boards
- Introduction of new controls interface for all EP QPS equipment in LHC
- Software tools development for IST/HWC
- Extended tests:
 - nQPS signal and heater trigger cable verification
 - Quench heater measurement campaign (reference discharges)
 - 600A IST with extended interlock tests
 - UPS tests (verification of redundant UPS connection)



Activities in numbers

Boards reprogrammed: 15300

Boards tested in lab: 12k+

nQPS cables verified: 6500

Interlock tests performed: 23000

All quench Heaters tested: 6000

 Update of almost ALL firmware (mostly safety critical code!)

→ The complete QPS was touched!



Resources

Initially available

- 2 Experts
 (partially loaded with other business)
- 2 Tunnel experts (partially busy with DQLPU-A testing)
- 2 Technicians (lab support, reprogramming)
- Vito: programmed > 6k circuit boards alone...
- AGH people: nQPS reinstallation (main support DQLPU-A see Vincent's talk)

Injected later:

- Student for testing & software development
- Edward's team + Zinur: (cable checking)
- Bozhidar/Edward/Zinur: HDS testing
- AGH people: lab testing support



Planning

- No effective long-term planning in LS1 for non- DQPLU-A activities
- From Summer 2014 all activities were driven by machine sector availability
- Fine grained planning was fully defined by availability of equipment & experts
 - → Activities were fully "machine driven"
 - → Ad-hoc decisions and strategies to face the tasks to complete
- Ad-hoc injection of resources for activities which reached "outsourceable" state
 - Interlock tests, HDS tests, Cable checking
- → We were "driven" by LS1 instead of driving it !



IST (individual system tests)

- Expert work, creating bottle-necks
- Certain tests started with poor software support, situation improving during HWC
- Comprised partially of new or enhance tests which absorbed expert time to establish
- RB IST was running relatively smooth after the first 2-3 sectors:
 - Established procedures
 - Software tools supported tests
 - Tasks outsourced & people trained



Negative examples

- Polarities and wiring of 600A current sensors was a complete mess after R2E relocation
 → Took considerable amount of time to sort out (Expert tunnel + System Expert + Mp3)
- IPQ/IPD upgrade not very smooth due to lack of preparation time / sub-optimal organization
- Very rough start of MB/MQ activities due to new controls



Positive examples

- Mains commissioning after 3-4 sectors
 - After procedures/software/resources present, things went smooth
- Finally system arrived in stable operation ON TIME
- CSCM completed in 8 sectors



MPE LS1 Review

11

Technical issues (rough overview)

- Controls system underwent major update of middle ware (FESA3)
 - Update rendered old tools useless
 - New version available shortly before IST started
- Firmware of crate controllers for nQPS and IPQ/IPD not very mature due to missing test benches/time (larger scale, final controls etc.)
 - Not feasible in time due to unavailability
- Tools to facilitate commissioning had been developed "on the fly" during the commissioning campaign
 - → Expert time lost during "manual" tests (e.g. Interlock tests, UPS test etc)
- Instrumentation cables lacking proper labels on DFB side



Improvements/Changes

- Adapt overall program to resources (apply a more pessimistic view)
- Freeze program well ahead
 - Proper preparation possible
- Establish detailed procedures for IST steps

6/1/2015

- Test procedures in test bed
- Use final software/firmware
- Have supporting software tools ready and tested!
- Software libraries and controls system need to be available and stable well ahead



CSCM

- Was not accounted for in original planning (long uncertainty)
- Increased testing time of DQLPU-S considerably
- Delayed other activities
- Development of safety-critical code in very short time
- Rough start due to difficult system configuration caused by new controls system
 - → Absorbed one expert 100% in the beginning
- Similar to MB/MQ commissioning, after the first few sectors things went smooth



Expert overload

- Most tasks of LS1 required close expert support
- Little established routine work which could have been easily outsourced
- After the first sectors some tasks could be outsourced
 - Interlock tests → section internal
 - nQPS heater trigger cable verification → ELQA
 - Quench heater discharge tests → EE section
 - CSCM support → PE section



Expert overload II

- Time sharing between powering tests and IST created considerable expert overload (around xmas 2014..Jan 2015)
- PM data quality was not sufficient for MP3 analysis (lots of support required)
- Poor issue tracking increased the problem (double reporting etc.)
- All experts clearly overloaded, fatigue towards end:
 "...ok crate B17L9"
 (DANGER: leads to mishaps!)

6/1/2015



Postponed Tasks

- IPQ crate disentanglement
- IPQ bus-bar supervision
- 600A R2E upgrade
- DQQLC recovery after power cut



MPF I S1 Review 6/1/2015

17

Conclusion

- Reduce activities to a realistic amount
- Establish procedures well AHEAD start of activities
 - → Allows allocation of resources before
 - → Routine jobs can be planned better
- Have software tools available*
 - → With our system size, automation is crucial!
- Establish larger scale test benches to avoid surprises in the machine*
- "Freeze" LS program well ahead of time
- "Spare" the experts for "unforeseen" issues (which will come up!)



