LHCb Computing

2015Q2 status report





- Same calibration used online and offline
 - ► No reprocessing
- Same reconstruction online and offline
 - \approx 100% offline selection efficiency on trigger candidates
- Status:
 - Split HLT commissioned during SMOG run
 - Identical tracking and PID in HLT2 and offline achieved, within HLT2 time budget



- ▷ Uses less detailed geometry description, very minor degradation in momentum and mass resolution.
 - Δ Can be recovered by refit in few analyses that are sensitive to it.
- Automatic calibration procedures in place, tested during first collisions running





New in run 2: Turbostream

- Since offline quality reconstruction available in trigger, can do some analysis selections online
 - Write out only "micro-DST" like analysis objects, not RAW data
 - In 2015, write also RAW data, run offline reconstruction to validate offline selections.



- o **Status:**
 - Machinery and first selections in place
 - Tested with SMOG run:







Offline workflows also new

- Workflows defined, to be commissioned with first stable beam data this week and next
 - In parallel with first alignment and calibration, using online infrastructure







Review of data replication and archival policies

- Current model:
 - Real data:
 - ▷ Two tape copies RAW
 - ▷ One tape copy FULL.DST (RAW+ReconstructionDST)
 - ▷ Up to four disk plus two archive tape copies "stripped data"
 - Simulated data:
 - ▷ Up to three disk copies
 - ▹ Two archive tape copies
- Proposed:
 - Replace FULL.DST by RDST
 - Reduces by 25% tape required for RAW+Reco data
 - ▷ Some operational constraints
 - Reduce disk copies, following data popularity hints
 - Can go to zero for very unpopular data, restage from tape if needed
 - One tape archive only
- Under discussion:
 - No long term archive of MC (M)DST level datasets
 - ▷ Only analysis NTuples kept for analysis preservation

