Review of definitions: n_1 vs σ_n

- During LHC design, normalized apertures calculated in terms of n₁:
 - n₁ defines as the "maximum acceptable primary collimator opening, in units of beam σ, that still provides a protection of the mechanical aperture against losses from secondary beam halo."
 - accounts for closed orbit excursion, mechanical and alignment tolerances and an offmomentum component

| Parameter | Value | Unit |
|---|---------------------|--------------|
| Primary halo extension | 6 | σ |
| Secondary halo extension, hor./ver. | 7.3 | σ |
| Secondary halo extension, radial | 8.4 | σ |
| Normalised emittance ϵ_n | 3.75 | $\mu { m m}$ |
| Radial closed orbit excursion x_{co} | 3 | mm |
| Momentum offset δ_p | $8.6 	imes 10^{-4}$ | _ |
| Fractional beam size change from β -beating k_{β} | 1.1 | _ |
| Relative parasitic dispersion $f_{\rm arc}$ | 0.27 | - |

From CERN-ACC-2014-0044

LHC target design value: $n_1 > 7$

Review of definitions: n_1 vs σ_n

- nl notation very good in design phase, however is not always adequate
- Recent aperture calculation for HL-LHC done directly in units of σ_n instead of n_1 (see CERN-ACC-2014-0044), with revised parameters based on operational experience.
 - n₁ model relies on assumption of secondary halo shape and does not account for tertiary halo or offmomentum halo
 - n1 model not adequate for real cleaning bottleneck: at LHC limiting losses in DS caused by off-momentum particles from single diffractive scattering in TCPs
 - σ_n notation easier to grasp: collimator settings in σ_n (need the real aperture!)

| Parameter set | LHC design | HL-LHC design |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Primary halo extension | 6 σ | 6 σ |
| Secondary halo, hor./ver. | 6 σ | 6 σ |
| Secondary halo, radial | 6 σ | 6 σ |
| Normalised emittance ϵ_n | 3.75 μm | 3.5 μ m |
| Radial closed orbit | | |
| excursion $x_{ m co}$ | 3 mm | 2 mm |
| Momentum offset δ_r | $96 \times 10-4$ | 0 10-4 |
| p second second | 8.0×10^{-2} | 2×10^{-4} |
| β -beating fractional | 8.0 × 10 - | 2×10^{-4} |
| β -beating fractional beam size change k_{β} | 8.0 × 10 ⁻¹ | 2 × 10 ⁻⁴ |
| β -beating fractional beam size change k_{β} Relative parasitic | 8.0 × 10 ⁻¹ | 2 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.1 |
| β -beating fractional beam size change k_{β} Relative parasitic dispersion $f_{\rm arc}$ | 8.0 × 10 ⁻¹ 1.1 0.27 | 2 × 10 ⁻⁴ 1.1 0.1 |

Parameters used for HL-LHC aperture calculations in units of σ_n

From CERN-ACC-2014-0044

01/06/2015

Collimator settings

- For FCC we are trying to have a consistent notation using real emittance.
- Plan to repeat aperture calculation done for HL-LHC also for FCC
- For the moment, extrapolating from HL-LHC: collimator settings from HL-LHC baseline in σ_n (from CERN-ACC-2014-0044) and equivalent scaled settings for FCC:

| HL-LHC ($\epsilon = 3.5 \mu m$) | |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| ТСР | 5.7 |
| TCS | 7.7 |
| TCDQ | 9.0 |
| ТСТ | 10.9 |
| aperture | 12.3 |

FCC-hh ($\epsilon = 2.2 \mu m$)

| ТСР | 7.2 |
|----------|------|
| TCS | 9.7 |
| TCDQ | 11.4 |
| ТСТ | 13.7 |
| aperture | 15.5 |

Same settings as HL-LHC in σ units (for $\epsilon = 3.5 \ \mu$ m), re-expressed in σ units for $\epsilon = 2.2 \ \mu$ m