





Fluctuations and correlations in dynamical models

Elena Bratkovskaya in collaboration with M. Gorenstein, W. Cassing, M. Hauer, V. Konchakovski, V. Ozvenchuk, V.D. Toneev and V. Voronyuk

Institut für Theoretische Physik & FIAS, Uni. Frankfurt



HIC for FAIR Workshop on Fluctuation and Correlation Measures in Nuclear Collisions 2015

The holy grail of HIC



Study of the partonic medium beyond the phase boundary

Lattice QCD: Critical Point

Fluctuations of the quark number density (susceptibility) at $\mu_q > 0$

$$\frac{\chi_q}{T^2} = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\mu_q / T)^2} \frac{P}{T^4}\right]_{T_{fixed}}$$

Lattice QCD predictions: χ_q (quark number density fluctuations) will diverge at the critical chiral point =>

Experimental observation – look for **non-monotonic behavior** of the observables near the critical point :

- baryon number fluctuations
- charge number fluctuations
- multiplicity fluctuations
- **a** particle ratio fluctuations (K/ π , K/p, ...)
- mean p_T fluctuations
- 2 particle correlations





"Background" Fluctuations

Many factors lead to **"background" fluctuations** that can mask the signal of the critical point and therefore have to be carefully studied and accounted for:

- limited size of the colliding system
- fluctuations of initial conditions in heavy-ion collisions
- event-by-event fluctuations of the collision geometry
- experimental acceptance
- statistical fluctuations



• ...

In order to understand the "background" fluctuations we apply models, where no phase transition is implemented :

- wounded nucleon model
- statistical model of hadron-resonance gas
- transport models HSD and UrQMD
- • •

cf. review: Konchakovski et al., J. Phys. G37 (2010) 073101

Study of fluctuations within transport models

HSD – Hadron-String-Dynamics transport approach UrQMD – Ultra-relativistic-Quantum-Molecular-Dynamics

Transport models allow to study:

 statistical and dynamical fluctuations
 event-by-event analysis - similar to the experiment
 the centrality dependence
 the energy dependence of fluctuations
 the influence of the experimental acceptance on the final results on fluctuations





Multiplicity fluctuations in p+p

Scaled variance - multiplicity fluctuations in some acceptance (charge, strangeness, etc.):





Fluctuations in the number of participants

Fixed-target experiment:



Even for a fixed number of projectile participants N_p^{proj} the full number of participants N_p can fluctuate due to participant fluctuations in the target N_p^{targ} Participant number fluctuations are reflected in the observable fluctuations (e. g. multiplicity fluctuations)



To get rid of the fluctuations in the participant number one needs to consider only the most central collisions!

Konchakovski et al., Phys. Rev. C73 (2006) 034902; C78 (2008) 024906

Multiplicity fluctuations in N+N and central A+A

Konchakovski, Gorenstein, Bratkovskaya, Phys. Lett. B 651, 114 (2007)

□ Fluctuations in p+p and central A+A are very close within HSD due to the small participant number fluctuation in central A+A

Statistical model shows very small and energy independent fluctuations and **contradicts to** the **transport** calculations where **ω** reaches significant values for large energies



 \Box NA49 \Rightarrow one cannot clearly distinguish between statistical and transport models because of small acceptance and small differences between the model predictions in this range of energy

Fluctuation program of NA61/SHINE Collaboration

NA61/SHINE Collaborationprovides a comprehensiveenergy and system size scanof the phase diagram at theCERN SPS





The critical point should lead to an increase of multiplicity fluctuations in the two dimensional plane:
 (E, A) energy - system size or equivalently

(**T**,µ_B) temperature - baryon-chemical potential

Gazdzicki, PoS CPOD2006:016

Fluctuations and CP: Stephanov, Rajagopal, Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D 60, 114028 Freeze-out points: Becattini et al., Phys. Rev. C 73, 044905

Multiplicity fluctuations for 1%MC

Konchakovski, Lungwitz, Gorenstein, Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C78 (2008) 024906



Multiplicity fluctuations for 1%MC practically do not depend on atomic mass for y>0 and only slightly grow with increasing collision energy.



HSD and UrQMD show a plateau on top of which the SHINE Collaboration expects to find increasing multiplicity fluctuations as a "signal" for the critical point !

Multiplicity fluctuations for 1%MC

Konchakovski, Lungwitz, Gorenstein, Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C78 (2008) 024906



□ Multiplicity fluctuations for 1%MC practically do not depend on atomic mass for y>0 and only slightly grow with increasing collision energy.



HSD and UrQMD show a plateau on top of which the SHINE Collaboration expects to find increasing multiplicity fluctuations as a "signal" for the critical point !

Charge fluctuations

□ sensitive to the EoS at the early stage of the collision and to its changes in the deconfinement phase transition region



The decay of resonances strongly modifies the initial QGP fluctuations!

Event-by-event particle ratio fluctuations

Ratio fluctuations:
$$\sigma^2 \equiv \frac{\langle \Delta (N_A/N_B)^2 \rangle}{\langle N_A/N_B \rangle^2}$$

In assumption $|\Delta N_A| \ll \langle N_A \rangle$, $|\Delta N_B| \ll \langle N_B \rangle$

it can be rewritten as: $\sigma^2 \cong \frac{\omega_A}{\langle N_A \rangle} + \frac{\omega_B}{\langle N_B \rangle} - 2\rho_{AB} \left[\frac{\omega_A \omega_B}{\langle N_A \rangle \langle N_B \rangle} \right]^{1/2}$

with correlation parameter ρ_{AB}

$$g \equiv \frac{\left\langle \Delta N_A \Delta N_B \right\rangle}{\left[\left\langle (\Delta N_A)^2 \right\rangle \left\langle (\Delta N_B)^2 \right\rangle \right]^{1/2}}$$

In GCE for ideal Boltzman gas:

$$\omega_A = \omega_B = 1 \text{ and } \rho_{AB} = 0 \implies \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\langle N_A \rangle} + \frac{1}{\langle N_B \rangle}$$

Dynamical fluctuations:

after subtraction of σ for mixed events one gets:

$$\sigma_{dyn} \equiv \operatorname{sign}\left(\sigma^{2} - \sigma_{mix}^{2}\right) \left|\sigma^{2} - \sigma_{mix}^{2}\right|^{1/2}$$

\Box v_{dyn} fluctuations:

independent of fluctuations of the number of participants

$$v_{\rm dyn,K\pi} = \frac{\left\langle N_{\rm K} \left(N_{\rm K} - 1 \right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{\rm K} \right\rangle^2} + \frac{\left\langle N_{\pi} \left(N_{\pi} - 1 \right) \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{\pi} \right\rangle^2} - 2 \frac{\left\langle N_{\rm K} N_{\pi} \right\rangle}{\left\langle N_{\rm K} \right\rangle \left\langle N_{\pi} \right\rangle}$$

Statistical and HSD Model Results for Ratio Fluctuations



\Box Large difference in SM and the transport model predictions for ω_{π} with increasing energy!

\Box For σ_{dyn} SM and HSD differ at low energies in contrast to ω !

Gorenstein, Hauer, Konchakovski, Bratkovskaya, Phys. Rev. C 79(2009) 024907

K/π-ratio fluctuations: Transport models vs Data



HSD: Phys. Rev. C 79 (2009) 024907 UrQMD: J. Phys. G 30 (2004) S1381, PoS CFRNC2006,017 NA49: 0808.1237 STAR: 0901.1795 Exp. data show a plateau from top SPS up to RHIC energies and an increase towards lower SPS energies
 evidence for a critical point at low SPS energies ?

 but the HSD (without QGP!) results shows the same behavior →
 K/p-ratio fluctuation is driven by hadronic sources

! K/p ratio fluctuation is sensitive to the acceptance!



Forward-Backward Correlations in Nucleus-Nucleus Collisions: Baseline Contributions from Geometrical Fluctuations?





STAR:

More central collision -> higher correlations

Interpretation:

- Dual Partonic Model?
- CGC?
- Geometrical fluctuations?

Forward-Backward Correlations: Au+Au 200 GeV



• Different centrality definitions lead to different event samples in the same centrality class. This is crucial for small centrality bins!

Konchakovski, Hauer, Torrieri, Gorenstein, Bratkovskaya, PRC79, 034910 (2009)



Summary I

- The fluctuations in the number of target participants for fixed projectile participants - strongly influence all observable fluctuations
- Transport models show a smooth energy and atomic number dependence for the multiplicity fluctuations. Thus, the hadron-string models (without explicit phase transition!) demonstrate that the expected enhanced fluctuations - attributed to the critical point and phase transition - may be observed experimentally on top of a monotonic and smooth 'background'
- HSD results for the K/π ratio fluctuations show that it grows at low SPS energies similar to the NA49 data; strong sensitivity to exp.acceptance!
- Forward-backward correlations show a large sensitivity on the initial collisional geometry and centrality bin definition!

Fluctuations in-equilibrium QGP using PHSD





PHSD is a non-equilibrium transport model with

- explicit phase transition from hadronic to partonic degrees of freedom
- IQCD EoS for the partonic phase (,crossover' at μq=0)
- explicit parton-parton interactions between quarks and gluons
- dynamical hadronization

QGP phase is described by the Dynamical QuasiParticle Model (DQPM)
 matched to reproduce lattice QCD
 A. Peshier, W. Cassing, PRL 94 (2005) 172301;
 W. Cassing, NPA 791 (2007) 365: NPA 793 (2007)

strongly interacting quasi-particles: massive quarks and gluons (g,q,q_{bar}) with sizeable collisional widths in self-generated mean-field potential

Spectral functions:

 $\rho_i(\omega,T) = \frac{4\omega\Gamma_i(T)}{\left(i=q,\bar{q},g\right)} \left(\omega^2 - \bar{p}^2 - M_i^2(T)\right)^2 + 4\omega^2\Gamma_i^2(T)$



T/T

□ Transport theory: generalized off-shell transport equations based on the 1st order gradient expansion of Kadanoff-Baym equations (applicable for strongly interacting system!)

W. Cassing, E. Bratkovskaya, PRC 78 (2008) 034919; NPA831 (2009) 215; W. Cassing, EPJ ST 168 (2009) 3



Important: to be conclusive on charm observables, the light quark dynamics must be well under control!



Cf. talk by Pierre Moreau, Tu, 16:20; Alessia Palmese, Fr, 16:00

PHSD provides a **good description of ,bulk' observables** (y-, p_T-distributions, flow coeficients $v_n, ...$) from SPS to LHC

Properties of parton-hadron matter in-equilibrium

V. Ozvenchuk et al., PRC 87 (2013) 024901, arXiv:1203.4734 V. Ozvenchuk et al., PRC 87 (2013) 064903, arXiv:1212.5393

The goal:

PHS

study of the dynamical equilibration of QGP within the non-equilibrium off-shell PHSD transport approach

transport coefficients (shear and bulk viscosities) of strongly interacting partonic matter

particle number fluctuations (scaled variance, skewness, kurtosis)

Realization:

D Initialize the system in a finite box with periodic boundary conditions with some energy density ε and chemical potential μ_q

C Evolve the system in time until equilibrium is achieved



Properties of parton-hadron matter – shear viscosity

 η /s using Kubo formalism and the relaxation time approximation (,kinetic theory')

T=T_C: η /s shows a minimum (~0.1) close to the critical temperature

T>T_C : QGP - pQCD limit at higher temperatures

TTTC: fast increase of the ratio η /s for hadronic matter

lower interaction rate of hadronic system

 smaller number of degrees of freedom (or entropy density) for hadronic matter compared to the QGP



Virial expansion: S. Mattiello, W. Cassing, Eur. Phys. J. C 70, 243 (2010).

QGP in **PHSD** = strongly-interacting liquid

Properties of parton-hadron matter – electric conductivity

•The response of the strongly-interacting system in equilibrium to an external electric field eE_z defines the electric conductivity σ_0 :

PHS

$$\frac{\sigma_0}{T} = \frac{j_{eq}}{E_z T}, \quad j_z(t) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_j eq_j \frac{p_z^j(t)}{M_j(t)},$$



the QCD matter even at T~ T_c is a much better electric conductor than Cu or Ag (at room temperature) by a factor of 500 !





Scaled variance



→ scaled variances reach a plateau in time for all observables
 → equilibrium values are less than 1 (as in GCE) for all ω → MCE
 → particle number fluctuations are flavor blind

V. Ozvenchuk et al., PRC 87 (2013) 024901, arXiv:1203.4734



Skewness

Skewness
$$g_1 = \frac{m_3}{m_2^{3/2}} = \frac{m_3}{\sigma^3}$$
, $m_3 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \mu)^3$

skewness characterizes the **asymmetry** of the distribution function with respect to its **average value**



V. Ozvenchuk et al., PRC 87 (2013) 024901, arXiv:1203.4734



Kurtosis



η/s → QGP in PHSD behaves as a strongly-interacting liquid

\Box significant rise of the bulk viscosity to entropy density ratio ζ /s in the vicinity of the critical temperature when including the scalar mean-field from PHSD

scaled variances ω for the different particle number fluctuations in the box reach equilibrium values in time and behave as in a micro-canonical ensemble

skewness for all observables are compatible with zero

excess kurtosis is compatible with IQCD results for gluons and charged particles

Chiral magnetic effect and evolution of the electromagnetic field in relativistic heavy-ion collisions



Charge separation in HIC: CP violation signal

Magnetic field through the **axial anomaly** induces a parallel electric field which will separate different charges



Non-zero angular momentum (or equivalently strong magnetic field) in heavy-ion collisions make it possible for P- and CP-odd domains to induce charge separation → ,chiral magnetic effect' (CME) D.Kharzeev, PLB 633 (2006) 260

Electric dipole moment of QCD matter !

Measuring the charge separation with respect to the reaction plane - S.Voloshin, PRC 70 (2004) 057901

$\langle \cos(\psi_{\alpha} + \psi_{\beta} - 2\Psi_{RP}) \rangle =$ $= \langle \cos(\psi_{\alpha} + \psi_{\beta} - 2\psi_{c}) \rangle / v_{2,c} = v_{1,\alpha}v_{1,\beta} - a_{\alpha}a_{\beta}$

Combination of intense B-field and deconfinement is needed for a spontanuous parity violation signal !

Charge separation in RHIC experiments

STAR Collaboration, PRL 103 (2009) 251601

 $<\cos(\phi_a + \phi_b - 2\psi_{RP})>$



Combination of intense B and deconfinement is needed for a spontaneous parity violation signal

PHSD with electromagnetic fields



32

Generalized transport equations in the presence of electromagnetic fields*:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\vec{r}} &\to \frac{\vec{p}}{p_0} + \vec{\nabla}_p U \ , \qquad U \sim Re(\Sigma^{ret})/2p_0 \\ \dot{\vec{p}} &\to -\vec{\nabla}_r U + e\vec{E} + e\vec{v} \times \vec{B} \end{split}$$

❑ A general solution of the wave equations

➔ retarded Lienard-Wiechert electric and magnetic potentials:

$$e \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \alpha \sum_{n} Z_n \frac{[\mathbf{R}_n - R_n \mathbf{v}_n]}{(R_n - \mathbf{R}_n \cdot \mathbf{v})^3} (1 - v^2), \qquad e \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \alpha \sum_{n} Z_n \frac{\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{R}_n}{(R_n - \mathbf{R}_n \cdot \mathbf{v})^3} (1 - v^2),$$
$$\mathbf{R}_n = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_n$$

* Realized in the PHSD for hadrons and quarks

V. Voronyuk, et al., Phys.Rev. C83 (2011) 054911

Magnetic field evolution



AuAu, $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$, b=10 fm, t=0.2 fm/c

AuAu, $\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$, b=10 fm, t=0.5 fm/c



 $m_\pi^2 \approx 10^{18} {
m Gauss}$

V.Voronyuk, et al., Phys.Rev. C83 (2011) 054911

Time dependence of eB_v



 Until t~1 fm/c the induced magnetic field is defined by spectators only
 Maximal magnetic field is reached during nuclear overlapping time Δt~0.2 fm/c, then the field goes down exponentially

Angular correlation wrt. reaction plane



Angular correlation is of hadronic origin up to s^{1/2} = 11 GeV !

V. D. Toneev et al., PRC 85 (2012) 034910, PRC 86 (2012) 064907

Compensation of magnetic and electric forces



Au+Au, s^{1/2} = 11 GeV, b=10 fm

 $\dot{\vec{p}}
ightarrow e \vec{E} + e \vec{v} imes \vec{B}$

Momentum increment: (for p_z>0)

 $\Delta \mathbf{p}(t) = \sum_{t_i}^t \langle d\mathbf{p}(t_i) \rangle$

strong magnetic and electric forces compensate each other!

V. D. Toneev et al., PRC 85 (2012) 034910, PRC 86 (2012) 064907



The PHSD transport model with retarded electromagnetic fields shows :

- **Carticle Control of Strong electric and magnetic fields** at heavy-ion collisions
- □ strong magnetic and electric forces compensate each other → small effect on observables
- □ low-energy experiments within the RHIC BES program at √s_{NN} = 7.7 and 11.5 GeV can be explained within *hadronic scenario* without reference to the spontaneous local CP violation.
- □ PHSD doesn't reproduce the exp. data on angular correlations $<\cos(\phi_a + \phi_b 2\psi_{RP})>$ at $\sqrt{s_{NN}}= 39 200$ GeV → indication for CME?

