Report on the analysis of the ion test of CHD paddles to study effects of quenching and delta rays

TAMURA Tadahisa for T&C in Japan (Yuko OKADA is now analyzing the CHD paddle data.)

Motivation to study effects of quenching and delta rays of CHD plastic scintillator

Tarle's equiation for quenching effect:

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = A\left(\left(1-f\right)C+f\right)\frac{dE}{dx} \qquad C = \frac{1}{1+B}\left(1-f\right)\frac{dE}{dx}$$

We use this formula in our simulation for the CHD output signals.

However, experimental data is affected by delta rays from upstream and backscatters.

$$\frac{dL}{dx} = A_{\hat{e}}^{\acute{e}} a\left(\left(1 - f^{\ell}\right)C^{\ell} + f^{\ell}\right)\frac{dE_{h}}{dx} + \frac{dE_{s}^{\acute{u}}}{dx} \overset{\acute{u}}{\not{\ell}} \qquad C^{\ell} = \frac{1}{1 + B^{\ell}}\left(1 - f^{\ell}\right)\frac{dE_{h}}{dx}$$

 dE_h : Energy deposit by nuclei dE_s : Energy deposit by particles with Z=1

We would like to verify appropriate parameters in our simulation to explain experimental data. ⇒ It is desirable to use same parameters for both the upstream and downstream paddles. ⇒ We would like to study an effect of pass length on the light yield.

Experimental setups

	Upstream	Downstream	Incident angle
1	exCHD No.1	exCHD No.2	Vertical (0 deg.)
2	exCHD No.2	exCHD No.1	Vertical (0 deg.)
3	exCHD No.1	exCHD No.2	0, 15, 30, 45 , 60 deg.



Two CHD paddles (exCHD No.1 and No.2) were set closely at upstream and downstream in the beam line.

1 Upstream exCHD No.1 Downstream exCHD No.2 (Vertical)

Counts

Counts



② Upstream exCHD No.2 Downstream exCHD No.1 (Vertical)

Counts

Counts



1 Nuclei peaks in the He MIP unit

- Helium MIP of each exCHD set at upstream was calibrated.
- We use the Helium MIP as a unit of light output here.
- He-MIP

Each nuclear peak is shown in the Helium MIP unit.



exCHD No.1-exCHD No.2







• In case of C-MIP unit

0.2

0 <u>1</u>

2 3 4 5 6 7 8



9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

19

z











12 Difference of light output at upstream and downstream

Red: downstream

Black: upstream



Light output at downstream is larger than that at upstream
⇒ It is expected to be an effect of delta rays from the upstream paddle to the downstream paddle.

 The light output of each scintillator at downstream relative to that at upstream downstream[He-MIP]



The ratio of No.2 is larger by about 1 % than that of No.1.

 \Rightarrow It should be same in the MIP unit. We need more consideration.

(3) Dependency of light output on beam incident angles





• Light outputs at each angle relative to that at 0 deg.



• He

Vertical: Ratio of light output Horizontal: 1/cosΘ



• C

Vertical: Ratio of light output Horizontal: 1/cosΘ



• Si

Vertical: Ratio of light output Horizontal: 1/cosΘ



• Ar

Vertical: Ratio of light output Horizontal: 1/cosΘ





- Light output of the downstream paddle increases at about same ratio (6-7%) for all nuclei
- The Difference of 1 % between two paddles are to be considered more
- The light outputs are proportional to the pass length of the particles

Action Item

• We will make simulations to compare them with the experimental results to verify the effect of the delta rays