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Motivation (s)

TAS will be replaced for HL-LHC operation to increase the aperture for the beam.

Q1-TAS is a very difficult access area, space is very limited and subject to high radiation doses.

Reduce and improve interventions in view of HL-LHC, having a safe and quick access to vacuum components.

Furthermore, the presently installed warm BPM is not optimal in terms of operation, new position & fixing it to Q1 could improve situation.
Presently ~ 1.3 m of space. Equipment installed: warm BPM, 2 vacuum valves, bellows, bake-out equipment.
Constraints for Interventions @ TAS/Q1 region

- **Physical barriers**
  - Equipment situation. Very difficult access to the region.
  - Limited available space around equipment.

- **Radiation barriers**
  - Limited time access.
  - Working conditions
Access Q1 to TAS region (ATLAS)
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Access Q1 to TAS region (CMS)
Access Q1 to TAS region (CMS)
Access - CMS/IR5

• Possibility of side access through special openings in the FIN shielding, after opening the large rotating shielding.
Interventions @ TAS/Q1 region

- Preventive (Routine)
  - Alignment, survey (TAS, BPM)
  - Beam instrumentation
  - Bake-out

- Corrective (Failure of equipment)
  - BPM
  - All metal gate valves.
  - Pumps.
  - Gas Injection
  - Bellows
  - Connections
  - Ancillaries (cabling, piping)

Keep worker dose as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA)
Overview of Radiation (EDMS 1434476)

- The residual dose rate increase until LS3 depends on operational scenario, cooling time and material and is about a factor of 4 to 6.

- The residual dose rate increase until HL-LHC era depends on operational scenario, cooling time and material and is about a factor of 15 to 30.
  - Short cooling times: no differences among the LSs after LS3.
  - Longer cooling time: slightly increasing (but not evident along the triplet).
  - HL vs LS3: a factor 4 to 6 higher.
  - HL vs LS1: a factor 15 to 30 higher.

- HL-LHC Ultimate vs Nominal: a factor 1.2 to 1.5 higher, depending on the cooling time (mainly driven by the instantaneous luminosity).
Experiment side dose rates @considered position are ~3 times higher than tunnel side ones.
TAXS Experiments region lay-out proposal.

- TAXS designed with C-coated vacuum chamber, no bake-out required.

- Move equipment and services from Q1-TAXS region to experiment’s cavern.
  - Modular Design for fast exchange (remote handling) of equipment based on existing solutions.
  - Common lay-out for ATLAS and CMS.

- Include a new warm BPM that would be located in a good region to allow optimised beam steering and luminosity optimization during operation.
New VAX-BPM module

3 structures to be removed independently

- TAXs gate valve
- VAX-BPM
- IR gate valve
Modular approach, vertical operations

Quick flange connectors

Pin guidance

Courtesy M. Lazzaroni
VAX-BPM Installation sequence
VAX-BPM Installation sequence

Quick flanges compressed, module lowered
VAX-BPM Installation sequence
VAX-BPM Installation sequence

Flange connection

(Quick flange centering based on current collimator’s approach)
HL-LHC TAXS VAX Lay-out CMS
Conflicts with CMS shielding

Courtesy A. Gaddi
CMS longitudinal cut-view
Endcap opening onto the FIN

BP installation

Endcap open 10.4m
HL-LHC TAXS VAX Lay-out ATLAS
ATLAS. Conflicts with existing shielding structures
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 1- Removal of JFS3U (upper octagon)
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 2- Removal of JFC3. (Access to Vacuum equipment)
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 3 Removal of JFC2.
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 4 - Removal of JFC3.
(Access to Vacuum equipment)

Released space inside JTT1
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 5 & 6 - Removal of JFS3L (lower octagon) and JFC1
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

Step 6  Big wheel displacement.
ATLAS Forward shielding removal

ECT at maximum opening.
Required modifications in Forward Shieldings...

**ATLAS**
- JFS3Octogone
- JFC2
- JFC3.
- Toroid shielding (JTT1).

**CMS**
- FIN Top insert
- Rotating Shielding removable shielding inserts ("chicane")
... and to auxiliary equipment

**ATLAS**

- Beam pipe support (both at JN mono-block and JTT).
- Beam pipe alignment rails inside JTT shortened (from JTT2 instead of JTT1).
- Lucid position.

**CMS**

- Beam pipe support at FIN.
- Services routing to 13 m vacuum pump.
Q1 to TAS

Pumping and bellow to **decouple** room temperature TAS from cryogenic temperature triplet.

Preliminary work

```
~ 520 mm
```

```
Q1
TAS
```

No access during operations required.

Courtesy L. Krzempek
Q1 to TAS
CONCLUSIONS

- Very good collaboration & support from experiments (Thanks!)

- Relocation of vacuum equipment from the tunnel to the experimental area (from 22-21m to 19 to 17m) seems feasible, but has implications on experiments:
  - Modifications in experiment shielding.
  - Modifications in auxiliary systems.

- Radiation levels 3x on the experiment side, remote operations and alignment compulsory.

- Q1-TAS remains one of the most difficult access areas to access in the accelerator.
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Thanks to all colleagues of WP8!!!

CMS Forward Shielding Zone
ATLAS Forward region
Figure 1. The shielding pieces.
LS1 measurement

ECT - TAS side C, 21-Feb-2013
Distance from Beam Pipe: Contact, 20 cm, 40 cm, 1m, 2m
Drawings ATCO_0012
Measurements in μSv/h
RP - Conan Nadine; RPE - Spigo Giancarlo

~2/3 months cooling time

Average (contact..1m)
BPM Position

• For optimal use during operations, the location of the BPMs should be positioned at least ±60 cm away of the beam crossing points, defined every 3.74m from the IP → the “blind areas”

• Having an BPM that works with collision optics would be important for HL-LHC operation and in particular for luminosity levelling

Courtesy P. Fessia
TAS-Q1 Layout proposal for HL-LHC operation

- A. Maintain the same location of Q1 ($L^*=23m$) and include the Q1-BPM into the cryostat
  - Allows for fixed mechanical connection between the BPM and the magnet cold mass therefore improved alignment and position monitoring during operations
  - Mitigates the risk of vacuum leak from the warm BPM of today

- We also investigated the option to move Q1 further away from the TAS by ~1m ($L^*=24m$) but doesn’t seem to work
  - penalty for luminosity (small ~5% loss), doesn’t really solve the access problems, and as all IT magnets would have to move accordingly, puts almost all BPMs of the SS to “blind” positions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>Q1</th>
<th>Q2A</th>
<th>Q2B</th>
<th>Q3A</th>
<th>Q3B</th>
<th>D1</th>
<th>DFXJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L*23m</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTANCE TO THE BLIND AREA</td>
<td>-92</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>616</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>-288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*22.7m</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>OK</td>
<td>HALF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*24m</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>HALF</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>BLIND</td>
<td>OK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IAS-Q1 Layout proposal for HL-LHC operation

Present Situation
CMS/IR5

Proposed Layout L*=23m
CMS/IR5

Courtesy
P. Fessia
TAS-Q1 Layout proposal for HL-LHC operation

Present Situation
ATLAS/IR1

Proposed Layout $L^*=23\text{m}$
ATLAS/IR1
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