CP violation in the MSSM at the LHC

Jamie Tattersall

Work in collaboration with: G Moortgat-Pick, J Ellis, F Moortgat, K Rolbiecki, J Smillie (arXiv:0809.1607)

> Institute for Particle Physics Phenomenology, Durham University

IOP HEPP, Oxford, April 2009

www.ippp.dur.ac.uk

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- **[CP Violation](#page-3-0)**
- **[SUSY Particles](#page-7-0)**
- **•** [Triple Product Correlations](#page-10-0)

2 [Stop Production](#page-12-0)

- **•** [Parton Level](#page-13-0)
- [Including PDFs](#page-17-0)

3 [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)

- **•** [Process](#page-21-0)
- **•** [Results](#page-22-0)
- **[Momentum Reconstruction](#page-24-0)**

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- **[CP Violation](#page-3-0)**
- **[SUSY Particles](#page-7-0)**
- **•** [Triple Product Correlations](#page-10-0)

2 [Stop Production](#page-12-0)

- **[Parton Level](#page-13-0)**
- **[Including PDFs](#page-17-0)**

3 [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)

- **•** [Process](#page-21-0)
- **•** [Results](#page-22-0)
- **[Momentum Reconstruction](#page-24-0)**

4 [Summary](#page-26-0)

In the Standard Model, the only source of CP violation comes from the complex phase within the CKM matrix.

The phase of the CKM in the Standard Model contains too little CP violation for Baryogensis. (Phys. Rept. 401, 1 (2005): Chung, Everett, Kane, King, Lykken and Wang)

Consequently, we require new CP violating terms to explain the asymmetry we see in the universe.

MSSM (Minimal Supersymmetric Model) can contain several complex parameters that can all contribute.

In the Standard Model, the only source of CP violation comes from the complex phase within the CKM matrix.

- The phase of the CKM in the Standard Model contains too little CP violation for Baryogensis. (Phys. Rept. 401, 1 (2005): Chung, Everett, Kane, King, Lykken and Wang)
- Consequently, we require new CP violating terms to explain the asymmetry we see in the universe.

MSSM (Minimal Supersymmetric Model) can contain several complex parameters that can all contribute.

We explore methods of determining if CP violating effects in the electroweak part of the MSSM can be observed at the LHC.

- Most detailed phenomenological analyses has been based on a future LC.
- **•** Precise determination of phases only expected at a LC.
- Crucial for future search strategy to use LHC data to learn as much as possible.
- Choose processes with the most promising discovery potential at LHC (coloured states).
- Previously studies by Bartl et al, did not include production process and by Langacker et al, only estimated effect of PDFs.

(Phys.Rev.D70:095007,2004: Bartl, Christova, Hohenwarter-Sodek, Kernreiter) (JHEP 0707:055,2007: Langacker, Paz, Wang, Yavin)

We consider the MSSM with parameters defined at the weak scale.

• In this framework the gaugino and Higgsino mass parameters and the trilinear couplings can have complex phases.

 $M_i = |M_i|e^{i\phi_i},$ $\mu = |\mu|e^{i\phi_\mu},$ $A_f = |A_f|e^{i\phi_i}$

- For the neutralino sector only the phase of M_1 and μ are important (the phase of $M₂$ can always be rotated away).
- Physical phases $\phi_i,\,\phi_\mu$ and ϕ_f generate CP odd observables (unique determination of CP phases) that can in principle be large as they are already present at tree level.

The supersymmetric partners of the *B*, W^{\pm} , H_1^0 , H_2^0 mix to produce mass eigenstates called neutralinos.

Mixing matrix:

$$
\mathcal{M}_N=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}M_1&0&-m_Z s_W c_\beta&m_Z s_w s_\beta\\0&M_2&m_Z c_W c_\beta&-m_Z c_W s_\beta\\-m_Z s_W s_\beta&m_Z c_W c_\beta&0&-\mu\\m_Z s_W s_\beta&-m_Z c_W s_\beta&-\mu&0\end{array}\right)
$$

 $M_1 = U(1)$ Gaugino Mass Parameter *M₂* = *SU*(2) Gaugino Mass Parameter

The matrix is diagonalised by a unitary mixing matrix *N*:

$$
\pmb{\mathcal{N}}^*\mathcal{M}_{\pmb{\mathcal{N}}}\pmb{\mathcal{N}}^\dagger=\text{diag}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0},m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0},m_{\tilde{\chi}_3^0},m_{\tilde{\chi}_4^0})
$$

where $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_i}$, $i=1,..,4$ are the (non-negative) masses of the *i* physical neutralino states.

The lightest neutralino is then decomposed as:

$$
\tilde{\chi}_1^0=N_{11}\tilde{B}+N_{12}\tilde{W}+N_{13}\tilde{H}_1+N_{14}\tilde{H}_2
$$

with the bino (f_B) , wino (f_W) and Higgsino (f_H) fractions defined as:

$$
f_B = |N_{11}|^2
$$
, $f_W = |N_{12}|^2$, $f_{H_1} = |N_{13}|^2$, $f_{H_2} = |N_{14}|^2$.

The LSP will hence be mostly bino, wino or Higgsino according to the smallest mass parameter, M_1 , M_2 or μ .

The Stop mixing matrix is given by:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde t_{LL}}^2 & \mathsf{e}^{-i\phi_{\widetilde t}}|\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde t_{LR}}^2| \\ \mathsf{e}^{i\phi_{\widetilde t}}|\mathcal{M}_{\widetilde t_{LR}}^2| & \mathcal{M}_{\widetilde t_{RR}}^2 \end{array}\right),
$$

with off diagonal terms:

$$
M_{\tilde{t}_{RL}}^2 = (M_{\tilde{t}_{LR}}^2)^* = m_t(A_t - \mu^* \cot \beta),
$$

and phase:

$$
\phi_{\tilde{t}} = \arg[A_t - \mu^* \cot \beta].
$$

We note that we have $\phi_{\tilde{t}} \approx \phi_{\mathcal{A}_t}$ for $|\mathcal{A}_t| \gg |\mu| \cot \beta.$

Triple Product Correlations are a useful tool for studying CP odd observables.

• Construct an observable:

 $\mathcal{T} = \overrightarrow{p_1} \cdot (\overrightarrow{p_2} \times \overrightarrow{p_3})$

- Naïve time reversal operation, T_N , reverses 3-momenta $\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{l}} \rightarrow -\frac{\partial}{\partial \vec{l}}$ and polarisations.
- Assuming *CPT_N* holds (final-state interactions and finite-width effects are negligible), T_N violation is equivalent to CP violation.
- Asymmetry will vanish under CP conservation.
- • Triple product correlations as a CP indicator are a tree level effect.
	- Observables are not suppressed by loops as is the case with B-physics.

Require at least a three body decay mediated by a particle that is not a scalar (allow spin correlations).

- Observable correlations cannot occur solely from decays of a neutralino.
- Triple products originate from the Dirac Trace that produces the covariant product:

$$
\text{tr}(\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}\gamma^{\rho}\gamma^{\sigma}\gamma^{5}) \longrightarrow i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\rho^{\mu}_{a}\rho^{\nu}_{b}\rho^{\rho}_{c}\rho^{\sigma}_{d}.
$$

The covariant product can be expanded in terms of explicit 4-momentum components:

$$
E_a \overrightarrow{p_b} \cdot (\overrightarrow{p_c} \times \overrightarrow{p_d}) + \dots
$$

[Stop Production](#page-12-0)
 Stop Production [Summary](#page-26-0)
 Stop Production [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)
 $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- **[CP Violation](#page-3-0)**
- **[SUSY Particles](#page-7-0)**
- **[Triple Product Correlations](#page-10-0)**

2 [Stop Production](#page-12-0)

- **•** [Parton Level](#page-13-0)
- [Including PDFs](#page-17-0)

3 [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)

- **•** [Process](#page-21-0)
- **•** [Results](#page-22-0)
- **[Momentum Reconstruction](#page-24-0)**

4 [Summary](#page-26-0)

Process studied:

 $g \, g \;\Longrightarrow\; \tilde{t} \; \tilde{t},$ $\tilde{t} \implies t \tilde{\chi}_2^0,$ $\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \implies \tilde{\chi}_1^0 l^+ l^-$. *g* $g \triangleleft$ ˜*t* ˜*t W*⁺ *bt* $\tilde{\chi}$ 0 2 $\tilde{\chi}$ 0 1 *l* + *l* For this channel to work all scenarios have to satisfy:

$$
M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} < M_{\tilde{e}_{L,R}}, \quad M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} - M_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1} < M_Z.
$$

Process allows three different triple products to be studied:

$$
\mathcal{T}_t = \vec{p}_t \cdot (\vec{p}_{\ell^+} \times \vec{p}_{\ell^-}) \ , \quad \mathcal{T}_b = \vec{p}_b \cdot (\vec{p}_{\ell^+} \times \vec{p}_{\ell^-}) \ , \quad \mathcal{T}_{tb} = \vec{p}_t \cdot (\vec{p}_b \times \vec{p}_{\ell^{\pm}}).
$$

- \mathcal{T}_t only sensitive to phase, $\phi_{\textit{M}_1}.$
- $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{b}}$ and $\mathcal{T}_{\boldsymbol{t}\boldsymbol{b}}$ sensitive to both $\phi_{\boldsymbol{M}_1}$ and $\phi_{\boldsymbol{A}_t}.$
- Charge identification is required as CP conjugate process has an asymmetry of the opposite sign.
	- For \mathcal{T}_t and \mathcal{T}_{tb} we require opposite decay chain i.d ($\tilde{t} \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^+ b$ dominant).
	- For \mathcal{T}_b , leptonic decay of W is an alternative.

Realising CP asymmetry

I choose an example triple product:

$$
\mathcal{T}_t = \overrightarrow{p_t} \cdot (\overrightarrow{p_{l^+}} \times \overrightarrow{p_{l^-}})
$$

Momentum conservation forces *l* ⁺, *l* [−] and $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ to define a plane in the rest frame of $\tilde{\chi}^0_2.$

- A non-zero expectation value of $\mathcal T$, implies a non-zero average angle between the plane and the z-axis (*pt*).
- Define asymmetry parameter:

$$
\eta = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{+} + N_{-}} = \frac{N_{+} - N_{-}}{N_{total}}
$$

where:

$$
N_+=\int_0^1\frac{d\Gamma}{d\text{cos}\theta}d\text{cos}\theta,\hspace{0.5cm}N_-=\int_{-1}^0\frac{d\Gamma}{d\text{cos}\theta}d\text{cos}\theta,
$$

[Introduction](#page-2-0) **[Stop Production](#page-12-0)** [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0) [Summary](#page-26-0)

00000000

000000000

Parton Level

Parton Level Asymmetry

- All asymmetries in %.
- Asymmetries at the parton level can be as large as 10%.
- Various scenarios with three body decay of $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ show similar results.
- CP odd observable

- ˜*t*¹ are boosted due to production process and PDFs.
- Asymmetry is maximal in rest frame of decaying particle.
- Dilution of asymmetry due to *t* flipping orientation in comparison to plane defined by *l* +*l* −.

Hadronic Level Asymmetry

- After including production process and folding in PDF's, asymmetry drops to \approx 4% maximum.
- Similar for each triple product.
- All results generated analytically, cross-checked with Herwig++.

[Introduction](#page-2-0) **[Stop Production](#page-12-0)** [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0) [Summary](#page-26-0)

00000000

00000000

Including PDFs

Hadronic Level Asymmetry

- \bullet Cross section of production \approx 1.5pb (Analytical, Herwig++, Madgraph).
- $BR(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_2 t) \approx 10\%, BR(\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \rightarrow \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \ell^+ \ell^-) \approx 4\%.$
- **If cuts, detector effects.... etc are included, discovery potential** looks very difficult even if large phases are present.

[Introduction](#page-2-0) [Stop Production](#page-12-0) [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0) [Summary](#page-26-0)

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- **[CP Violation](#page-3-0)**
- [SUSY Particles](#page-7-0)
- **[Triple Product Correlations](#page-10-0)**
- **2 [Stop Production](#page-12-0)**
	- **[Parton Level](#page-13-0)**
	- **[Including PDFs](#page-17-0)**
- **3 [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)**
	- **•** [Process](#page-21-0)
	- **•** [Results](#page-22-0)
	- **[Momentum Reconstruction](#page-24-0)**

4 [Summary](#page-26-0)

Process studied:

$$
q g \implies \tilde{q}_L \tilde{g},
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{q}_L \implies \tilde{\chi}_2^0 q,
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \implies \tilde{\chi}_1^0 l^+ l^-.
$$

- Process takes advantage of the dominant SUSY production channel at the LHC.
- Again the scenario has to satisfy:

$$
M_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} < M_{\tilde{e}_{L,R}}, \quad M_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} - M_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} < M_Z.
$$

• As \tilde{q} decays directly into a quark jet only one triple product can be reconstructed (sensitive to $\phi_{\textit{M}_1}$):

$$
\mathcal{T} = \vec{p}_q \cdot (\vec{p}_{\ell^+} \times \vec{p}_{\ell^-}).
$$

 \circ Charge identification not required as \tilde{q} dominates over \tilde{q} .

[Introduction](#page-2-0) [Stop Production](#page-12-0) [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0) [Summary](#page-26-0)

O 2000000 OCOOOO O O 200000 O 2000

Results

Partonic Level Asymmetry

- Asymmetry can be as large as 15%.
- mSugra scenario chosen with favourable features.
	- Large branching ratios for our decay chain.
	- Coupling character of $\tilde{\chi}^0_2$ and $\tilde{\chi}^0_1$ here produce large asymmetry.

Results

Hadronic Level Asymmetry

- Dilution again seen in the asymmetry observable.
- **•** Increased production cross section and branching ratios.
	- Significantly reduce the luminosity required.
- Cross section of production \approx 17pb.
- $\mathsf{BR}(\widetilde{\mathsf{q}}_L\to \widetilde{\chi}_2^0 q)\approx$ 30%, $\mathsf{BR}(\widetilde{\chi}_2^0\to \widetilde{\chi}_1^0 \ell^+\ell^-)\approx$ 10%
- Hints could be seen at the LHC.

Momentum Reconstruction (work in progress)

- Main problem with measuring asymmetries at the LHC is the dilution due to boosted frames.
- We reconstruct the frame of the decaying particle and the full asymmetry is restored.
- **Reconstruct LSP momentum using the set of invariant** equations.
- Also investigate the effect of boosting into the frames of the visible decay products.

Mass conditions:

$$
m_{\tilde{q}} = (P_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} + P_q)^2,
$$

\n
$$
m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0} = (P_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0} + P_{\ell^+} + P_{\ell^-})^2,
$$

\n
$$
m_{\tilde{g}} = (P_{\tilde{t}} + P_t)^2,
$$

\n
$$
m_{\tilde{t}} = (P_{\tilde{\chi}_1^+} + P_b)^2,
$$

\n
$$
\vec{p}_{miss}^T = \vec{p}_{\tilde{\chi}_{1A}^0}^T + \vec{p}_{\tilde{\chi}_{1B}^0}^T + \vec{p}_{\tilde{\chi}_{1C}^0}^T.
$$

- Assuming particle masses are known, momenta of $\tilde{\chi}^1_0$ can be reconstructed.
- \bullet By boosting into rest frame of decaying \tilde{q} , parton level asymmetry is recovered.

Outline

1 [Introduction](#page-2-0)

- **[CP Violation](#page-3-0)**
- **[SUSY Particles](#page-7-0)**
- **[Triple Product Correlations](#page-10-0)**

2 [Stop Production](#page-12-0)

- **•** [Parton Level](#page-13-0)
- **[Including PDFs](#page-17-0)**

3 [Squark-Gluino Production](#page-20-0)

- **•** [Process](#page-21-0)
- **•** [Results](#page-22-0)
- **[Momentum Reconstruction](#page-24-0)**

- New forms of CP violation are required to explain asymmetry we see in the universe.
- MSSM can contain new phases that lead to CP violation.
- **•** Initial study of \tilde{t} production was unpromising for LHC.
- New study using \tilde{q} ^{\tilde{q}} much more hopeful.
- **•** Data from ILC will be crucial to constrain parameter space of MSSM.
- Using momentum reconstruction further improves the situation.

Extra Slides

Extra slides on CP constraints and other possible MSSM CP observables

Certain combinations of the CP violating phases are constrained by experimental upper bounds on various EDMs (Electric Dipole Moments).

- Ignoring possible cancellations ϕ_{μ} is the most severely constrained.
	- Contributes at the one loop level to EDMs.
	- We set to zero in our analysis.
- $\phi_{\textit{M}_{1}}$ also contributes at the one loop level to EDMs.
	- Accidental cancellations may allow it to become less constrained.
- The phases of the third-generation trilinear couplings, $\phi_{\mathcal{A}_{t, b, \tau}}$ have weaker constraints.
	- Only contribute to EDMs at the two-loop level.

(arXiv:0710.5117, Kraml) ref therein.

Variation of Mass with CP Phase

- Masses of both \tilde{t} and $\tilde{\chi}^0_i$ vary with phase.
- CP even quantity.
- An absolute mass measurement at the LHC will not be accurate enough to constrain the phase.

- Assumed a 1% experimental error.
- Assumed a 5% error in determination of M₂.
- A measurement of the mass difference $m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_2} m_{\tilde{\chi}^0_1}$ looks potentially more promising if the mass difference happens to be small (<40 GeV).

Branching Ratios

- Both $\mathit{BR}(\tilde{t}_1 \to \tilde{\chi}^0_2 t)$ and $\mathit{BR}(\tilde{\chi}^0_2 \to \tilde{\chi}^0_1 \ell^+ \ell^-)$ vary with phase.
- Both couplings and phase space factors are responsible for behaviour.
- CP even quantity.
- Highly scenario dependent.

Measurement of Branching Ratios

- Parameter space allowed when the experimental accuracy of the branching ratio measurement is 50%, Δ_1 (LHC) or 10%, Δ_2 (LC).
- Analysis assumes all other scenario parameters are known
- Measurement only looks likely with a future Linear Collider.