



Detector R&D



G. Eigen, Bergen


rECFA meeting, Oslo, October 2, 2015





Outline

- 3D Si pixel detectors for ATLAS phase II upgrade
- Master student project 3DCT of Si Pixels
- The 3DMiMic project
- Forward calorimeter R&D for ALICE phase II upgrade
- Calorimeter R&D for ILC experiment
 - Gain stability of SiPMs
 - Measurement of SiPM properties
- Beam radiation monitor



3D Si pixel Detectors

for ATLAS

Phase II Upgrade


(A. Heggelund, O. Røhne, H. Sandaker, N. Pacifico, B. Stugu, Z. Yang)

G. Eigen, rECFA meeting Oslo, October 2, 2015




'3D' Pixel Sensor Design by SINTEF for ATLAS Phase II Upgrade


- Motivation: ATLAS-ITk (IBL) funds HEPP Project (see Farid's talk)
- Initial work in close collaboration with inventors at SLAC (S. Parker), who also helped in parts of the processing steps
- Several communities have designs with SINTEF & collaborate about wafer processing costs
- Thinning and active edge capabilities offered by 'full 3D' technology
- 3D sensors are radiation hard:
CMS type sensor, [M.Bubna et al JINST 9 \(2014\) C0719](#)
- Testbeam for ATLAS '3D' collaboration (with participation from Oslo+Bergen)



Pictures: A Kok, IEEE presentations 2011,2012



Occupancy after irradiation of 10¹⁴ p/cm²




$\epsilon_{\text{cell}}=94.2\%$

$\epsilon_{\text{cell}}=96.5\%$ [µm]




First Test Beam Results

- 3D sensor: $50 \times 250 \mu\text{m}^2$, $230 \mu\text{m}$ thick
- Bump bonded to FEI4 chip




KEK83 (reference) SINTEF10 SINTEF11 DO-Q1
Hit correlations for 3D vs EUDET


Noise






Cluster distribution



ToT clusters



Threshold scan



- Test beam of FEI4 modules at SPS with pions (18-22 September 2015)

Hits are correlated with hits in Eudet telescope as expected


G. Eigen, rECFA meeting Oslo, October 2, 2015





Further Work with SINTEF '3D' Sensors

- Goal is to have something viable in 2016 for the ATLAS ITk pixels
 - Evaluate the run-3 devices with ATLAS FEI-4 chip
 - Next design round (run-4, funded by HEPP) is just about to being launched
 - Thinner sensors (50 μm and 100 μm)
 - Smaller electrode diameters
 - Reduced pixel pitches, compatible with new 'RD53' prototype readout chip, are included.



Master Project


3DCT of Si Pixels

M. Yassin, A. Read, O. Røhne (Oslo)



3D Charge Collection Efficiency

- Exploring the possibilities of 3D Charge Collection Efficiency (3DCCE) with reduced number of projections
- To get a precise 3DCCE with traditional methods about 180-360 projections are needed. Each projection is a test-beam exposure at a different angle
- If we use Fourier Slice Method followed by a Compressed Sensing recovery technique called "Recursive Spatially Adaptive Filtering", we can make a reliable 3DCCE with around 20 projections



Original detector image -
the diagonal ellipses simulate irregular low efficiency,
the vertical patterns are most difficult to reconstruct
at low number of projections





Image estimate after
20 projections and
1095 iterations



The 3DMiMic Project

NFR funded (Nanotechnology): Project owner: SINTEF (A. Kok)
Partners: UiO (M. Povoli), UiB (N. Pacifico, **H. Sandaker B. Stugu**)
Further collaborators: ESRF (Grenoble), Wollongong (Australia), UiB-H.I. group
Community/network: EU-COST action TD1205







The 3DMiMic Project



- Medical physics purposes
 - Produce beam monitors as part of developing methods for MRT, (Microbeam Radiation Therapy), using synchrotron radiation
 - Thin (10 μm thickness) segmented strip sensors for monitoring of arrays of intense parallel X-ray microbeams (typically 50 μm wide, 400 μm apart)
 - Designed using SINTEF 'Rad hard' technology
 - Use the 3D processing technology at SINTEF to develop microdosimeters with granularity at the level of human cells (Processing soon to be completed)
 - Design is compatible with readout with the CERN-Medipix/TimePix chip.

3DMiMic Beam Monitor Design and Results

Individual channels



Detail of strip and guard ring design



Test results from MRT beams at ESRF (recent JINST submission)


Scan in steps of $10 \mu\text{m}$ with single channel readout

Excellent results are also obtained with system of parallel RO of all channels

Fit to box with smearings compatible with expected $8 \mu\text{m}$ resolution (+ tails)

3DMiMic Results with Parallel Readout System


- System, developed at CMRP, Wollongong uses simultaneous readout of 128 channels to monitor the intensity of 25 parallel microbeams, and **most importantly**, also the intensity in the valleys between the peaks
- Require high dynamic range
- Transverse flow of e & h prevents use of conventional microstrips
- Solution:
 - point-like measurements, with guard-ring insulation between read-out elements
 - Ultra thin sensors
- Drawback:
 - Insensitive regions in the sensor
 - Need for good alignment with beams, and/or good understanding of effects on alignment mismatch



No slits, illuminate entire sensor

50 μm slits 400 μm apart





Forward Calorimeter R&D for ALICE Phase II Upgrade

D. Adnevik, K. Austreim, D. Fehlker, H. Pettersen, D. Röhrich, K. Ullaland, S. Yang





ALICE Forward Calorimeter

- FoCal (under discussion)

- electromagnetic calorimeter for γ and π^0 measurements
+ hadronic calorimeter for isolation and jet measurements
- $\eta \approx 5$
- main challenge: separation of γ/π^0 at high energy
- need small Molière radius, high-granularity read-out
→ SiW calorimeter with low- and high-granularity layers

- FoCal prototype



- high-granularity digital tracking calorimeter

Institute of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway
Institute for Subatomic Physics, Utrecht University and Nikhef, Utrecht,
the Netherlands



Digital Tracking Calorimeter Prototype (I)

- Silicon-tungsten sampling calorimeter
 - optimized for electromagnetic showers
 - compact design $4 \times 4 \times 11.6 \text{ cm}^3$
 - 24 layers
 - absorbers: 3.5 mm of W ($\approx 1 X_0$)
Molière radius: 11 mm
 - active layers: MAPS - MIMOSA 23*
4 chips per layer \rightarrow 96 chips in total
- Readout: 39 Mpixels
 - raw data rate: 61 Gb/s
 - FPGA based readout and DAQ
 - Spartan 6 FPGAs interfacing the MIMOSA chips
 - Virtex 6 based DAQ





* IPHC Strasbourg

G. Eigen, rECFA meeting Oslo, October 2, 2015



Digital Tracking Calorimeter Prototype (I)

- MIMOSA 23
 - on-chip digitization
 - chip-level threshold setting
 - 1 bit per pixel
 - sequential row readout ("rolling shutter")
 - > pixel integration time: 642 μ s
 - continuous readout
 - no zero-suppression



Integration of
four sensors
per layer

Test Beam Results

- Digital calorimeter
 - particle counting method - number of hits should be proportional to the particle energy


electrons

linearity



2 GeV

5 GeV


shower pattern



hit distribution



energy resolution (preliminary)



Gain Stability of SiPMs


member of AIDA and AIDA II projects

G. Eigen, A. Traet, E. van der Kraaij, J. Zalieckas
in collaboration with ASCR Prague



Principle of Gain Stabilization


- The gain of SiPMs increases with V_{bias} and decreases with Temperature
- For stable operation, gains (G) need to be kept constant, especially in large detectors such as an ILC hadron calorimeter with 10^6 channels
- The technique consists of adjusting V_{bias} when T changes \rightarrow requires knowledge of dV/dT that can be determined from measurements of dG/dV dG/dT
- Measure dG/dV and dG/dT for 17 SiPMs from 3 manufacturers in 3 test periods in a climate chamber \rightarrow improved readout in last test (August 2015)
- Built V_{bias} regulator test board to show proof of principle single SiPMs
- Test gain stability with 7 SiPMs
- Goal is to show gain stabilization in a system test with 10-20 SiPMs
- This work is performed in the AIDA2020 framework
 - \rightarrow connected to the analog hadron calorimeter R&D for ILC/CLIC
 - \rightarrow useful for other applications (e.g. PET scanners)




Gain Determination

- Measure waveform of SiPM with 12 bit digital oscilloscope
- Subtract DC offset and integrate all 50k waveforms over fixed time window (74 ns) to determine charge → spectrum of pe
- Determine pe peak position by fitting Gaussian functions to peaks of pedestal, 1pe and 2pe spectra
- Parameterize small background by sensitive nonlinear iterative peak-clipping algorithm
- Gain is determined from the distance between 1pe and 2pe peaks

SiPM waveform





SiPM pe spectrum





Gain Stabilisation Test Setup

- Work in a climate chamber at CERN
- Readout 2 channels/preamps simultaneously with digital oscilloscope (12 bit ADC, 2.5 GS/s)
- Low voltage, bias voltage and scope controlled by LabView program
- Shine light from blue LED via optical fiber and mirror onto SiPMs
- Measure temperature with 4 Pt1000 sensors



dG/dV and dG/dT Measurements

- Take samples of 50k at different T and V values



- Measure



$$\langle dG/dV \rangle = (22.02 \pm 0.04) \times 10^5 / V$$

$$\langle dG/dT \rangle = -(128.04 \pm 0.01) \times 10^3 / ^\circ\text{C}$$

- Extract



$$dT/dV = -(dG/dV) / (dG/dT)$$

$$= (58.15 \pm 0.1) \text{ mV}/^\circ\text{C}$$



Test of Gain Stabilization

- Determine dV/dT distribution by dividing all dG/dT measurements by dG/dV at each T
- Average dV/dT distribution at each T value and compute error
 → estimate of systematic error
 $dV/dT = (58.15 \pm 0.10_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.51_{\text{sys}}) \text{ mV}/^\circ\text{C}$



- Adjust V_{bias} with regulator board using compensation of $58 \text{ mV}/^\circ\text{C}$
- Test gain stability in $5\text{-}45^\circ\text{C}$ T range
- At each T take 10 samples with 50k waveforms
- Gain is uniform in $5\text{-}45^\circ\text{C}$ T range non-uniformity is $< \pm 0.1\%$

Measurement of SiPM Properties



G. Eigen, A. Traet, E. van der Kraaij, J. Zalieckas,



Study of SiPM properties & Optical Readout

- SiPMs are pixelated APDs operated in the Geiger mode
 - Number of pixels determine dynamic range for analog signals
 - detectors are non linear
- Gain, nonlinearity, noise, cross talk, after pulsing
- Have 18 different SiPMs in hand from 3 manufacturers
 - Size: $1 \times 1 \text{ mm}^2$ and $3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$
 - Pixel sizes: $15 \mu\text{m}$, $20 \mu\text{m}$, $25 \mu\text{m}$, $40 \mu\text{m}$, $50 \mu\text{m}$
 - new detectors with trenches (reduces cross talk) → very clean

Hamamatsu MPPC with trenches




Measurement of Nonlinearity

- With LED and filters we tried to measure the dynamic range at CERN
- Minimum pulse length of 10 ns is too long to see saturation (due to after-pulsing)
- Thus, will redo study with blue laser (50 ps pulse)
- Expected saturation

$$N_{obs} = N_{pix} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{N_Y}{N_{pix}} \epsilon (1+\kappa)} \right)$$


SiPM readout with preamp



One ND filter of 1.2%


Two filters (20% to 80%)

Focusing lens




Waveform Analysis


- Compare photoelectron spectra obtained from integrated charge to photoelectron spectra obtained from peak position of waveform
- Since after-pulsing is typically delayed, it will affect the decay time and thus the integrated signal but not the minimum amplitude
➔ from comparison may learn something about afterpulsing
- MPPC with trenches show less afterpulsing than that without trenches



MPPC with trenches, 40 μm , 25°C nominal V_{bias}



MPPC, 20 μm , 25°C & nominal V_{bias}






Beam Radiation Monitor



Beam Radiation Monitor

- Task is to build a beam radiation monitor for KLOE at the DaΦne experiment in Frascati
- Detector consists of an array of 8 LYSO crystals arranged in a ring around the beam pipe to record beam radiation photons in 100 keV energy range
- Each crystal (dimension: $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 4 \text{ cm}^3$) is wrapped in ESR film and is read out with a KETEK SiPM ($3 \times 3 \text{ mm}^2$) with **12100** $20 \times 20 \text{ }\mu\text{m}^2$ pixels
- All 8 crystal are completed 6 were tested with ^{133}Ba , ^{57}Co , ^{22}Na , ^{137}Cs & ^{60}Co sources
- Preamp is not optimally matched to SiPM
 - signal is rather long (integration time > 200 ns)
 - work on faster preamp




Setup of LYSO Crystal Measurements

- Shield SiPM & preamp in metal box
- Wrap LYSO crystals in 2 layers of Tyvec & 1 layer of silvered mylar, place silvered mylar at front face & around the SiPM
- Record spectra from various sources in a black box



LYSO crystal



KETEK SiPM





Setup inside black box





Results of LYSO Crystal Measurements

- Measure photon energy spectra from ^{22}Na , ^{57}Co , ^{60}Co , ^{133}Ba & ^{137}Cs sources



- Energy scale is linear at least up to 1.33 MeV
- Energy resolution is consistent with $1/\sqrt{E}$ dependence



Concluding Remarks

- We conduct a rich detector R&D program on
 - 3D Si detectors for ATLAS phase II upgrade } → Use ATLAS funds
 - 3D charge collection efficiency
 - 3D MiMic application → NFR funded
 - forward calorimeter for ALICE phase II upgrade → Use ALICE funds
 - gain stabilization of SiPMs } → No university and NFR funding
 - studies of SiPM properties } → only AIDA/AIDA 2020 support
 - beam radiation monitor } → and existing manpower
- 4 years ago, NFR cut grant for future accelerators/experiments by 30%
 - Since then R&D for ILC/CLIC has diminished → caused problems with AIDA tasks
 - déjà vu, need postdoc to fulfill AIDA 2020 tasks, funding is not clear