Measurement of bottom contribution to the non-photonic electron production in p+p collisions at STAR

Wei Li (for the STAR Collaboration)

Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201800, China E-mail: liw2002@sinap.ac.cn

Abstract.

We present the STAR preliminary results of the azimuthal correlations between non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons at mid-rapidity in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV and 500 GeV. The correlation distributions are fitted with PYTHIA templates to extract the relative contribution from bottom decays to non-photonic electrons. This could provide a precise p+p reference for the study of bottom production in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC.

1. Introduction

Models based on radiative energy loss mechanism can describe the large suppression of light hadrons in central Au+Au collisions at RHIC quite well [1, 2]. However the large suppression of non-photonic electron (NPE) yields from semi-leptonic decays of B and D mesons challenges such models which predicted that the energy loss of heavy quarks should be much smaller compared to that of light quarks due to their larger masses ("dead-cone effect") [3, 4]. Various models have been proposed to explain the large suppression of NPE yields and such calculations are crucially dependent on the relative contributions from B/D meson decays to NPE [5, 6]. Thus separating the B/D meson contributions to NPE yield experimentally is important for constraining models, and thus understanding the energy loss mechanism of heavy flavor quarks in the medium. Separation of B/D meson contributions to NPE in p+p collisions serves as a reference for the study in heavy-ion collisions. By comparing results from 200 GeV and 500 GeV p+p collisions, we can check the collision energy dependence of bottom contribution to NPE. In this study, we utilize an indirect method to disentangle B and D meson contibutions to NPE, which relies on the fact that electrons decayed from B and D mesons have different azimuthal correlations with charged hadrons on the near side [7, 8].

2. Analysis

The data used in this analysis were recorded in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV in 2012 and $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV in 2011 by the STAR experiment. The main detectors used in this analysis are the Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [9], which is used for momentum determination and electron identification; Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) for electron identification as well as electron triggering at high transverse momenta (p_T) [10]; Barrel Shower Maximum Detector (BSMD) which is embedded in the BEMC and provides good shower size measurement for

electron identification; Time Of Flight (TOF) which is used for low p_T electron identification [11]; and Vertex Position Detector (VPD) which provides the minimum bias trigger [12]. In order to obtain sufficient statistics at high p_T , we used BEMC triggered events, with single-tower energy thresholds of 2.6 and 4.3 GeV in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 200$ GeV; and 2.6, 4.3 and 6.0 GeV in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV.

We start with the semi-inclusive electron sample (Semi-Inc) to construct the correlation between non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons. The semi-inclusive electrons are obtained by removing the electrons that fall into the invariant mass cut after pairing with an opposite-sign partner (OppSign) from the inclusive electron sample. The invariant mass cut is set to be less than $0.24~{\rm GeV}/c^2$ to remove the background from photon conversions and π^0/η Dalitz decays. The correlation signal between non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons is calculated as following:

$$\Delta\phi_{Non_Pho} = \Delta\phi_{Semi_Inc} + \Delta\phi_{SameSign} - \Delta\phi_{Not_Reco_Pho} - \Delta\phi_{Hadron} \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta\phi_{SameSign}$ is an estimate of the combinatorial background using electrons that pass the invariant mass cut of 0.24 GeV/ c^2 after pairing with a same-sign partner, this is a correction for the over subtraction of the true signal. $\Delta\phi_{Hadron}$ is the hadron-hadron correlation which is used to subtract the hadron contamination, and $\Delta\phi_{Not_Reco_Pho}$ is the remaining contribution from the correlation of photonic electrons with charged hadrons that was not captured by the invariant mass selection. It is calculated as:

$$\Delta\phi_{Not_Reco_Pho} = (\frac{1}{\varepsilon} - 1) \times \Delta\phi_{Reco_Pho}$$
 (2)

where $\Delta \phi_{Reco_Pho}$ is the correlation between photonic electrons and hadrons, and ε is the efficiency for the photonic electron reconstruction which can be obtained from simulations. The detailed analysis procedure can be found in [7, 8].

3. Result

Panel (a) in Figure 1 shows the azimuthal correlation between NPE and charged hadrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV for NPE with $5.5 < p_T < 6.5$ GeV/c and $|\eta^{NPE}| < 0.7$. The associated hadrons are required to have $p_T>0.3$ GeV/c and $|\eta^{asso}|<1.0$. Clear azimuthal correlation signals can be seen on the near side. We use PYTHIA 8.1 combined with STAR-HF-Tune Version 1.1 to generate azimuthal correlations between electrons from B and D meson decay and charged hadrons in p+p collision at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV [13]. STAR-HF Tune is a set of parameters that are tuned to describe the NPE and J/ ψ measurements at RHIC. Clear correlation peak can also be seen on the near side for D and B meson decayed electrons, and the difference comes from the different decay kinematics. Fitting the experimental data with the NPE-h azimuthal correlations from PYTHIA, we can extract the B meson contribution to non-photonic electrons. The fit function is:

$$\Delta \phi_{exp} = (R \times \Delta \phi_B + (1 - R) \times \Delta \phi_D) \times Norm \tag{3}$$

where R is the fit parameter which represents the relative B meson contribution to non-photonic electrons, $\Delta \phi_B$ ($\Delta \phi_D$) is the correlation between B (D) meson decayed electrons and charged hadrons from PYTHIA simulation, and Norm is the normalization parameter.

Panel (b) shows the extracted B meson contribution as a function of p_T (red circles), together with published results from Run 6 p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV (black stars) for comparison. The error bars represent the statistical errors and the systematic uncertainties are shown as boxes. The preliminary results agree with STAR Run 6 analysis for $p_T < 8.5$ GeV/c, and the systematic uncertainties are significantly reduced. We also compare the results with Fixed




Figure 1. (Color online) (a) NPE-hadron azimuthal correlations from STAR fitted with PYTHIA templates in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV. (b) The extracted B meson contribution to non-photonic electrons as a function of p_T in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV.




Figure 2. (Color online) NPE-hadron azimuthal correlations in p + p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV for different electron p_T bins from the STAR experiment.




Figure 3. (Color online) NPE-hadron azimuthal correlation in p + p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV for different electron p_T bins from PYTHIA simulations.

Order Next to Leading Logarithm (FONLL) calculation, and find the results are consistent with FONLL calculation within uncertainties.

Figure 2 shows the raw azimuthal correlation between non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV for different trigger NPE p_T bins between $2.5 < p_T < 12.5$ GeV/c requiring $|\eta^{NPE}| < 0.7$. The associated hadrons are required to have $p_T > 0.3$ GeV/c and $|\eta^{asso}| < 1.0$. Clear azimuthal correlation can be seen on the near side and the correlation signal increases with increasing electron p_T . Figure 3 shows the correlations between B and D meson decayed electrons and charged hadrons from PYTHIA simulation for different electron p_T bins in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV. A similar correlation structure is observed in $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV as in $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV. As the NPE p_T increases, the near side correlation signal increases for both B and D decayed electrons, but the difference between the correlation shapes reduces.

4. Summary

In this work, we study the azimuthal correlations bewteen non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV and $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV from the STAR experiment. By fitting the measured correlations with PYTHIA templates, we have extracted the B meson contribution to non-photonic electrons in the range of $2.5 < p_T < 11.5$ GeV/c for p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=200$ GeV. The preliminary results agree with previous STAR Run 6 analysis for $p_T < 8.5$ GeV/c, and the systematic uncertainties are significantly reduced. The results are also consistent with FONLL calculation within uncertainties. For the analysis in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV, we have constructed the raw azimuthal correlation between non-photonic electrons and charged hadrons, and observed an increase to the near-side correlation signal as the electron p_T increases. We also used PYTHIA to simulate the azimuthal correlations between B and D meson decayed electrons and charged hadrons, and found that the correlation shapes are different on the near side for the two different species. This difference decreases as trigger electron p_T increases. Further study is under way to extract the B meson contribution to non-photonic electrons in p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s}=500$ GeV.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.11205231), Major State Basic Research Development Program of China (Grant No.2014CB845403), and Youth Innovation Promotion Association CAS.

References

- [1] M. Gyulassy and M. Plumer, Phys. Lett. B 243, 432 (1990).
- [2] A. Adil and M. Gyulassy, Phys. Lett. B 602, 52 (2004).
- [3] A. Adare et al., (PHENIX Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 172301 (2007).
- [4] Y. L. Dokshitzer and D. E. Kharzeev, Phys. Lett. B 519, 199 (2001).
- [5] M. Djordjevic, Phys. Lett. B 632, 81 (2006).
- [6] A. Adil and I. Vitev, Phys. Lett. B 649, 139 (2007).
- [7] J. Adams et al. (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 202301 (2010).
- [8] X. Lin, 2007. Ph.D Thesis, Central China Normal University, China.
- [9] M. Anderson et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 499, 659 (2003).
- [10] M. Beddo et al., Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 499, 725 (2003).
- [11] B. Bonner et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 508, 181 (2003).
- [12] W. J. Llope et al., Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 522, 252 (2004).
- [13] T. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. **135** 238 (2001).