The paper reported important and interesting measurements from ALICE on identical and non-identical particle correlations. It should be published after the author taking into account these comments.

1) Abstract, last sentence ‘shortly’ -> briefly?

-> DONE

2) Page 2, line 5, ‘emplying’ ->employing

-> DONE

3) Page 2, paragraph 4. It will be useful to discuss the difference between Martin parameterization and the others, especially the physics implications for Martin parameterization to be disfavored. The first paragraph of the page implies that the internal structure of the a0 may be relevant.

-> This would require more than adding one sentence only - it is impossible to add a new paragraph which would describe these parameterizations in details because the paper would exceed the limit of 4 pages (now it’s on the limit). We will have more explanation on this in the upcoming regular ALICE paper, which is in the final stages of preparation

4) On the femtoscopy of baryon pairs especially involving hyperons, that is an important direction to extract information on interactions among hyperons which otherwise is not available. The first published STAR measurement on Lambda-Lambda (PRL, 114, 022301 (2015)) should be referenced.

-> DONE

5) Fig 3 caption and page 3 bottom text all refer to the fig 3 lower panel right plot as (p-L^bar and p^par-L), but the plot shows L-Lbar correlation. Please double check.

-> DONE

6) Page 6, 2nd paragraph: both ‘transformed residuals’ and ‘Gaussian residuals’ were used in the fitting procedure. It will be useful to comment whether one works better than the other or both work equally well."

-> DONE, In ALICE we did not use Gaussian residuals. They have been used in the recent STAR paper on LL correlations (PRL paper from the comment above). This sentence has been rephrased.