

Dear Editors,

I would like to thank the reviewer for the careful reading of my proceedings and helpful comments. Please find our answers to the comments below.

- General comment:  $p_T$  should be written as  $p_T$ . Same for  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$  and  $R_{AA}$   
→ done
- Title: Heavy flavor → Heavy-flavor; check for whole document. Same for high-energy and heavy-ion in the abstract and the text. Please correct  
→ done
- Author: add country, so USA and China  
→ done
- page 1, line 2: and \*thus the\* properties  
→ done
- line 4: suppression  
→ done
- line 6: dot before J/Psi  
→ changed “;  $J/\psi$  suppression intergrated over  $p_T$  has small beam energy dependence” to “, small beam energy dependence of  $J/\psi$  suppression integrated over  $p_T$ ”
- line 13: and how \*the\* properties  
→ done
- line 18: drop discover and since the QGP was discovered at the CERN-SPS  
→ done
- line 22: of \*the\* specific energy loss  
→ done
- page 2, line 1: high- $p_T$   
→ done
- line 19: through \*the\* hadronic  
→ done
- line 21: \*the\* nuclear modification factor  
→ done
- line 22: are \*the D-meson\* RAA. Same in line 32 and 40 and page 3, line 2.  
→ done
- Definition of RAA missing. Please specify.  
→ added “which is defined as the ratio between the yield in Au+Au collisions and that in p+p collisions scaled by  $N_{bin}$ ” after “ $R_{AA}$ ”

- line 28: efficiency  
→ done

- page 3, space between figures 1 and 2. Same for figures 3 and 4.  
→ done

- last line, Studies are underway to separate electrons from charm and bottom hadron decays in heavy-ion collisions using the HFT.: How exactly?

→ changed the text to “Studies are underway to separate charm- and bottom-decayed electrons through their track impact parameters measured with the HFT in heavy-ion collisions” to make it clearer.

- page 4, line 3: understand \*the\* quarkonium  
→ done

- line 5: drop by before the QGP  
→ changed “by” to “in”

- line 7: determine \*the\* QGP temperature. Comma after Therefore. Next sentence: Comma after Below.  
→ done

- last sentence, However, there is a tension between the model calculations and experimental data at high  $p_T$  .: What does you mean exactly by tension? You mean disagreement?

→ Yes. Here tension means data and calculation have some difference. I removed the sentence to avoid confusion.

- The panels in figures 4 and 5 are too small; legends can hardly be read. Please increase size. Moreover, in figure 5, the symbols cannot be distinguished. Increase space between figures 5 and 6.

→ Increased figures sizes in 4 and 5, and space between 5 and 6.

- Section 4.3: please specify Pythia version and give reference.  
→ done

- last line: Why is the observable  $t = p_T^2$  be used?

→  $t$  represents the momentum transfer squared to the target nucleus in photoproduction  $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow J/\psi + N$ . For low- $p_T$  midrapidity  $J/\psi$ ,  $t \approx p_T^2$ .