Writing robust C++ code

Miguel Ojeda (CERN)

1st Developers@CERN Forum

2015-10-29

Can you tell me what is the output of this program?

```
namespace tools {
    template <typename T> void print(T x) {
        std::cout << x << std::endl:</pre>
    template <typename T> void print repeat(T x, int n) {
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)
            print(x);
namespace something {
    struct A { };
    std::ostream & operator<<(std::ostream & os, A) { return os << 42; }</pre>
}
int main() {
    tools::print_repeat(something::A(), 4);
    return 0;
// ... more code ...
```

Can you tell me what is the output of this program?

```
namespace tools {
    template <typename T> void print(T x) {
        std::cout << x << std::endl:</pre>
    template <typename T> void print repeat(T x, int n) {
        for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i)</pre>
            print(x);
namespace something {
    struct A { };
    std::ostream & operator<<(std::ostream & os, A) { return os << 42; }</pre>
}
int main() {
    tools::print_repeat(something::A(), 4);
    return 0:
namespace something {
    void print(A) {
        std::cout << "No, you cannot..." << std::endl;</pre>
```

I hereby present you C++ valid programs...

I hereby present you C++ valid programs...

Source: Boost Spirit [1]

I hereby present you C++ valid programs...

Source: eelis.net [2]

I hereby present you C++ valid programs...

Source: eelis.net [2]

Multiple inheritance

Multiple inheritance

Exceptions

Multiple inheritance

Exceptions

RAII

Multiple inheritance

Exceptions

RAII

ADL

Multiple inheritance

Exceptions

RAII

ADL

SFINAE

Multiple inheritance

Exceptions

RAII

ADL

SFINAE

• • •

C++ templates are Turing-complete. [3]

C++ templates are Turing-complete. [3]

Therefore, compiling C++ is undecidable.

C++ templates are Turing-complete. [3]

Therefore, compiling C++ is undecidable.

• Assuming no template instantiation limits

C++ templates are Turing-complete. [3]

Therefore, compiling C++ is undecidable.

• Assuming no template instantiation limits

But not only that, just *parsing* C++ is undecidable as well. [4]

See why:

```
template <...> struct TuringMachine {
    // Insert implementation of a Turing machine here
};
struct SomeType {};

template <typename T> struct S {
    static int name;
};

template<> struct S<SomeType> {
    typedef int name;
};

int x;
int main() {
    S<TuringMachine<...>::output>::name * x;
}
```

So how do we write robust C++?

So how do we write robust C++?

Of course, one should profit from common topics in software development.

So how do we write robust C++?

Of course, one should profit from common topics in software development.

However, for C++, several extra guidelines apply...

Your compiler is your friend:

- Enable all warnings
 - Any (global) exception should be justified
- Treat all warnings as errors
 - Do not allow commits with warnings
- Enable stack protection/canaries
 - For all (or most) functions, if performance allows
- Enable any other hardening/fortify options available
 - They are useful not only for security reasons

Diversity is good:

- Use several compilers
 - In particular, their latest version
- Compile and test for several architectures/operating systems, if possible
 - Bonus: gives you access to extra debuggers/tools
- Simulate your code, if possible
 - You can go down to simulating the memory hierarchy, if you need
- Test all debug, release and optimized builds

Consider useful libraries that add low overhead:

- Use a different allocator
 - Memory-tagging allocators (even in release builds)
 - Debugging allocators (heap checkers)
- Use checked STL library
 - e.g. -D_GLIBCXX_DEBUG

Avoid fancy C++ features unless strictly required:

- Multiple inheritance, ADL, templates, SFINAE...
- If you use them, be prepared to justify why they were needed
- Before starting a project, consider carefully exceptions and RTTI

Establish strict coding guidelines:

- Pick an existing one, if possible.
- Enforce them through the compiler/tools, if possible.

Include all the tools that you can in your test environment:

- valgrind's memcheck and helgrind/drd
- gcc's and clang's memory/thread/u.b. sanitizers
- clang-format
- Coverity
- ...

And above all, write *defensive code*:

- Use checked/safer functions/methods, if performance allows
 - e.g. at() vs. operator[]
 - e.g. memmove() vs. memcpy()
 - e.g. strlcpy() vs. strncpy()
- Use RAII everywhere, if using exceptions

And above all, write *defensive code*:

- Provide the basic exception guarantee
 - Minimize size of functions/methods to accomplish it
- Write down and check pre/post conditions and class invariants
 - Even in release builds, if performance allows

• ...

Summary

C++ is a complex language with complex features. Therefore:

- 1. Aim for *simplicity*, whenever possible
 - Require complexity to be justified and documented
- 2. Take advantage of compilers, *tools* and everything you can
 - Many are easy to setup, free and/or open source
- 3. Use as much *runtime-protection/checking* as possible
 - Most likely you can spare the overhead
- 4. Set up strict, justified development *guidelines* and rules
 - Well worth it for team projects

Questions?

References

- [1] eelis.net. Multi-Dimensional Analog Literals. 2006.
- [2] The Boost Team. Boost Spirit Library. 2015.
- [3] Todd L. Veldhuizen. *C++ Templates are Turing Complete*. 2003.
- [4] Josh Haberman. *Parsing C++ is literally undecidable*. 2013.