Electroweak Precision Measurements and BSM Physics: (A) Triplet Models (B) The 3- and 4-Site Models

S. Dawson (BNL) February, 2009

S. Dawson and C. Jackson, arXiv:0810.5068; hep-ph/0703299

M. Chen, S. Dawson, and C. Jackson, arXiV:0809.4185

WARNING: THIS IS A THEORY TALK

Standard Model Renormalization

- EW sector of SM is SU(2) x U(1) gauge theory
 - 3 inputs needed: g, g', v, plus fermion/Higgs masses
 - Trade g, g', v for precisely measured $G_{\mu},\,M_Z,\,\alpha$
 - SM has $\rho = M_W^2/(M_Z^2 c_{\theta}^2) = 1$ at tree level
 - s_{θ} is derived quantity
 - Models with ρ =1 at tree level include
 - MSSM
 - Models with singlet or doublet Higgs bosons
 - Models with extra fermion families

Muon Decay in the SM

- At tree level, muon decay related to input parameters:
- One loop radiative corrections included in parameter Δr_{SM}

$$G_{\mu} = \frac{\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{2} \left(1 - \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2}}\right) M_{W}^{2}} (1 + \Delta r_{SM})$$

• Dominant contributions from 2-point functions v_{μ}



Part A: Triplet Model Models with ρ≠1 at tree level are different from the SM

 $\rho = M_W^2 / (M_Z^2 c_{\theta^2}) \neq 1$

- SM with Higgs Triplet
- Left-Right Symmetric Models
- Little Higgs Models
-many more
- These models need additional input parameter
- Decoupling is not always obvious beyond tree level

Higgs Triplet Model

Simplest extension of SM with $\rho \neq 1$

• Add a real triplet

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (v + h^0 + i\chi^0) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Phi$$

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \eta^+ \\ \nu' + \eta^0 \\ \eta^- \end{pmatrix}$$

 $- v_{SM}^2 = (246 \text{ GeV})^2 = v^2 + 4v'^2$

- Real triplet doesn't contribute to M_Z



- At tree level, $\rho=1+4v'^2/v^2\neq 1$
- PDG: V' < 12 GeV
 Neglects effects of scalar loops

Motivated by Little Higgs models

$$V = \mu_1^2 |H|^2 + \mu_2^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda_1 |H|^4 + \frac{\lambda_2}{4} |\Phi|^4 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} |H|^2 |\Phi|^2 + \lambda_4 H^+ \sigma^a H \Phi_a$$

• λ_4 has dimensions of mass \rightarrow doesn't decouple

• Mass Eigenstates:

- Forbidden by T-parity
- $\begin{pmatrix} H^{0} \\ K^{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\gamma} & s_{\gamma} \\ -s_{\gamma} & c_{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h^{0} \\ \eta^{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} G^{\pm} \\ H^{\pm} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{\delta} & s_{\delta} \\ -s_{\delta} & c_{\delta} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{\pm} \\ \eta^{\pm} \end{pmatrix}$

• 6 parameters in scalar sector: Take them to be:

 M_{H^0} , M_{K^0} , M_{H^+} , v, δ , γ tan $\delta = 2 v'/v$

 δ small since it is related to ρ parameter

Decoupling at Tree Level

 Require no mixing between doublet-triplet sectors for decoupling

• v' \rightarrow 0 requires $\lambda_4 \rightarrow$ 0 (custodial symmetry), or $\lambda_3 \rightarrow \infty$ (invalidating perturbation theory)

$$M_{K^{0}}^{2} = \mu_{2}^{2} + 12\nu'^{2}\lambda_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu^{2}\lambda_{3}$$
$$M_{H^{+}}^{2} = \mu_{2}^{2} + 4\nu'^{2}\lambda_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\nu^{2}\lambda_{3} + 2\nu'\lambda_{4}$$

• v' \rightarrow 0 implies $M_{K^0} \sim M_{H^+}$

Heavy Scalars → Small Mass Splittings



• Plots are restriction $\lambda_2 < (4 \pi)^2$

Forshaw, Vera, & White, hep-ph.0302256

Renormalization of Triplet Model

• At tree level, W mass related to input parameters:

$$M_{W}^{2} = \frac{\pi \alpha}{\sqrt{2} s_{\theta}^{2} G_{\mu}} (1 + \Delta r) \qquad \rho = \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{c_{\theta}^{2} M_{Z}^{2}} \neq 1$$

 One loop radiative corrections included in parameter ∆r

For $\rho \neq 1$, 4 input parameters

Input 4 Measured Quantities (M_Z , α , G_μ , sin θ^{eff})

 Use effective leptonic mixing angle at Z resonance as 4th parameter

$$L = -i\overline{e} \gamma_{\mu} (v_{e} + a_{e} \gamma_{5}) e Z^{\mu} \qquad v_{e} = \frac{1}{2} - 2s_{\theta}^{eff2}, \qquad a_{e} = \frac{1}{2}$$

- Could equally well have used ρ or M_W as 4^{th} parameter
- At tree level, SM and triplet model are identical in s_{θ}^{eff} scheme (SM inputs α , G μ , sin θ eff here)

$$M_W^2 = \frac{\alpha \pi}{\sqrt{2} s_{\theta}^{eff\,2} G_{\mu}} (1 + \Delta r)$$

This scheme discussed by: Chen, Dawson, Krupovnickas, hep-ph/0604102; Blank and Hollik hep-ph/9703392

Triplet Results

- Compare with SM in effective mixing angle scheme
- Input parameters: M_Z , $sin\theta^{eff}$, α , G_{μ} , M_{H0} , M_{K0} , M_{H+} , γ
 - $\rho = 1/cos^2 \delta = (M_W / M_Z \cos \theta^{eff})^2$ predicts sin $\delta = .07$ (v'=9 GeV)
 - System is overconstrained (can't let v' run)
- Triplet model has extra contributions to Δr from K⁰, H⁺
- SM couplings are modified by factors of $\cos \delta$, $\cos \gamma$

Quadratic dependence on Higgs mass

• Triplet model with $M_{H0} << M_{K0} \approx M_{H\pm}$ and small mixing

$$\Delta r^{triplet} \approx \Delta \tilde{r}^{SM} + \frac{\alpha}{24\pi s_{\theta}^2} \frac{M_{K^0}^2 - M_{H^+}^2}{M_{H^+}^2} + \sin \delta(...) + \sin \gamma(...)$$

Inputs different in triplet model and SM Triplet model: M_Z =91.1876 GeV is input SM (in this scheme): M_Z is calculated = 91.453 GeV Perturbativity requires M_{K0} ~ M_{H+} for large M_{H+}

Toussaint, PRD18 (1978) 1626

M_W(SM)-M_W(Triplet)

- For heavy H⁺, perturbativity requires M_{H+}~M_{K⁰}, and predictions of triplet model approach SM
- No large effects in perturbative regime



• SM not exactly recovered at large M_{H^+} due to different M_Z inputs for 1-loop corrections

Similar conclusions from Chivukula, Christensen, Simmons: arXiv:0712.0546

Conclusions on Triplets

$M_W\!\!=\!\!80.399\pm 0.025\;GeV$



Triplet model consistent with experimental data if $M_K \sim M_{H+}$

Small mixing angles required

• Part B: Higgsless Models and Effective Lagrangians

• What can we learn from precision electroweak measurements?

The Usual Approach

- Build the model of the week
- Assume new physics contributes primarily to gauge boson 2-point functions
- Calculate contributions of new particles to S, T, U
- Extract limits on parameters of model



STU Assumptions

- Assume dominant contribution of new physics is to 2point functions
- Assume scale of new physics, $\Lambda \gg M_Z$
 - This means no new low energy particles
 - Taylor expand in M_Z/Λ
 - Symmetry is symmetry of SM
- Assume reference values for M_H, M_t
- Assume ρ=1 at tree level
 - Otherwise you need 4-input parameters to renormalize

STU Definitions

Taylor expand 2-point functions:

$$\Pi_{\gamma\gamma}(q^{2}) = \Pi_{\gamma}(0) + q^{2}\Pi'_{\gamma\gamma}(q^{2})$$

$$\Pi_{\gamma Z}(q^{2}) = \Pi_{\gamma Z}(0) + q^{2}\Pi'_{\gamma Z}(q^{2})$$

$$\Pi_{WW}(q^{2}) = \Pi_{WW}(0) + q^{2}\Pi'_{WW}(q^{2})$$

$$\Pi_{ZZ}(q^{2}) = \Pi_{ZZ}(0) + q^{2}\Pi'_{ZZ}(q^{2})$$

Vanishes by EM gauge invariance

Fermion & scalar contributions vanish; gauge boson contributions non-zero

6 unknown functions to this order in $M_Z\!/\Lambda$

Peskin & Takeuchi, PRD46 (1992) 381

STU Definitions

6 unknowns:

3 fixed by SM renormalization, 3 free parameters

$$\alpha S = \frac{4s_w^2 c_w^2}{M_z^2} \left\{ \Pi_{ZZ}(M_Z^2) - \Pi_{ZZ}(0) - \Pi_{\gamma Z}(M_Z^2) + \frac{c_w^2 - s_w^2}{c_w s_w} \left(\Pi_{\gamma Z}(M_Z^2) 0 \Pi_{\gamma Z}(0) \right) \right\}$$

$$\alpha T = \frac{\Pi_{WW}(0)}{M_w^2} - \frac{\Pi_{ZZ}(0)}{M_Z^2} - 2\frac{s_w}{c_w} \frac{\Pi_{\gamma Z}(0)}{M_Z^2}$$

$$\alpha U = 4s_w^2 \left\{ \frac{\Pi_{WW}(M_W^2) - \Pi_{WW}(0)}{M_W^2} - c_w^2 \left(\frac{\Pi_{ZZ}(M_W^2) - \Pi_{ZZ}(0)}{M_Z^2} \right) + 2c_w s_w \left(\frac{\Pi_{\gamma Z}(M_W^2) - \Pi_{\gamma Z}(0)}{M_Z^2} \right) - s_w^2 \frac{\Pi_{\gamma \gamma}(0)}{M_Z^2} \right\}$$

S is scaling of Z 2-point function from $q^2=0$ to M_Z^2 T is isospin violation U contributes mostly to M_W

Peskin & Takeuchi, PRD46 (1992) 381

3 and 4 Site Higgsless Models PDG Fits

- Data are 1σ constraints with M_H=117 GeV
- Ovals are 90% CL contours



S

What if There is No Higgs?

- Simplest possibility: No Higgs / No new light particles / No expanded gauge symmetry at EW scale
 - Electroweak chiral Lagrangian

$$L_{eff} = L_{SM}^{nl} + \sum L_i$$

- L_{SM}^{nl} doesn't include Higgs, so it is non-renormalizable
- Assume global symmetry SU(2) x SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2)_V or U(1)
 - − SU(2) x SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2)_V is symmetry of Goldstone Boson sector of SM
- L_i is an expansion in (Energy)²/ Λ^2

Remember Han talk

No Higgs \rightarrow Unitarity Violation

- Consider W+W- \rightarrow W+W-
- Unitarity conservation requires $|\operatorname{Re}(a_l)| \le \frac{1}{2}$ $M_{\mathrm{H}} \rightarrow \infty$ $a_0^0 \rightarrow -\frac{s}{32\pi v^2}$



Λ~1.7 TeV

 \rightarrow New physics at the TeV scale

• If all resonances (Higgs, vector mesons...etc) much heavier than ~ few TeV

$$A(W^+W^- \to W^+W^-) = \frac{s+t}{v^2} + O\left(\frac{s^2}{v^4}\right)$$

Electroweak Chiral Lagrangian

Terms with 2 derivatives:

 $L_{2} = \frac{v^{2}}{4} Tr(D_{\mu}\Sigma^{+}D^{\mu}\Sigma) \qquad \Sigma = \exp(i\vec{\omega}\cdot\vec{\tau}/v)$ $D_{\mu}\Sigma = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma + \frac{ig}{2}\tau_{i}\cdot W_{i\mu} - \frac{ig'}{2}B_{\mu}\Sigma\tau_{3}$

- Unitary gauge: $\Sigma = 1$
 - SM masses for W/Z gauge bosons
- This is SM without Higgs
 - SM W/Z/ γ interactions

General Framework for studying BSM physics without a Higgs

E⁴ Terms in Chiral Lagrangian

• 3 operators contribute at tree level to gauge boson 2point functions

$$L_{1}' = \frac{1}{4} \beta_{1} [Tr(TV_{\mu})]^{2}$$

$$L_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} gg' Tr(B_{\mu\nu}TW^{\mu\nu})$$

$$L_{8} = \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{8} g^{2} [Tr(TW_{\mu\nu})]^{2}$$

 $T = 2\Sigma T^3 \Sigma$

 $V_{\mu} = (D_{\mu}\Sigma)\Sigma^{+}$

Gives tree level isospin violation

Also contribute to gauge boson 3-point functions

⇒ Limits from LEP2/Tevatron

Apologies: my normalization is different from Han... $\alpha \sim I(v/\Lambda)^2$

E⁴ Terms continued

• Contribute to WWγ, WWZ vertices (but not to 2-point functions)

$$L_{2} = \frac{ig'}{2} \alpha_{2} B_{\mu\nu} Tr(T[V^{\mu}, V^{\nu}])$$

$$L_{3} = ig \alpha_{3} Tr(W_{\mu\nu}[V^{\mu}, V^{\nu}])$$

$$L_{9} = \frac{i}{2} g \alpha_{9} Tr(TW_{\mu\nu}) Tr(T[V^{\mu}, V^{\nu}])$$

• Only contribute to quartic interactions

$$L_{4} = \alpha_{4} [Tr(V_{\mu}V_{\nu})]^{2} \qquad L_{7} = \alpha_{7} [Tr(V_{\mu}V^{\mu})Tr(TV_{\nu})Tr(TV^{\nu})]$$
$$L_{5} = \alpha_{5} [Tr(V_{\mu}V^{\mu})]^{2} \qquad L_{10} = \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{10} [TrTr(TV_{\mu})Tr(TV^{\nu})]^{2}$$
$$L_{6} = \alpha_{6} [Tr(V_{\mu}V_{\nu})Tr(TV^{\mu})Tr(TV^{\nu})]$$

• Conserves CP, violates P

$$L_{11} = \alpha_{11} g \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} Tr(TV_{\mu}) Tr(V_{\nu}W_{\rho\sigma})$$

Appelquist & Longhitano

12 E⁴ Operators

- Assume custodial SU(2) $_{V}$ (ρ =1at tree level)
 - $-L_1', L_6, L_7, L_8, L_9, L_{10}$ vanish
 - 6 operators remain
 - Assume P conservation, L₁₁ vanishes

Simple format for BSM physics

Estimate coefficients in your favorite model



Tree Level

2-point functions

$$\alpha \Delta S_{tree} = -4e^{2}\alpha_{1}$$

$$\alpha \Delta T_{tree} = 2\beta_{1}$$

$$\alpha \Delta U_{tree} = -4e^{2}\alpha_{8}$$

SM fit assumes a value for M_H

- Contribution from heavy Higgs: $\Delta S_H = \frac{1}{6\pi} \ln \left(\frac{M_H}{M_Z} \right)$, $\Delta T_H = \frac{3}{8\pi c^2} \ln \left(\frac{M_H}{M_Z} \right)$

- Scale theory from Λ to $M_Z,$ add back in contribution from $M_H(ref)$
 - Approach assumes logarithms dominate

$$\alpha \Delta S_{tree} = \frac{\alpha}{6\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{H,ref}}\right) - 4e^2 \alpha_1$$
$$\alpha \Delta T_{tree} = \frac{3\alpha}{8\pi c^2} \ln \left(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{H,ref}}\right) + 2\beta_1$$
$$\alpha \Delta U_{tree} = -4e^2 \alpha_8$$

$$\Lambda = 3 TeV$$
 .0034 < α_1 < .0074

Extended Gauge Symmetries

• General model with gauged $SU(2) \times SU(2)^{N} \times U(1)$

$$L_G = -\frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{N+1} W^a_{i,\mu\nu} W^{a,\mu\nu}_i$$

 After electroweak symmetry breaking, massless photon, plus tower of massive W_n[±], Z_n vector bosons

$$W_{i}^{\pm,\mu} = \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} a_{in} W_{n}^{\pm,\mu} \qquad W_{3,i}^{\mu} = b_{i0} \gamma^{\mu} + \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} b_{in} Z_{n}^{\mu} \qquad B^{\mu} = b_{00} + \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} b_{0n} Z_{n}^{\mu}$$
Fermions: $L_{f} = -\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \sum_{ij} \frac{g_{ijW_{n}^{\pm}}}{2\sqrt{2}} \overline{\psi}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} (1 - \gamma_{5}) \psi_{j} W_{n,\mu}^{\pm} + h.c$
 $-\sum_{n=1}^{N+1} \sum_{i} g_{ijV_{j}^{0}} \overline{\psi}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} (g_{V_{i}}^{V_{n}^{0}} + g_{A_{i}}^{V_{n}^{0}} \gamma_{5}) \psi_{i} V_{n,\mu}^{0}$

• Calculate for general couplings, then apply to specific model



- Global SU(2) x SU(2) x SU(2) \rightarrow SU(2)_V symmetry
- Gauged SU(2)₁ x SU(2)₂ x U(1)

$$L_{2} = \frac{f^{2}}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{2} Tr \left[D_{\mu} \Sigma_{i}^{+} D^{\mu} \Sigma_{i} \right] - \frac{1}{2g_{0}^{2}} Tr \left[L_{\mu\nu} \right]^{2} - \frac{1}{2g_{1}^{2}} Tr \left[V_{\mu\nu} \right]^{2} - \frac{1}{2g_{2}^{2}} Tr \left[R_{\mu\nu} \right]^{2}$$
$$D_{\mu} \Sigma_{1} = \partial_{\mu} \Sigma_{1} - iL_{\mu} \Sigma_{1} + i\Sigma_{1} V_{\mu}$$
$$D_{\mu} \Sigma_{2} = \partial_{\mu} \Sigma_{2} - iV_{\mu} \Sigma_{2} + i\Sigma_{2} R_{\mu}$$

• Model looks like SM in limit





3-Site Higgsless Model

• At tree level,
$$\alpha S_{tree} = \frac{4\alpha}{g_1^2} = \frac{4s_W^2 M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2}$$

Requires M_W'> 3 TeV Problem with unitarity

• Get around this by delocalizing fermions

$$L_{f} = -x_{1}\overline{\psi}_{L}i(D\Sigma_{1})\Sigma_{1}^{+}\psi_{L} \implies L_{f} = \vec{J}_{L}^{\mu} \cdot \{(1-x_{1})L_{\mu} + x_{1}V_{\mu}\} + J_{Y}^{\mu}B_{\mu}$$

 Ideal delocalization: pick x₁ to make S vanish at tree level

$$\alpha S_{tree} = \frac{4s_W^2 M_W^2}{M_{W'}^2} \left(1 - \frac{x_1 M_{W'}^2}{2M_W^2} \right)$$

What happens at 1-loop?

The Problem with Gauge Boson Loops

$$D_{S}^{\mu\nu}(q^{2}) = \frac{ig^{\mu\nu}}{q^{2} - M_{S}^{2}}$$

$$D_{G_{i}}^{\mu\nu}(q^{2}) = \frac{ig^{\mu\nu}}{q^{2} - \xi M_{G_{i}}^{2}}$$

$$D_{V}^{\mu\nu}(q^{2}) = \frac{i}{q^{2} - M_{V}^{2}} \left(-g^{\mu\nu} + (1 - \xi) \frac{q^{\mu}q^{\nu}}{q^{2} - \xi M_{V}^{2}} \right)$$

 $\xi=1$ (Feynman), $\xi=0$ (Landau), $\xi \rightarrow \infty$ (Unitary)



Pinch Technique



- STU extracted from 4-fermion interactions
- Idea:
 - Isolate gauge-dependent terms in vertex/box diagrams
 - Combine with 2-point diagrams
 - Result is gauge-independent
 - q⁴ and q⁶ terms cancel in unitary gauge

Degrassi, Kniehl, & Sirlin, PRD48 (1993) 3963; Papavassiliou & Sirlin, PRD50 (1994) 5951

Pinch Technique



Extract terms from vertex/box corrections that look like 2-point functions



Associate this piece with propagator

Pinch Technique

- Calculate pinch 2-point functions with SM gauge sector plus W',Z'
- Unitary gauge minimizes number of diagrams
- Neutral gauge boson 2-point functions:

$$A_{\gamma}^{1-loop} = \frac{A_{\gamma}^{0}}{q^{2}} \Big[\Pi_{\gamma\gamma} + 2q^{2}V_{\gamma} + q^{4}B_{\gamma\gamma} \Big] = \frac{A_{\gamma}^{0}}{q^{2}} \Pi_{\gamma\gamma}^{Pinch}$$



Unknown Terms

- Unknown higher-dimensional operators
 - Generalization of α_1 term

$$L_{4} = c_{1}g_{1}g_{2}Tr[V_{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{2}B^{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{2}^{+}] + c_{2}g_{1}g_{0}Tr[L_{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{1}V^{\mu\nu}\Sigma_{1}^{+}]$$

- L₂ gives poles at one loop: $1/\epsilon \rightarrow Log (\Lambda^2/M_W^2)$
 - Poles absorbed in redefinition of arbitrary couplings, c_1 and c_2
- Approach only makes sense if logarithms dominate
- Results have scheme dependence

$$S_0 = -8\pi \left(c_1(\Lambda^2) + c_2(\Lambda^2) \right)$$

Leading Chiral Logarithm

To leading order in x=2M_W/M_W[,]

- Landau and also Feynman gauge (Chivukula, Simmons....)

$$\alpha S_{1-loop} = \frac{\alpha}{12\pi} \ln\left(\frac{M_{W'}^2}{M_W^2}\right) - \frac{41\alpha}{24\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right) + \frac{3\alpha}{4\pi} \frac{x_1}{x} \ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{W'}^2}\right)$$

• Unitary gauge, keeping subleading terms in x



Large Corrections in 3-Site Model at 1-loop



Ideal localization only fixes S problem at tree level



- Gauge symmetry: SU(2)_L x SU(2)_{V1} x SU(2)_{V2} x U(1)_Y
- Why?
 - With $f_2 \neq f_1$ can "mimic" warped RS models
 - Gauge couplings approximately SM strength if:

$$x \equiv \frac{g}{\tilde{g}} << 1 \qquad y \equiv \frac{g'}{\tilde{g}} << 1 \qquad \qquad g^2 \approx \frac{4\pi\alpha}{s^2}, \quad g'^2 \approx \frac{4\pi\alpha}{c^2}$$

- Gauge sector: SM + 2 sets of heavy gauge bosons,

$$\rho_i^{\pm}$$
, ρ^0 , i=1,2

Accomando et al, arXiv:0807.5051; Chivukula, Simmons, arXiv: 0808.2017

Compute Masses & Mixings

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{f_i^2}{4} Tr \left[D^{\mu} \Sigma_i D_{\mu} \Sigma_i^+ \right]$$

 $D_{\mu}\Sigma_{1} = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma_{1} - igL_{\mu}\Sigma_{1} + i\tilde{g}\Sigma_{1}V_{1\mu}$ $D_{\mu}\Sigma_{2} = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma_{2} - i\tilde{g}V_{1\mu}\Sigma_{2} + i\tilde{g}\Sigma_{2}V_{2\mu}$ $D_{\mu}\Sigma_{3} = \partial_{\mu}\Sigma_{3} - i\tilde{g}V_{2\mu}\Sigma_{3} + ig'\Sigma_{3}R_{\mu}$

Compute masses as expansion in x

$$M_{W}^{2} = \frac{g^{2}}{4} \frac{f_{1}^{2} f_{2}^{2}}{f_{1}^{2} + 2f_{2}^{2}} \left(1 - x^{2} z_{w}\right)$$
$$M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{\tilde{g}^{2} f_{1}^{2}}{4} \left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{2}\right)$$
$$M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2} = \frac{\tilde{g}^{2} \left(f_{1}^{2} + 2f_{2}^{2}\right)}{4} \left(1 + \frac{x^{2}}{2} z^{4}\right)$$

$$z = \frac{f_1}{\sqrt{f_1^2 + 2f_2^2}}$$
$$z_W = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + z^4 \right)$$

• 3-site limit: $f_2 \rightarrow \infty$, $z \rightarrow 0$, ρ_2 decouples

Delocalizing Fermions in 4-Site Model

- One-Site delocalization $L = -x_1 \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} i (D_{\mu} \Sigma_1) \Sigma_1^{+} P_L \psi$ $L_f = g' \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} (Y_L P_L + Y_R P_R) B^{\mu} \psi + g(1 - x_1) \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} T^a L^{a,\mu} P_L \psi + \tilde{g} x_1 \overline{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} T^a V_1^{a,\mu} P_L \psi$
- Contribution to S at tree level

$$\alpha S_{tree} = \frac{4s_{W}^{2}M_{W}^{2}}{M_{\rho_{1}^{0}}^{2}} \left[1 + \frac{M_{\rho_{1}^{0}}^{2}}{M_{\rho_{2}^{0}}^{2}} - x_{1} \left(\frac{4M_{\rho_{1}^{0}}^{2}}{g^{2}f_{1}^{2}} \right) \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{4s_{W}^{2}M_{W}^{2}}{M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}} \left[1 + z^{2} - \frac{x_{1}M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}}{2M_{W}^{2}} \left(1 - z^{2} \right) \right] + O(x^{2})$$

• Pick x₁ to minimize S_{tree}

Calculate S Using Pinch Technique



Just like 3-Site calculation, but more of it....

S at 1-Loop in 4-Site Model

$$\alpha S_{4-Site} = \frac{4s_{W}^{2}M_{W}^{2}}{M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}} \left(1+z^{2}-\frac{x_{1}M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}}{2M_{W}^{2}}(1-z^{2})\right) + \frac{\alpha}{12\pi}\ln\left(\frac{M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}}{M_{H,ref}^{2}}\right)$$
$$-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\frac{41+z^{2}+17z^{4}+17z^{6}}{24}-\frac{3x_{1}}{4x^{2}}(1+z^{2})\right]\ln\left(\frac{M_{\rho_{2}^{\pm}}^{2}}{M_{\rho_{1}^{\pm}}^{2}}\right)$$
$$-\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left[\frac{83-16z^{2}-33z^{4}}{24}-\frac{3x_{1}}{4x^{2}}(1+z^{2})\right]\ln\left(\frac{\Lambda^{2}}{M_{\rho_{2}^{\pm}}^{2}}\right)$$
$$-8\pi\alpha \left[(1-z^{2})(c_{1}(\Lambda)+c_{2}(\Lambda))+(1+z^{4})c_{3}(\Lambda)\right]$$

Note scaling between different energy regimes

Dawson & Jackson, arXIv:0810.5068

One-Loop Results in 4-Site Model



Large fine tuning needed at 1-loop

The Moral of the Story is....

- Triplet models
 - Can't use STU approach in triplet models
 - Triplet models can fit EW data if heavy scalars roughly degenerate
 - Minimizing the potential requires small mixing in neutral and charged sectors
- 2, 3, 4 Site Higgsless models
 - Ideal delocalization at tree level doesn't solve problem at 1-loop
 - Unknown coefficients make predictions problematic