

# IRWG – Feedback from and after the IEFC

V. Kain, B. Mikulec

# Feedback from IEFC

- ❑ The approach and first outcome of the IRWG was generally well received.
  
- ❑ Main comments:
  - R. Saban finds that the definition of tests the equipment groups should do is still missing
    - What do you think?
  - E. Hatziangeli sees CO3 (Controls Coordination Committee) a key player to ensure that major modifications are correctly implemented
    1. Design phase: OP involved for functional specification
    2. CO3: implementation harmonized according to standards
    3. Staged deployment followed by dry runs
  - We should come up with a solution of how to enforce OP involvement at the design stage for major modifications.
    - ECRs were mentioned.
    - What do you think?