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## $e^{-}$Gun \& Dump in TARLA

TARLA (Turkish Acceleration and Radiation Laboratory at Ankara) Electrons with the energy of $15-40 \mathrm{MeV}$ Free Electrons Lasers with wavelength of $2-250$ um


Calculation of radiation levels around thermo ionic electron gun by using FLUKA
e-Gun parameters: $250 \mathrm{keV}, 1 \mathrm{~mA}, 80 \mathrm{pC}, 13 \mathrm{MHz}$

Dose-Eq (uSv/hour)


## e- Gun \& Dump in TARLA

Design studies to dump electrons at the end of linac, by using FLUKA

Beam parameters; 50 MeV , $80 \mathrm{pC}, 13 \mathrm{MHz}, 1 \mathrm{~mA}$, $40 \mathrm{MW}, 2-5 \mathrm{~mm}$



## ELBE

Graphit block Stainless stell vessel Water cooled Surrounded by iron

Depolanan Enerji [Joule/cm ${ }^{3} /$ demet]


Foton yogunlugu [ $\gamma / \mathrm{cm}^{3} /$ demet]


## Particle Factory in TAC

An electron-positron collider as a "super charm factory"

A 1 GeV electron linac and a 3.56 GeV positron ring for linac on ring type collisions and a dedicated detector "TAC-PF"
$\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{e}^{+}}$-> $\Psi$-> $\mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{D}^{-} / \mathrm{D}^{0} \mathrm{D}^{0}$ bar
$D \sim 10^{3} \mathrm{M} /$ year @ $L \sim 10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$


| $e^{-} e^{+}->\Psi->D^{+} D^{-} / D^{0} D^{0} \text { bar }$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Parameter | Positron ring | Electron ER |
| Positron Beam energy ( GeV ) | 3.56 | 1 |
| Number of positron per bunch ( $10^{11}$ ) | 2 | 0.2 |
| Beta Functions at IP $\beta_{\mathrm{x}} / \beta_{\mathrm{y}}(\mathrm{mm})$ | 80/5 | 80/5 |
|  | 111/0.36 | 31/0.1 |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{x}} / \sigma_{\mathrm{y}}(\mu \mathrm{m})$ | 36/0.5 | 36/0.5 |
| $\sigma_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{mm})$ | 5 | 5 |
| Beam -beam tune shift ( $\xi_{\mathrm{x}} / \xi_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) | 0.012/0.13 |  |
| Energy loss/Turn (MeV) | 0.7 |  |
| Number of bunches | 300 |  |
| Circumference (m) | 600 |  |
| Beam Current (A) | 4.8 | 0.48 |
| Momentum Acceptence (\%) | 1 |  |
| Luminosity ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) | $1.4 \times 10^{35}$ |  |



## Particle Factory in TAC [Tracker]



Two main parameters contribute on transverse momentum resolution;

First term , contribution from measurement error by means of trajectory uncertainties define "Sagitta", thus depends on tracker geometry

Second term, multiple scattering contribution to momentum uncertainty, thus material dependence

## Particle Factory in TAC [Tracker]

Sagitta measurement error variation with momentum


Relative momentum resolution variation with momentum


Particle Detectors


At low momentum, momentum resolution limited by MS in tracker material.
I.Tapan and B.Pilicer, Published in NIMA 765 (2014) 240-243

|  | Spatial resolution (\%) | MS (\%) | $\sigma_{p t} / p_{t}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{e}^{+}$ | 0.46 | 1.67 | 1.74 |
| $\pi^{+}$ | 0.47 | 1.50 | 1.57 |
| $\mathrm{~K}^{+}$ | 0.53 | 1.69 | 1.77 |

Energy resolution is about 5\%, improving with eta


## Particle Factory in TAC [Tracker]

Calculation of "Impact parameters resolutions" with FLUKA and tkLayout (software package for tracker layouts developed by CMS group)
$\mathrm{a}_{0} \quad$ Transverse impact parameter
$\mathrm{z}_{0} \quad$ Longitudinal impact parameter
$\Phi_{0}$ Azimuth angle
$\Theta$ Polar angle





## Particle Factory in TAC [Calorimeter]

$\frac{\sigma(E)}{E}=\frac{a}{\sqrt{E}} \oplus b \oplus \frac{c}{E}$
$a=\sqrt{a_{\text {lateral }}{ }^{2}+a_{p e}{ }^{2}} \quad a_{p e}=\sqrt{\frac{F}{N_{p e}}}$

$$
N_{p e}=N_{p h} \times Q E
$$

$a$ : stochastic term (photoelectron statistics, shower fluctuations, lateral leakage)
$b$ : constant term (non-uniformities, longitudinal leakage)
$c$ : electronic noise term
$E$ : the energy of the incident particle
$a_{\text {lateral }}$ : Event to event fluctuations in the lateral shower containment
$a_{p e}$ : Photoelectron statistics contribution from photodetector
$F$ : Excess noise, avalanche gain fluctutation in APD
$N_{p h}$ : Number of the incident photons collected by the PD


## Particle Factory in TAC [Calorimeter]

Crystals, $\mathrm{PbWO}_{4} \sim 22.5 \mathrm{X}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{CsI}(\mathrm{TI}) \sim 16.2 \mathrm{X}_{0}$, studied for TAC-PF ECAL. Photodiodes, Hamamatsu S8664-55 APD and S2744 PD.


Energy deposition spectra is a Novosibirsk function having a tail towards lower energies

$$
f(x)=A \cdot \exp \left[-0.5 \cdot\left(\frac{\ln ^{2}\left[1+\Lambda \cdot \tau \cdot\left(E-E_{0}\right)\right]}{\tau^{2}}+\tau^{2}\right)\right]
$$




## Particle Factory in TAC [Full Simulation]



## LHeC Detector

DD4hep, (Detector Description for HEP). full detector simulation


## LHeC Detector [Barrel Calorimeter]

EMC, Pb-LAr (2.2+3.8 mm thick, like ATLAS) Pb-Scint ( $8.5+4 \mathrm{~mm}$ mm thick), no cryogenics



HAC, Tile calorimeter (like ATLAS)



500 cm

EMC+Dipole+HAC
HAC

Aluminum/Dipole
EMC


20 GeV e-

| Tile Rows | Height of Tiles in Radial Direction | Scintillator Thickness |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $1-3$ | 97 mm | 3 mm |
| $4-6$ | 127 mm | 3 mm |
| $7-11$ | 147 mm | 3 mm |
| $x$-depth | 1407 mm |  |



LHeC Collaboration Published in JPG Vol:39 No:7 (2012)

## LHeC Detector [Endcap Calorimeters]



## CLIC BDS

## CLIC Beam Delivery System

## Beam transfer line from main linac to IR (interaction region).




## Muon Background in CLIC BDS



Accelerator beam line design and particle tracking with BDSIM.

## axe axo

GEANT4 base particle transport and analysis interface with ROOT.



Beam sizes for each elements


Good agreement between BDSIM and MAD-X.

## Muon Background in CLIC BDS




$\rightarrow$ Indirect contribution

- $\mathrm{e}^{+} \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ hadrons

Contribution from decay of hadrons to muons and energetic photons.

## Muon Background in CLIC BDS

Muon trajectories in the tunnel through the IR



Magnetized muon sweeper/shielding to prevent muons reaching to IR (as background) They have been placed available drift space in betatron collimation section
B. Pilicer et al., Published in IPAC 2015
B. Pilicer et. al. Published in LCWS15

## Spin Transport in CLIC BDS

Numerical spin tracking through BDS done with BMAD to have particle dynamics.
Longitudinal electron beam polarization values @ IP were estimated $80 \%$ polarized electron beam.

The electron beam was sent with different misalignment values to the BDS .
The beam sizes ( $\sigma_{x}, \sigma_{y}$ ) and the tilt values on axes ( $\sigma_{x^{\prime}}, \sigma_{y^{\prime}}$ ) were calculated.



The polarization values at the IP were decreased up to $0.1 \%$ with applied misalignments of $\mathbf{7} \sigma_{\mathrm{x}}$ and $\mathbf{2} \sigma_{\mathrm{y}} \mathbf{6} \sigma_{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathbf{7} \sigma_{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}}$

## Spin Transport in CLIC BDS

The misalignment effect on polarization was also investigated for the quadrupole magnets. The 70 quadrupoles on the beamline were misaligned randomly at around 10 um and the beam was sent to the BDS without any misalignment.

Before misalignment


After Misalignment


The changes of longitudinal polarization without applied misalignment and after applied misalignment on all quadrupoles in CLIC BDS

## Spin Transport in CLIC BDS

The changes of $x$ and $y$ components of polarization before and after applied misalignment to the quadrupoles


## Penning Transfer Simulations for RD51

In addition to direct ionising collisions, there may be many non-ionising interactions in which some fraction of the energy is spent on the creation of short or long lived excited states. If the energy stored in excited noble gas atoms is used efficiently for additional ionisations.
Excitation and ionization levels for Argon and Methane
I.P $=15.8 \mathrm{eV}$ ARGON
higher: $<$ ion en.
3p $p^{5} 3 \mathrm{~d}: \sim 13.9 \mathrm{eV}$
3p $4 \mathrm{p}: \sim 13.0 \mathrm{eV}$
3 $\mathrm{p}^{5} 4 \mathrm{~s}:<12.0 \mathrm{eV}$


Contributions to the transfer rates

Time evolution of Penning transfer



## Summary \& Remarks

$>$ Our group's experiences on the simulations of both accelerator and detector sides have been presented
$>$ Different aspects of accelerator, like machine detector interface, are of interests
$>$ Different aspects of detector, like tracker and calorimeter resolutions, are of interests
$>$ Many papers, talks and notes relevant to those studies are present
$>$ Two relevant PhD thesis are on the way of finalizing
$>$ An ambitious group of experienced researchers ready to take part in FCC studies

