Installing BaBarGrid over EDG at SLAC: a challenge ?



Gilbert Grosdidier LAL-Orsay / IN2P3 / CNRS

20 August 2002

BaBarGrid@SLAC - Budapest -Gilbert

BaBarGrid ? At SLAC ? Why ?

- BaBarGrid is meant to offer a common interface to the user
 - FROM wherever he submits (UK, Padova, Princeton, ...)
 - TO whichever site he submits (SLAC, Lyon, INFN, ...)
- Indeed, the datasets are not/will not be all & always available only at SLAC
 - they can be spread/shared among the different BaBar production centres
 - and this whichever type of data one considers
 - Objectivity, Root, Ntuples, ...
- This is for the time being mainly targeting data analysis use cases
 - but could be extended later on
 - when getting more experience

20 August 2002

BaBarGrid (2)

On the Grid, one of the elements called Resource Broker selects the processing site by considering the resources specified by the user

- Availability and load of the machines
- Datasets he/she wants to process
- Operating System he/she required (or not)

This could decrease the amount of unnecessary transfers of data between remote sites and SLAC

- One moves the analysis requests towards the data
 - instead of the contrary

This could also allow for CPU load balancing between the different BaBar sites

BaBarGrid (3)

This means the BaBar user does not want/need

- to care where his analysis job will be sent/executed
- to learn/use a new type of batch interface
- But he only wants to care about one thing:
 - retrieving his log/output files AT HOME
 - directly on the machine from where he submitted the request

In principle, the pair Globus+EDG should provide the tools to get all these

- by now (1.1.4), the automated retrieval is not satisfactory

It does not seem yet that another Grid Toolkit is announcing a facility similar to the RB

Do we really need the EDG layer ?

- It is adding a more compact, integrated wrapper interface to user's jobs (simpler and better unified)
 - Even if it is not yet complete, IMHO
- The RB is adding the tool to select the execution site depending on the data resources available there
- It is adding the load balancing ability between execution sites in the RB as well (Resource Broker)
- It is adding the concept of Virtual Organisation (VO) to federate the sites able to offer resources to a given experiment (horizontal merging, user management)

Very Tight Schedule Indeed

Try to get a "proof of feasibility" by end of June '02

- meaning in fact: identify the locks and show-stoppers and look for quick solutions and fixes S DONE
- and to have a few selected (and experts in this case) users able to run an analysis job if we are lucky **a DONE**
- Final target: have some production environment ready for all users by the end of this year
 - with attractive interface tools
- Want to have this reached thru tailored install, customized to SLAC site
 - with only very limited software modifications: NO development must be foreseen (using ONLY standard tools)
 - Unfortunately, missed this one (see later) \otimes

20 August 2002

Early show stoppers, as seen @ SLAC

There were 3 types of issues raised thru EDG/Globus

- use of LSF Batch Scheduler
- AFS File System used for User Home Directories
- Batch Workers located inside of the IFZ
- They are not specific to SLAC, indeed
 - can belong to untested areas of these 2 S/W layers
 - solved thru ad hoc workarounds, like in other sites, with minimal fixes/improvements
 - they are interleaved

20 August 2002

No Access to Home Dir on WNs

- LSF default: the Work Dir is the Home Dir
- But: NO AFS token is conveyed thru EDG/Globus
 - rather normal when remote submission
 - so: no write access to home dir
- In addition, NO EDG/Glob. command to chg Work Dir
 - lack of flexibility
 - better to implement one !
 - SLAC/SCS currently studying implementation of Globus gssklog
 - security issues investigated
 - obviously, token creation will clear this access problem
 - not mandatory, IMHO.

20 August 2002

BaBarGrid@SLAC - Budapest - Gilbert

AFS & the area shared between CE & WNs

This is the Globus gass-cache culprit:

- Globus assumes a shared area (gass-cache) to transfer data (cert. proxy, among others) between CE & WN
- For each user: \$HOME/.globus/.gass_cache/
- this area must be writeable (with no token, cf prev. slides)
- and this happens to be impossible when lacking AFS token
- In addition, EDG (?) was writing the job-state-file directly inside of the .globus area !

Remedy to the Gass Cache issue

- To allow for the EDG layer to write directly into the gass-cache area, I built a hack into the globus_gram_job_manager module
- To allow for Globus to work w/o a token, the idea was
 - split .globus & .gass_cache areas between AFS & NFS
 - for each and every user
 - move everything dedicated to temporary files into the latter
 - suitable for both S/W layers in fact
 - In addition, each request is now assigned a specific subdir in the gass-cache area
 - and there is now a job-state-file for each request
- All fixes were implemented into the same module

The IFZ case for the WNs

- At SLAC, the Batch Workers are located INSIDE the IFZ, for both inbound and outbound IPs
 - while the CE (and the SE) are located outside
 - But, this prevents the EDG job wrapper to fetch the user script thru a globus-url-copy command (using gsiftp protocol) directly from the RB (located in UK)
 - this is rather inaccurate, could alleviate this if the script was split in several steps
 - Since this setup (WNs inside of IFZ) is rather common (and sensible)
 - It is strongly suggested to EDG to adopt quickly the solution explained below (EDG 1.2.1 ?)

Remedy to the IFZ issue

- I was able to build a safe hack around this issue
 - the job wrapper is now split in 3 parts
 - pre-fetch, run and post-download scripts
 - first and third ones are run on the CE
 - these 3 scripts are held in the new per-request subdir
 - this hack is implemented in the globus-Isf-job-submit script
 - there were no other hidden traps down the way
 - it is still required to fix EDG-1.2 for this
- As a by product, I checked that the WNs (LSF batch workers) can run either RH 6.2 or RH 7.2 with this fix
 - assuming the user's job contains NO call to any Globus/EDG tool (e.g. globus-url-copy)

Conclusions for EDG-1.1.4 install @ SLAC

- Three parts of the Globus/EDG software were installed at SLAC: CE, WN and UI
- This exercise clearly showed that they are running fine altogether, and also with the RB ③
 - meaning that the output stuff is actually returned to the RB
 - Been able to build required hacks:
 - for some script links of this chain
 - for one module of the compiled stuff
 - even if this was not expected
- A minor point remains, for installing/running the UI
 - requires links in the /opt area to be installed on all front-end nodes
 - clearly wish to avoid this on next versions

Here comes the RB !

- The lack of stability of the EDG-1.1.4 Resource Broker during the tests was really a pain in the neck
 - despite all efforts of our UK colleagues in I.C.
 - it was very tough to send more than 30 requests in a raw without having one of the daemons dying
 - meaning MTBF: 2-4 hours
 - even when the network was stable
 - the jssparser was particularly fragile, but not only it
 - so the job retrieval was indeed very erratic as well
 - the nice Web monitor did not always show the break, and where the break was
 - in addition, any hickup on one of the links in the CE-Network-RB chain was sufficient to break the communications
 - often requiring RB manual restart

Remedies to RB instability (?)

- Don't even think to let any user experiment these kinds of trouble !
- This means, IMHO, that these daemons REQUIRE to be closely and actively monitored
 - meaning they need to be automatically restarted when dead or sick !
- EDG-1.2.0 seems to be very touchy as well
 - Is there such monitoring within EDG-1.2.1?
 - If not, suggestion: could we cooperate with some experts to achieve this quickly and cleanly ?
 - this requires a very specific cross-check of the response of each daemon (and not only: is it alive ?)

20 August 2002

BaBarGrid@SLAC - Budapest - Gilbert

Near Future for EDG @ SLAC

- EDG 1.2 was due any day since end of April ...
 - install is now on achieved for EDG-1.2.0
- Install on RH 7.2 badly wanted
 - schedule was: Sept '02, within EDG 1.4. Still true ?
- The UI should be available on more platforms
 - True ? Which one ?
 - What about Globus 3.0 integration ?
- Probable integration of PPDG/iVDGL tools/features

Many Thanks

To a lot of people in CCIN2P3, LAL/Orsay, SLAC/SCS, GridPP/UK, and more ...

- Nadia, Fabio, Philippe, Dominique, Sophie ...
- Cal, Serge, Christian, Michel, René ...
- Adil, Ed, Karl, John, Richard ...
- David S., David C., Rod ...

For both their technical help, and encouraging support

Request List (Wishlist ?)

[EDG] Awareness of WNs located inside the IFZ

require transfers between RB and WN to be split in 2 steps:
 – RB & CE, then CE & WN (and vice-versa)

[Globus] Gatekeepers running with NO AFS token

- requires the possibility to relocate gass-cache into an NFS area
- and also to relocate all temporary files into this gass-cache

[Globus] Possibility to relocate the gass-cache area with a variable set at gatekeeper config level

• seems to be forbidden right now

[EDG] Possibility to set a (EDG-)GLOBUS-DEPLOY-PATH variable at config level to relocate the UI stuff

- existed previously in Globus, missing in 2.0 ⊗
- missing in EDG 1.1.4 at least

20 August 2002

BaBarGrid@SLAC - Budapest - Gilbert

Requests (2) [EDG] Possibility of relocating default working area

• thru a site-wide config variable, at sysadmin level

[EDG] Possibility of relocating the user working area

• thru a JDL directive at user level

[EDG] Possibility of avoiding the LSF mail

- thru a JDL directive at user level
- at sysadmin level

[EDG] Problem with use of Python in the UI

• possibility to set a config variable pointing towards the local stuff



Requests (3)

[EDG] Availability over RH 7.2

[EDG] Stability issue for RB daemons

- lack of monitoring ?
 - is this still true in EDG-1.2 ?
- they should be auto-restarted when failed/dead

[EDG] Automated job output retrieval

• Implement/improve direct delivery on user's node

[EDG] Availability of the UI over several platforms
 [EDG] Avoid the /opt pointers for the UI install