Security Group

TODO

E-mail: Akos.Frohner@cern.ch

1. CAS/VOMS strategy – open issues

- grid-proxy-init --vo Alice –role admin
  client application, PAM module?!
- Membership administration – admin interface
- VOMS: WP1/WP2/CAS implementation
- Encoding of the information: XML vs. ASN.1
  basically it is an attribute certificate
- Format of attributes: group/role/VO
  /O=Grid/O=Alice/Role=RM-admin
- Where to put the extra info: inside or beside the proxy cert?
- Libraries for the services (C/Java/?)

2. ACL syntax and semantics

- AND?: yes (multi-VO requirement from WP10)
  but have only allow xor deny
- XML, C, Java and database representation of ACLs
- ACL manipulation library API -> Andrew's GACL for C is the current nominee, but we probably need it in Java and Perl as well.
- Transport format: probably XML (write grammar!)

new:

- WP2's XML syntax for auhtoization
- fine grained authz in VOMS and metadata catalog
- SAML specification

3. SE/RM interaction

The interaction is as described earlier.

- Transport of ACL and metadata: needs common format
  prefixed to the data or separate mime-part?
- Delegation: file transfers between SE nodes – they must act on behalf of the initiator of the transfer
  see G-HTTPS later
- (Checksum on files – signatures?)

4. SE/MSS interaction

Mixed access to files (local and grid)

- SE authz to replace and/or emulate existing authorization
- Conflict of ownership
- Semantical differences in access rights

no progress

5. WP10 confidentality issues

Protecting the owner's identity

- In access control lists (protected storage and evaluation)
- Log/audit records (different name for audit)
- Key to read data (encrypted for the session)

See slides from the earlier meeting.

- Requirements along contracts – „implement" them as policies!

6. Accounting user/group/VO level?

Granularity of accounting and/or quotas

- User level: OK, based on the identity
  „accounted user" field in file metadata
- VO level: OK, in a replica manager
  files are mixed in an SE – „accounted VO" field?
- Group level: ?
  Group may change over time – „accounted group" field?

Extra fields

- Do we allow modifications?
- Who can modify them (ACL)?

7. Mutual authorization - client

Service can also obtain authorization information from a VOMS.

User may configure, which „group of service" is acceptable.

- Do we need this?
- Semantics of client applications

multiple VOMS credentials – see later

8. CE/LCAS interaction with VOMS

VOMS provides group/role info

- Mapping identity to local credentials - OK
- Mapping group information to local groups?
- Enforcement of group level access rights in a CE?

see LCAS later

9. Multiple vs. single VO - closed

- See WP10 requirements -> multiple VOs

10. VO LDAP servers

VOMS vs. VO-LDAP servers

- VO membership information (VOMS, LDAP)
- User information (LDAP)

- Which is the primary data source?
- Updating of user information – site authorities
- Tracking of incidents -> plan

step-by-step transition

11. Auditing

Tracking changes for incidents and debugging

- Pool of assigned user accounts
  (who was using N userid at T time?)
- Membership information
  (was X member of group Y at T time?)
- Software versions
  (what version of software W was running at T time?)
- Authorization decisions
  (why user X was allowed to access R resource at T time?)

12. GGF presentation

- What shall be in the presentation?