

RTAG on LCG Software Process Management



Fons Rademakers (ALICE/chair)

Marco Cattaneo (LHCb)

Gabriele Cosmo (IT)

Simon George (ATLAS)

Stephan Wynhoff (CMS)



Mandate

- Define a process for managing LCG software. Specific tasks to include
 - Establish a structure for organising software, for managing versions and coherent subsets for distribution
 - Identify external software packages to be supported
 - Identify recommended tools for use within the project – to include configuration and release management
 - Estimate resources (personpower) needed to run an LCG support activity
- Guidance – it is assumed that
 - Procedures and tools will be specified
 - Will be used within the project
 - Can be packaged and supported for general use
 - Will evolve with time



In Addition

- This RTAG does not make any recommendations on how experiment internal software should be developed and managed
- However, if an experiment specific program becomes an LCG product it should adhere to the development practices proposed by this RTAG



Organization

- One representative per experiment, plus IT
 - Marco Cattaneo (LHCb)
 - Gabriele Cosmo (IT/G4)
 - Simon George (ATLAS)
 - Fons Rademakers (ALICE/Chair)
 - Stefan Wynhoff (CMS)
- First meeting 4th February
 - Intensive meetings (> 10 hours) + E-mail discussions
- Timescale
 - Initial report in 1 month
 - Final report within 2 months (LGC workshop)



Consultation of External Experts

- Discussions with Christian Arnault on CMT during productive CMT workshop
- Presentation by H.P. Wellisch on SCRAM



Assumptions (not in mandate)

- All LCG software projects will be components of one overall architecture
- An architect will be appointed to define this architecture. The architect will:
 - Be technically on top of all issues
 - Have a wide experience in the field and a good track record
 - Be able to motivate the people, to explain the issues (like why and why not)
 - Have the final say
- LCG software projects will define a common software development process that all LCG projects will adhere to:
 - Not highly formalised, but assume that it will be based on one or more of the current best practice methodologies, e.g.: XP, RUP, USDP
 - Architecture-centric
 - Iterative and incremental approach to software development ("Release early, release often")
 - Use-case driven ("Let user feedback drive the development").
- **We propose tools and procedures to support such a process**
 - Which remain valid even if not all assumptions turn out to be true



General Recommendations

- All LCG projects must adopt the same set of tools, standards and procedures
 - Which must be centrally installed, maintained and supported
- Adopt commonly used open-source or commercial software where available
 - In preference to “Do It Yourself”
- All recommendations in this report are unanimously supported by the RTAG members



“Architecture-centric”

- Projects should be decomposed into small teams: 2 to 5 people
- Software should be decomposed into packages
 - A package is an atomic unit
 - With a well defined interface
 - Establish clear interfaces as soon as possible
 - Adopt coherent naming conventions
 - Language bindings: C/C++ mandatory, other languages (e.g. Java, Python, Perl, C#) optional
 - Typically one developer is “owner” of a package with check-in permission
- Supporting tools:
 - Design: no specific tool, but **UML** notation
 - Code versioning: **CVS** (including access policy)
 - Checkin only by recognized developers, checkout by anybody
 - Build tool: **GNUmake**
 - Configuration management: **CMT, SCRAM**
 - Tools for managing platform-dependencies, packaging, exporting: **autoconf, CMT, SCRAM/DAR, rpm, GRID install and export tool**



“Release early, release often”

- Major release 2 to 3 times/year
 - Release deliverables:
 - Source and binaries in common place distribution formats, e.g. tar and rpm, GRID package/installation format (for all supported platforms at the same time)
 - Test and validation suites with reference output
 - Up to date documentation: install, user and reference manual, design documents for core components, code examples
 - Hyperized manuals on the web ([doxygen](#), [lxr](#))
 - Release notes - What has changed since last release in each package
- Development release as often as possible (once per 2-3 weeks)
 - As often as needed to stay in synch with rest of LCG project
- Releases identified by number (x.y.z)
 - Use CVS tags to identify releases and package versions
- Automated nightly builds + regression tests and benchmarks
 - Using latest tags made by package developers, on top of most recent development releases
 - Build optimized and debug versions
 - Tests integration
 - Tests portability on supported platforms and compilers



“Let user feedback drive the development”

- Meetings with users
 - Planning meetings to define features for next major release
 - Annual workshop
- Facilitate discussion with users
 - Bug reporting
 - React to reports with priority
 - Animate mailing list/discussion forum (also used to discuss new features)
 - Single point of entry for all projects, with uniform look and feel
- Training
 - Hand on tutorials
- Supporting tools:
 - Considering **SourceForge** as single point of entry for all projects
 - Automatic creation of archived mailing lists, discussion fora (**HyperNews**)
 - Browse access to CVS (c.f. **CVSWeb**)
 - Bug reporting and tracking tool (c.f. **Remedy, Bugzilla**)
 - Release archive
 - Task management
 - Projects statistics



Testing and Quality Assurance

- Test on different architectures, different compilers, 32/64 bit, little/big endian, Unix/Win32
 - To maintain portability of software to future platforms
 - To increase the chance of finding bugs
 - For example (minimum subset):
 - i386, Sparc/64, IA-64
 - Linux, Solaris, Windows
 - gcc, CC, VC++, icc, ecc
- Adopt coding conventions and rules
 - Do not reinvent the wheel: use existing rules
 - Adopt a rule checking tool and an existing rule set ([RuleChecker](#), [CodeWizard](#))
- QA tests
 - Memory checking ([Insure++](#)), unit tests, regression tests, validation tests, performance tests ([McCabe](#))
 - Dependency analysis ([Deputy](#))
 - Create automatic process for development releases



External Software

- Central installation of third party software
 - In one easy to find place
 - Not buried deep inside some release structure
 - Single distribution point with rpm/tar files
 - Multiple versions made available
 - Let users (or integrators) choose the version they want via appropriate configuration tools
 - New versions installed on request
 - No need for additional “private” installations by individual projects
 - Clearly define coherent set of versions used for each release
 - Streamlined installation process
 - Uniform installation procedures for all external packages in same format as LCG software
- Build up local expertise on widely used external packages
 - Provide first level support to users
 - Interact with authors to report bugs etc.
 - In particular case of HEP specific libraries:
 - Local expertise includes active participation in evolution of the software, representing needs of CERN users



Specific External Packages

- Examples given as indication of scope, actual packages depend on recommendations of other RTAGs
- General purpose
 - E.g. Boost, Python, XML Xerces-C
- HEP specific
 - CLHEP
- Frameworks and toolkits
 - ROOT, GEANT4
- Mathematical library



Roles

- Release manager
 - Overall release manager for all LCG core projects
 - Communicates with project managers
 - Is in control and responsible for major releases
 - Defines release schedule
 - Coordinates integration of external and internal packages
 - Ensures completeness and overall consistency of deliverables
 - Quite a lot of work, so post could rotate between LCG project managers
- Librarian
 - Builds and tests on all reference platforms
 - Installs and distributes the releases
 - Keeps external software up to date
 - Configures, installs, maintains the tools supporting the process



Roles

- Tool smith
 - Installs and maintains all products and tools needed to support the development process
- QA support person
 - promotes and facilitates QA process within projects
- Technical writer
 - Keeps manuals, tutorials, etc. complete and up to date



Manpower Estimation

- 1 release manager
 - Rotates between project managers with each major release
- 1-2 librarians, 1 once project stabilizes
 - This is FTE, should always be more than 1 person
- 1-2 tool smiths, 1 once project stabilizes
 - Task could be shared with librarian
- 1 QA support person
- 1-2 technical writers

- Some of these tasks scale with size and scope of the LCG project



Specific Free Tools

- CVS
- automake, autoconf, gmake
- CMT, SCRAM (choose one, both appear to meet requirements)
- cvsweb
- LXR (Linux X-reference)
- Hypernews
- Majordomo
- RPM (RedHat Package Manager)
- Doxygen
- Deputy



Specific Commercial Tools

- SourceForge
 - Collaborative Software Development (CSD) platform from VA software
 - Contains: cvs interface, bug reporting, mailing list, monitoring and reporting, searching, Oracle9 interface, etc.
- IRST rule checker
- CodeWizard
- Insure++
- McCabe