



Top quark properties at CDF

Hyun Su Lee The University of Chicago

On behalf of the CDF collaboration

Why we study Top properties?

- Top quark lifetime is short
 - Decaying before hadronizing
 - Studying bare quark
- Fundamental question
 - Why top quark is so heavy? Is it related with EWSB?
 - Is it SM top or mimic with non-SM top like particles?
 - Is it decaying to non-SM particles or non-allowed final states from SM?
- However it's still little known particle
- Top quark is an ideal place to study new physics

Study of top properties at CDF



Study of top properties at CDF



Production

Top production mechanism in lepton+jet (1 fb⁻¹)



- Gluon radiate more gluon than quarks do
 - # of low pt charged track can be discriminant
- Various kinematic variable
 Artificial neural net



Top production mechanism in lepton+jet (1 fb⁻¹)



Top production mechanism in dilepton channel (2 fb⁻¹)

 Azimutal angle between charged lepton can be used as discriminant



$d\sigma/dm_{ttbar}$ in lepton+jet channel (2.7 fb⁻¹)

- $d\sigma/dm_{ttbar}$ is sensitive to a broad class of models
- In situ JES calibration significantly reduce dominant JES systematics



• No evidence of inconsistency with SM

M_{tt} resonance in all hadronic channel (2.8 fb⁻¹)

 Various BSM theories predict resonance ttbar production from massive Z like boson
 CDF Run II preliminary, L=2.8fb⁻¹



- Consistent with SM prediction
- Set a 95% CL limit of Z' = 805 GeV

Top like particle

Stop search (2.7 fb⁻¹)

Similar signature with a top dilepton event

$$\tilde{t}_1 \overline{\tilde{t}_1} \to b \overline{b} \tilde{\chi}_1^+ \tilde{\chi}_1^- \to b \overline{b} \ell \overline{\ell} \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \nu \overline{\nu}$$

 Dilepton branching ratio is determined by SUSY parameters like tan β, and mass of SUSY particles



Properties

Top quark width (1 fb⁻¹)



Top charge (1.5 fb⁻¹)

- Is it +2/3e as SM predicts?
- Exotic model predict -4/3e of top charge

✤ t ->W⁻b (SM W⁺b)

- Measurement
 - Measuring W charge
 - Assigning b quark to W
 - Determining the flavor of b (is it a b or anti-b?)
- Reject XM with 87%CL
- f+ (signal fraction of SM)>0.4 (95%CL)

center=0.87



Decay

W Hilicity (1.9 fb⁻¹)

- The SM top decays via EW interaction
 - ✤ Top decays as a bare quark ⇒ spin information transferred to final state particles
- V-A coupling predict
 \$\$\$f_0 = 0.7 (longitudinal)
 \$\$\$f_+ = 0 (right handed)
 \$\$\$\$f_- = 0.3 (left handed)



Measuring the fraction of longitudinally polarized W bosons



W-Helicity (1.9 fb⁻¹)



Charged Higgs Search (2.2 fb⁻¹)







Template fit to the dijet invariant mass in Lepton+Jets channel





It can be interpreted as generic charged boson search extending below W boson mass

Search for the Top FCNC Decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ (1.9 fb⁻¹)

Top FCNC is extremely small in SM ~ O(10⁻¹⁴)



Search for the Top FCNC Decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ (1.9 fb⁻¹)

- Data fit for signal (tagged and anti-tagged) events region
- Background constraints in the control region

(Z+jets dominant region)



Conclusion & Outlook

• CDF are studying the top quark in various angle

• So far top quark seems to be standard model top quark

- Still a lot of measurement are limited by statistics
 - Much larger sample can give more interest
 - We already have more than 6 fb⁻¹
 - End of 2011 ~ 10 fb⁻¹
 - LHC will be top factory can be apply same technique