

# MC Truth and detector simulation programs Where are we?

Andrea Dell'Acqua - CERN EP/SFT

# What is MC Truth?

- ◆ A single term to condense several concepts, requirements, functionality
  - ◆ Input to the detector simulation programs
  - ◆ Snapshot of interesting interactions during event processing
  - ◆ A link between detector response ("Hits", "Digits") and the original particles in the event
- ◆ While bits&pieces exist in the detector simulation programs (e.g. Geant) this functionality is not fully available anywhere

# Input to the detector simulation

- ◆ The generator output (e.g. HepMC) contains all information needed for analyzing a physics event
- ◆ ...still, detector simulation programs want to modify it...
  - ◆ Particles are eliminated (quarks, strings, stable particles outside the detector acceptance...)
  - ◆ Vertices are modified (primary/secondary vertices can be moved)
  - ◆ Vertices are merged
  - ◆ Decayed particles are “resurrected”
  - ◆ Events are merged (“pile-up”)

# Input to detector simulation (2)

- ◆ The original event is translated into “another” event that DS can swallow
  - ◆ `HepMC::GenParticle` → `G4PrimaryParticle` → `G4Track`
- ◆ In the process, it is quite hard to maintain a link to the original event, which allows backwards-navigation
- ◆ Need for an intermediate event representation (this is what the detector will see)
  - ◆ Not a connected tree, can we still use HepMC?

# Event processing

- ◆ Detector simulation programs often used as “black boxes”
  - ◆ You input a physics event, out comes the detector response
- ◆ Need to gain some deeper insight, to “save” interesting physics processes that may occur at tracking time
  - ◆ Particle decays
  - ◆ Hard brems
  - ◆ Tracks entering/leaving a certain region of the detector
- ◆ (clashing requirements between DS and generators as far as long-lived particles are concerned)

# Event processing (2)

- ◆ Need for an intermediate format to store event information
  - ◆ Particles, vertices, processes which generated them
  - ◆ Keep relationship with the parent particles
    - ◆ `G4Track`→`HepMC::GenParticle`?
- ◆ Mostly user-defined information/strategy
  - ◆ We do want to save hard brems in a tracking device, most certainly not in a calorimeter
  - ◆ Define “thresholds” to decide what to save, how, when...

# Detector response and generated events

- ◆ It must be possible to associate hits (snapshots of a physical interaction in the detector) and the particle which produced them
  - ◆ For consistency checks
  - ◆ To verify the correctness of a reconstruction algorithm
- ◆ This can easily be realized for “primary” particles, how about secondaries?
  - ◆ Fool-proof implementation requires saving the whole simulated event – Out of question...
  - ◆ Need to implement strategy for primary-secondaries association that can be used from the hits viewpoint

# Detector response and generated events (2)

- ◆ The actual detector response is simulated out of hits
  - ◆ Hits are merged into “digits”
  - ◆ The particle-hits association ( $1 \rightarrow 1$ ) is translated into a particle-digit association ( $\text{Many} \rightarrow 1$ )
- ◆ Events are merged into one bunch crossing at this level
  - ◆ Relationships must be conserved/rebuilt when the “truth” is re-shuffled
  - ◆ Do barcodes still work when events are merged? Or must they be re-arranged too?



# Geant4 and MC truth

- ◆ No real interface to MC generators to start with
  - ◆ Kinematics provided by `G4PrimaryParticles/Vertices`
  - ◆ Only recently an interface to HepMC has been provided
- ◆ The `HepMC::GenParticle→G4PrimaryParticle→G4Track` chain makes backwards navigation hardly possible
  - ◆ Hits see `G4Tracks`
  - ◆ Hard to navigate from Tracks to primary particles
  - ◆ No link from primary particles to HepMC
  - ◆ Persistency IS a problem here!
- ◆ Stacking sequence in G4 makes it hard to foresee whether a track must be stored or not
- ◆ Need for a home-grown solution!

# DS programs for LHC and MC truth

- ◆ All LHC collaborations are coming up with their implementation of a MC truth package
- ◆ This ranges from stop-gap solutions to complete re-implementations of the particle stack
- ◆ Different levels of satisfaction
  - ◆ The G4 users seem to be the most frustrated
- ◆ There is certainly room for common solutions

# LCG - Common detector simulation infrastructure

- ◆ Common project set in place to provide unified simulation infrastructure that the LHC experiment can use
- ◆ Common definition of MC truth is the first item in the list of things to do
- ◆ Going through the requirements/design phase
  - ◆ Find a common base in terms of required functionality
  - ◆ To be implemented in SEAL/POOL
  - ◆ Maximize code re-use (why re-inventing the wheel if there is a wheel already)
  - ◆ Utilize existing components (e.g. HepMC) if these fit in the picture
- ◆ Aim at first implementation by the end '03