

# **LHC Computing Grid Project – LCG**

# The LHC Computing Grid Service and EGEE

EGEE-LHC town meeting

CERN – 22 February 2003

Les Robertson
Information Technology Division
CERN

les robertson@ cem.ch



last update: 22/02/2003 11:32



- Generalissues
- Deploying a service
- A cquiring Grid m iddleware
- LCG & EGEE





# W hat is the LHC Computing Grid Project?

M ission of Phase 1 (2003-2005) 
prepare the computing environment for the analysis of LHC

data

- applications software environm ent, tools, fram eworks, com m on developm ents
- deploy and coordinate a global grid service
  - acquire and organise support for robust, maintainable middleware
  - set up and learn how to operate a service
    - encompassing the LHC Regional Centres not a service apart
    - strategy and policy for resource allocation
    - authentication, authorisation, accounting
    - user support, operation
    - perform ance and optim isation
    - evolution





## LCG service development timeline

- 1H 03 development of the basic service
  - service definition
  - establish certification, distribution & support processes
- 2H 03 LCG-1 service ram p-up
  - batch service used for simulated event productions
  - basic data m anagem ent
  - work on reliability, availability, operability
  - develop operations centre, call centre
  - im prove scaling, data m ovem ent perform ance
- 2004 LCG-2 the principal service for data challenges
   → computing modelTDRs due end 2004
- 2005 LCG-3 the full LCG Pilot.
  - fulfillbasic requirements
  - initial experience with interactive analysis
    - → TechnicalDesign Report for Phase 2 mid-2005





## Timeline for the LCG computing service


Les Robertson - cern-it-5

VDT, EDG tools building up to basic functionality

Stable 1<sup>st</sup> generation middleware Developing management, operations tools

More stable 2<sup>nd</sup> generation middleware

Very stable full function middleware Acquisition, installation, commissioning of Phase 2 service (for LHC startup)





### Observations

- The middleware tools that we can identify today must be hardened this year and supported into 2005
- It becomes increasingly difficult to introduce new middleware into a stable, production service
- The LHC analysis facility will be fully distributed from day 1
   no site will have more than ~15% of the analysis capacity
- Our minds and mails have been concentrating on the middlew are
  - But there are many (equally difficult) problems to solve in deploying a coherent, productive service for data analysis
- The m iddleware that will run the service when LHC starts in April 2007, must be deployed at least one year before





# Situation Today

- W e are still solving basic reliability & functionality problems
  - We still have a long way to go to get to a solid service
  - A solid service in m id-2003 looks am bitious
- W e have not yet addressed system levelissues
  - How to manage and maintain the Grid as a system providing a high-quality reliable service.
  - Few tools and treatment in current developments of problem determination, error recovery, fault tolerance etc.
- Some of the advanced functionality we will need is only being thought about now
  - Comprehensive data management, SLAs, reservation schemes, interactive use.
- M any m any initiatives are underway and m ore com ing

## How do we manage the complexity of all this?





# Establishing Priorities

- We need to focus on a model that we can easily explain and understand
- The basic requirements of the 2004 Data Challenges are a good starting point
  - Focus on robust job scheduling, data handling.
- We must make the simple things work wellbefore we expand the scope
- This is not to say that we should not be working on advanced requirements -

But we must recognise the difference between R&D and providing a service





## LCG Guidelines

- Focus on a Service for Physics
  - simulation first
  - then batch analysis
  - and later interactive analysis
- Keeping everything else as simple as possible





# Deploying a service





## Deploying the LCG Service

#### Middleware:

- Testing and certification
- Packaging, configuration, distribution and site validation
- Support problem determ ination and resolution; feedback to middleware developers

#### 0 perations:

- Grid infrastructure services
- Site fabrics run as production services
- O perations centres trouble and perform ance monitoring, problem resolution - 24x7 globally

#### Support:

- Experim ent integration ensure optimaluse of system
- User support callcentres/helpdesk globalcoverage; docum entation; training



## Certification and Testing

- W illbe an ongoing major activity of LCG
  - Part of what willmake LCG a production-level service
- Goals:

LCG

- Certify/validate that middleware behaves as advertised and provides the required functionality (HEPCAL)
- Stabilise and harden middleware
- Provide debugging, problem resolution and feedback to developers
- Testing activities at all levels
  - Component/unit tests
  - Basic functional tests, including tests of distributed (grid) services
  - Application level tests based on HEPCAL use-cases
    - Driven/implemented by the experiments GAG
  - Experim ent beta-testing before release
  - Site configuration verification
- JTB collaborative project LCG, Trillium, EDG
  - Gather existing tests
  - W rite/obtain m issing tests






## Packaging and distribution

- O bviously a major issue for a deployment project
- Joint activity started -
  - D iscussions LCG, EDG, VDT, EDT, iVDGL, etc.
  - Have produced a draft discussion document
  - Will soon lead to a JTB joint project
- W ant to provide a tool that satisfies needs of the participating sites,
  - Interoperate with existing tools where appropriate and necessary
  - Does not force solution on sites with established infrastructure
  - Solution for sites with nothing
- Configuration is essential component
  - Essential to understand and validate correct site configuration
  - Effort will be devoted to providing configuration tools
  - Verification of correct configuration will be required before sites join LCG





# Centres taking part in the LCG prototype service (2003-05)



around the world -> around the clock





# Centres taking part in the LCG prototype service - 2003-05

#### Tier 0

CERN

#### Tier 1 Centres

- Brookhaven N ational Lab
- CNAF Bologna
- Ferm ilab
- FZK Karlsruhe
- IN 2P3 Lyon
- Rutherford Appleton Lab (UK)
- University of Tokyo
- CERN

#### O ther Centres

- A cadem ica S inica (Taipei)
- Barcelona
- Caltech
- GSIDarmstadt
- Italian Tier 2s(Torino, Milano, Legnaro)
- M anno (Switzerland)
- M oscow State University
- N IKH EF Am sterdam
- Ohio Supercomputing Centre
- Sweden (NorduGrid)
- Tata Institute (India)
- Trium f (Canada)
- UCSD
- UK Tier 2s
- University of Florida-Gainesville
- University of Prague
- •





# LCG-1 Ramp-up Schedule

	Date	RegionalCenter	Experim ent
Pilot 1 start - Feb 1			
0	15/2/03	CERN	All
1	28/2/03	CNAF, RAL	All
Pilot 2 start - M arch 15			
2	30/3/03	FNAL	CM S
3	15/4/03	Tokyo	Atlas
4	30/4/03	Karlsruhe	All
5	7/5/03	IN 2P3	All
6	15/5/03	BNL	Atlas
7	21/5/03	Russia (Moscow), Ta iwan	All
LCG-1 Initial Public Service Start - July 1			





## Setting up the LCG Service

#### Grid Deployment Board

- senior com puting service m anagem ent, experim ent production m anagers, ..
- policies, strategy, scheduling, standards, recommendations

#### Grid Resource Coordinator

• facilitating scheduling (data challenges, etc.) between experiments, regional centres within limits set by funding agencies

#### LCG Operations Team


- service m anagers
- detailed scheduling, day-to-day operations

#### LCG Security Group

- Security Policy
- Close ties to site security officers









## Grid Operations Infrastructure

- Few wellstaffed centres to provide:
  - O perations and monitoring service perform ance monitoring, troubleshooting, problem resolution
  - CallCentre providing user support, docum entation, training
  - Basic grid infrastructure services
  - Centre of expertise in grid tools provide debugging, problem resolution, interaction with developers
  - Grid configuration management
  - VO management
  - Resource management
- Would like to see a few well-staffed and managed centres rather than a lot of centres with 1 or 2 people.
- LCG is setting up centres such as this specifically for LHC
  - but exactly how they will operate is not yet clear





# Acquiring Grid M iddleware






## LCG Grid M iddleware Challenges

- Have identified the starting technologies to be deployed
  - Driven pragmatically through the GDB/W G1
  - Initial suppliers VDT and EDG
- Identify the medium term supply & support strategies
  - Requirements from GAG, advice from STAG
  - Short life-time projects (EDG, Trillium, NMI....) with unclear continuations
  - We need to see credible supplier projects that focus on product quality, maintainability, support, end-user service
  - W hat about industrial products
- W ork towards future m iddleware solutions that are coherent, acceptable and supportable
  - Inter-working, standards,...
    - -- essential for evolution
    - -- LCG must be mainline, not HEP-special
  - OGSA helps but will standards emerge on the LCG timescale?
  - or will we need to apply som e Super-GLUE?





# LCG Grid Technology Organisation





## M iddleware Support

- Is a process that involves key stakeholders
  - The development community
  - The systems administrators
  - The user support teams
- Is an infrastructure
  - That allows problems to be isolated
  - Perm its fixes (short and long term) to be created and deployed
- Is a know ledge system
  - That provides direct input to development
  - That provides feedback for design
  - That gives confidence to users

M iddleware support is not an isolated activity





# LCG & EGEE





## EGEE as a Solution for LCG

#### Middleware

- EGEE could provide the criticalmass
  - to fund hardened & supported middleware serving a wider community
  - and form a **global** middleware partnership
- LCG cannot do this on its own

#### 0 peration

- funds the operation of a core grid in European countries
- assum ing that -
  - the EGEE infrastructure is integrated with national infrastructures
  - and with US, Asian infrastructures
  - the LCG regional centres are smoothly integrated from the start
  - the aim is a long-term infrastructure

#### Simplification

- LCG (and HEP) can concentrate on physics services and leave middleware and grid operation to someone else
- The long term model is provision of a core Grid service
  - GEANT + NRENs provide a model for this
  - but they had a more tangible deliverable
  - and the model took some time to mature





## LCG as a Catalyst for EGEE

- LCG has a real need
   and a reasonable scale
   and has mature global collaborations of scientists
- LCG must acquire basic middleware for a global science grid
- Solutions for LCG are quite general readily applicable to other sciences
- LCG willdeploy a core grid infrastructure in Europe,
   America, Asia

#### EGEE can build on this

- to learn how to provide a "production quality" service during LHC preparation (data challenges)
- exploit the LHC core infrastructure as the foundation for a general science grid





# Timing is Critical

- The two-year first phase could deliver just in time (but only just) for LCG
- Notime for a slow start-up
- 0 ptim istic approach essential
- M ust complete first round requirem ents, planning, identify teams, design, ... recruitm ent ....

before funding starts to flow





## EGEE Priorities (as seen from LCG)

- 2 Robust industrial-strength middleware, with complete support structure.
  - Functionality at a "basic" level-providing data management, resource scheduling, and information services
  - Part of a G bbalm idd lew are program m e
- 2 Basic grid operational and support infrastructure managed as a production service
  - O perations centres, call centres (user support), centres of expertise, system -level support

