PART 2

The LHC physics programme

- Search for Standard Model Higgs boson over ~ 120 < m_H < 1000 GeV.

- Search for Supersymmetry and other physics beyond the SM (q/ℓ compositness, leptoquarks, W'/Z', heavy q/ℓ, unpredicted ? ….) up to masses of ~ 5 TeV

- Precise measurements :
  - -- W mass
  - -- WWγ, WWZ Triple Gauge Couplings
  - -- top mass, couplings and decay properties
  - -- Higgs mass, spin, couplings (if Higgs found)
  - -- B-physics: CP violation, rare decays, B^0 oscillations (ATLAS, CMS, LHCb)
  - -- QCD jet cross-section and α_s
  - -- etc. ….

- Study of phase transition at high density from hadronic matter to plasma of deconfined quarks and gluons. Transition plasma → hadronic matter happened in universe ~ 10^{-5} s after Big Bang (ALICE)

Keyword: large event statistics

Expected event rates in ATLAS/CMS for representative (known and new) physics processes at low luminosity ($L=10^{33}$ cm^{-2} s^{-1})

Process	Events/s	Events/year	Other machines (total statistics)
$W \rightarrow e\nu$	15	10^8	10^4 LEP / 10^7 Tev.
$Z \rightarrow ee$	1.5	10^7	10^7 LEP
$t\bar{t}$	0.8	10^7	10^4 Tevatron
$b\bar{b}$	10^5	10^{12}	10^8 Belle/BaBar
$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ (m=1 TeV)	0.001	10^4	—
H (m=0.8 TeV)	0.001	10^4	—
QCD jets $p_T > 200$ GeV	10^2	10^9	10^7

High L : statistics 10 times larger

→ LHC is a B-factory, top factory, W/Z factory Higgs factory, SUSY factory, etc….

Precise measurements of: m_W , m_{top}

Motivation:

W mass and top mass are fundamental parameters of the Standard Model:

Electromagnetic constant
measured in atomic transitions,
e^+e^- machines, etc.

$$m_W = \left(\frac{\pi \alpha_{EM}}{\sqrt{2}\, G_F} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sin\theta_W \sqrt{1-\Delta r}}$$

Fermi constant
measured in muon decay

Weinberg angle
measured at LEP/SLC

radiative corrections
$\Delta r \sim f(m_{top}^2, \log m_H)$
$\Delta r \approx 3\%$



→ since G_F, α_{EM}, $\sin\theta_W$ are known with high precision, precise measurements of m_{top} and m_W constrain radiative corrections and Higgs mass (weakly because of logarithmic dependence)

So far : W mass measured at LEP2 and Tevatron
top mass measured at the Tevatron

$$m_W = \left(\frac{\pi \alpha_{EM}}{\sqrt{2}\, G_F} \right)^{1/2} \frac{1}{\sin\theta_W \sqrt{1-\Delta r}}$$

m_W (from LEP2 + Tevatron) = 80.450 ± 0.034 GeV

m_{top} (from Tevatron) = 174.3 ± 5.1 GeV



→ light Higgs is favoured

Year 2007:

$\Delta m_W \approx 25$ MeV (0.3 ‰) from LEP/Tevatron

$\Delta m_{top} \approx 2$ GeV (1%) from Tevatron

Can LHC do better ?

YES : thanks to large statistics

Measurement of W mass

Method used at hadron colliders different from e^+e^- colliders

- $W \rightarrow$ jet jet : cannot be extracted from QCD jet-jet production $\Rightarrow$ cannot be used

- $W \rightarrow \tau\nu$: since $\tau \rightarrow \nu + X$, too many undetected neutrinos $\Rightarrow$ cannot be used

only $W \rightarrow e\nu$ and $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$ decays are used to measure m_W at hadron colliders

W production at LHC :

Ex.



$$\sigma\,(pp \rightarrow W + X) \approx 30\ nb$$
$$\hookrightarrow e\nu,\ \mu\nu$$

~ 300×10^6 events produced
~ 60×10^6 events selected after analysis cuts

} one year at low L, per experiment

~ 50 times larger statistics than at Tevatron
~ 6000 times larger statistics than WW at LEP

$W \rightarrow e\nu$ events (data) from CDF experiment at the Tevatron

CDF

W + 0,1,2,3 jet(s) Events



$E_T \cong$ 41 GeV



$E_T \cong$ 32 GeV



$E_T \cong$ 35 GeV



$E_T \cong$ 33 GeV

$$m_W^{\,2} = (E_\ell + E_\nu)^2 - (\vec{p}_\ell + \vec{p}_\nu)^2 = 2E_\ell E_\nu (1 - \cos\theta_{\ell\nu})$$

Since $\vec{p}_L^{\,\nu}$ not known (only $\vec{p}_T^{\,\nu}$ can be measured through E_T^{miss}), measure transverse mass, i.e. invariant mass of $\ell\nu$ in plane perpendicular to the beam :



$$m_T^{\,W} = \sqrt{2p_T^{\,\ell}\, p_T^{\,\nu}(1 - \cos\varphi_{\ell\nu})}$$

$\equiv E_T^{miss}$

m_T^W distribution is sensitive to m_W



m_T^W distribution expected in ATLAS

$\Rightarrow$ fit experimental distributions with SM prediction (Monte Carlo simulation) for different values of m_W $\rightarrow$ find m_W which best fits data

Uncertainties on m_W

Come mainly from capability of Monte Carlo prediction to reproduce real life, that is:

- detector performance: energy resolution, energy scale, etc.
- physics: p_T^W, θ_W, Γ_W, backgrounds, etc.

Dominant error (today at Tevatron, most likely also at LHC):
knowledge of lepton energy scale of the detector:
if measurement of lepton energy wrong by 1%, then measured m_W wrong by 1%

Calibration of detector energy scale

Example : EM calorimeter



- if $E_{measured} = 100.000$ GeV→ calorimeter is perfectly calibrated
- if $E_{measured} = 99, 101$ GeV → energy scale known to 1%

- to measure m_W to ~ 20 MeV need to know energy scale to 0.2 ‰ , i.e.

  if $E_{electron} = 100$ GeV then

  $99.98 \text{ GeV} < E_{measured} < 100.02 \text{ GeV}$

⇒ one of most serious experimental challenges

Calibration strategy:

- detectors equipped with calibration systems which inject known pulses:



→ check that all cells give same response:
if not → correct

- calorimeter modules calibrated with test beams of known energy → set the energy scale

- inside LHC detectors: calorimeter sits behind inner detector → electrons lose energy in material of inner detector → need a final calibration " *in situ* " by using physics samples:

e.g. $Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ decays 1/sec at low L

constrain $m_{ee} = m_Z$

reconstructed

known to $\approx 10^{-5}$ from LEP

Expected precision on m_W at LHC

Source of uncertainty	Δm_W
Statistical error	<< 2 MeV
Physics uncertainties (p_T^W, θ_W, Γ_W, …)	~ 15 MeV
Detector performance (energy resolution, lepton identification, etc,)	< 10 MeV
Energy scale	15 MeV
Total (per experiment, per channel)	~ 25 MeV

Combining both channels (eν, μν) and both experiments (ATLAS, CMS), $\Delta m_W \approx 15$ MeV should be achieved.
However: very difficult measurement

Measurement of m_{top}

- Top is most intriguing fermion:

-- $m_{top} \approx 174$ GeV $\rightarrow$ clues about origin of particle masses ?

-- $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ s \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} t \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ $\leftarrow$ Δm (t-b) $\approx$ 170 GeV $\rightarrow$ radiative corrections

- Discovered in '94 at Tevatron $\rightarrow$ precise measurements of mass, couplings, etc. just started

Top mass spectrum from CDF



Top production at LHC:

e.g.



$$\sigma\,(pp \to t\bar{t} + X) \approx 800\ pb$$

10^7 $t\bar{t}$ pairs produced in one year at low L

~ 10^2 times more than at Tevatron

☺ measure m_{top}, σ_{tt}, BR, V_{tb}, single top, rare decays (e.g. $t \to Zc$), resonances, etc.

☹ $t\bar{t}$ production is the main background to new physics (SUSY, Higgs)

Top decays:



BR ≈ 100% in SM

-- hadronic channel: both W → jj

⇒ 6 jet final states. BR ≈ 50 % but large QCD multijet background.

-- leptonic channel: both W → $\ell\nu$

⇒ 2 jets + 2ℓ + E_T^{miss} final states. BR ≈ 10 %. Little kinematic constraints to reconstruct mass.

-- semileptonic channel: one W → jj , one W → $\ell\nu$

⇒ 4 jets + 1ℓ + E_T^{miss} final states. BR ≈ 40 %. If ℓ = e, μ : gold-plated channel for mass measurement at hadron colliders.

In all cases two jets are b-jets
⇒ displaced vertices in the inner detector

Example from CDF : $t\bar{t} \rightarrow Wb\,Wb \rightarrow b\ell\nu\,bjj$ event



Secondary vertices
τ(b-hadrons) ~ 1.5 ps
→ decay at few mm from primary vertex detected with high-granularity Si detector (b-tagging)

Selection :

-- two b-tagged jets

-- one lepton

-- $E_T^{miss} > 20$ GeV

-- two more jets with

$|m_{jj}-m_W| < 20$ GeV



Expected precision on m_{top} at LHC

Source of uncertainty	Δm_{top}
Statistical error	<< 100 MeV
Physics uncertainties (background, FSR, ISR, fragmentation, etc.)	~ 1.3 GeV
Jet scale (b-jets, light-quark jets)	~ 0.8 GeV
Total (per experiment, per channel)	~ 1.5 GeV

- Uncertainty dominated by the knowledge of physics and not of detector.
- By combining both experiments and all channels : $\Delta m_{top} \sim 1$ GeV at LHC

From $\Delta m_{top} \sim 1$ GeV, $\Delta m_W \sim 15$ MeV
$\rightarrow$ indirect measurement $\Delta m_H / m_H \sim 25\%$ (today ~ 50%)

If / when Higgs discovered, comparison of measured m_H with indirect measurement will be essential consistency checks of EWSB

Searches for the Standard Model Higgs boson

Where is the Higgs ?



What do we know today about it ?

- Needed in SM to generate particle masses
- Mass not predicted by theory except that $m_H < 1000$ GeV
- $m_H > 114.4$ GeV from direct searches at LEP
- Indirect limits from fit of SM to:
  -- LEP1/SLD precise measurements at $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$
  -- m_W measurement LEP2/Tevatron
  -- m_{top} measurement at Tevatron



Best fit of SM to data (minimum χ^2) found for
$m_H = 81^{+52}_{-33}$ GeV

$m_H < 193$ GeV
95% C.L.

→ Higgs could be around the corner !

- ≈ 1.7 σ excess from LEP for $m_H \sim 115$ GeV
- Higgs production and decays versus m_H predicted

Higgs production at LHC

gg fusion



WW/ZZ fusion





associated $t\bar{t}H$



associated WH, ZH

Cross-section for pp → H + X



Higgs decays



Decay branching ratios (BR)



- $m_H < 130$ GeV: $H \to b\bar{b}$ dominates
- $m_H \geq 130$ GeV : $H \to WW^{(*)}, ZZ^{(*)}$ dominate
- important rare decays : $H \to \gamma\gamma$

Dominant fully hadronic final states (inclusive $H \to bb$, $H \to WW \to 4$jets, $H \to ZZ \to 4$jets) cannot be extracted from QCD background $\Rightarrow$ look for $H \to \gamma\gamma$, $H \to ZZ \to 4\ell$, $H \to WW \to \ell\nu\ell\nu$, etc.

How can one claim a discovery ?

Suppose a new narrow particle $X \to \gamma\gamma$ is produced:



peak width due to detector resolution

Signal significance :

$$S = \frac{N_S}{\sqrt{N_B}}$$

N_S= number of signal events
N_B= number of background events
} in peak region

$\sqrt{N_B} \equiv$ error on number of background events

$S > 5$: signal is larger than 5 times error on background.
Probability that background fluctuates up by more than 5σ : $10^{-7} \to$ discovery

Two critical parameters to maximise S:

- detector resolution:
  if σ_m increases by e.g. two, then need to enlarge peak region by two.

→ N_B increases by ~ 2
(assuming background flat)

N_S unchanged

} $\Rightarrow S = N_S/\sqrt{N_B}$ decreases by $\sqrt{2}$

$\Rightarrow S \approx 1/\sqrt{\sigma_m}$

detector with better resolution has larger probability to find a signal

Note: only valid if $\Gamma_H << \sigma_m$. If Higgs is broad detector resolution is not relevant.
$\Gamma_H \sim m_H^3$ $\Gamma_H \sim$ MeV (~100 GeV) m_H =100 (600) GeV

- integrated luminosity :

$N_S \sim L$
$N_B \sim L$

} $\Rightarrow S \sim \sqrt{L}$

$H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

$m_H \leq 150$ GeV



$\sigma \times BR \approx 50$ fb

$m_H \approx 100$ GeV

- Select events with two photons in the detector with $p_T \sim 50$ GeV
- Measure energy and direction of each photon
- Measure invariant mass of photon pair

$$m_{\gamma\gamma} = \sqrt{(E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2}$$

- Plot distribution of $m_{\gamma\gamma}$ → Higgs should appear as a peak at m_H

Most challenging channel for LHC electromagnetic calorimeters

Main backgrounds:

- γγ production: irreducible (i.e. same final state as signal)

  e.g. :



$$\frac{\sigma\ (\gamma\gamma)}{\sigma\,(H \to \gamma\gamma)} \approx 60 \qquad m_{\gamma\gamma} \sim 100\ \text{GeV}$$

- γ jet + jet jet production where one/two jets fake photons: reducible

  e.g. :



$$\frac{\sigma_{jj}}{\sigma\,(H \to \gamma\gamma)} \sim 10^8$$

• Reducible γjet, jet-jet background : need excellent γ/jet separation (in particular γ/π^0 separation) to reject jet faking γ

ATLAS EM calorimeter : 4 mm strips in first compartment



$R_{jet} \approx 10^3$ achieved for $\varepsilon_\gamma \approx 80\%$

Adequate to reject this background well below γγ irreducible backgund



- Irreducible $\gamma\gamma$: cannot be reduced. But signal can be extracted from background if mass resolution good enough

$$S \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma_m}}$$

$\Gamma_H < 10$ MeV for
$m_H \sim 100$ GeV

$$m_{\gamma\gamma}^{\ 2} = (E_1 + E_2)^2 - (\vec{p}_1 + \vec{p}_2)^2 = 2E_1E_2(1 - \cos\theta_{12})$$

$$\frac{\sigma(m)}{m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\sigma(E_1)}{E_1} \oplus \frac{\sigma(E_2)}{E_2} \oplus \frac{\sigma(\vartheta)}{tg\,\vartheta/2} \right)$$

energy resolution of EM calorimeter

resolution of the measurement of the γ angle θ

ATLAS EM calorimeter:

• liquid-argon/lead sampling calorimeter $\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} \approx \frac{10\%}{\sqrt{E}}$

• longitudinal segmentation
→ can measure γ direction

$$\sigma(\theta) \approx \frac{50\ \text{mrad}}{\sqrt{E}}$$

$\sigma_m \approx 1.3$ GeV $m_H \sim 100$ GeV

$\varepsilon \approx 30\%$



CMS EM calorimeter:

• homogeneous crystal calorimeter $\frac{\sigma(E)}{E} \approx \frac{3\text{-}5\%}{\sqrt{E}}$

• no longitudinal segmentation → vertex measured using secondary tracks from spectator partons → difficult at high L → often pick up the wrong vertex

$\sigma_m \approx 0.7$ GeV $m_H \sim 100$ GeV $\quad \varepsilon \approx 20\%$

→ similar significance of both experiments within ~ 10%
$S \sim 1/\sqrt{\sigma_m} \quad S \sim \varepsilon$

CMS crystal calorimeter




CMS
H→γγ
m_H = 100 GeV
+20 min bias

Expected performance



m_H (GeV)	120	150
Significance per experiment with 100 fb^{-1}	~ 6.5	~ 4.5

$H \rightarrow ZZ^{(*)} \rightarrow 4\,\ell$ $\quad 120 \leq m_H < 700$ GeV



- "Gold-plated" channel for Higgs discovery at LHC
- Select events with 4 high-p_T leptons (τ excluded): $e^+e^-\ e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-\ \mu^+\mu^-$, $e^+e^-\ \mu^+\mu^-$
- Require at least one lepton pair consistent with Z mass
- Plot 4ℓ invariant mass distribution :

$$m^2 = \sum_i E_i^2 - (\sum_i \vec{p}_i)^2$$

$\Rightarrow$ Higgs signal should appear as peak in the mass distribution

Backgrounds:

-- irreducible : $pp \to ZZ^{(*)} \to 4\ell$

$\sigma_m (H \to 4\ell) \approx$1-1.5 GeV ATLAS, CMS

For $m_H > 300$ GeV $\Gamma_H > \sigma_m$

-- reducible ($\sigma \sim 100$ fb) :

$t\bar{t} \to 4l + X$



$Zb\bar{b} \to 4l + X$



Both rejected by asking:

-- $m_{\ell\ell} \sim m_Z$

-- leptons are isolated

-- leptons come from interaction vertex

(B lifetime : $\sim$ 1.5 ps $\to$ leptons from B produced at $\approx$ 1 mm from vertex)

Expected performance

- Significance : 3-25 (depending on mass) for 30 fb^{-1}
- Observation possible up to $m_H \approx 700$ GeV
- For larger masses:
  - -- σ (pp $\rightarrow$ H) decreases
  - -- $\Gamma_H > 100$ GeV



$H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4\ell$
ATLAS, 30 fb^{-1}


CMS
H→μμμμ
m_H = 150 GeV
+20 min bias

Summary of Standard Model Higgs

Expected significance for one experiment
over mass range → 1 TeV



- LHC can discover SM Higgs over full mass region (S > 5) after ≤ 2 years of operation
- in most regions more than one channel is available
- detector performance (coverage, energy/momentum resolution, particle identification, etc.) crucial in most cases
- if Higgs found, then mass can be measured to ≈1‰ for m_H < 600 GeV



L is per experiment

-- SM Higgs boson can be discovered at ≈ 5 σ with 10 fb^{-1}/ experiment (nominally one year at 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}) for $m_H \leq 130$ GeV
-- Discovery faster for larger masses
-- Whole mass range can be excluded at 95% CL after ~1 month of running at 10^{33} cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

However, it will take time to operate, understand, calibrate ATLAS and CMS

What about Tevatron ?

see lectures
by F.Bedeschi



- For $m_H \sim 115$ GeV Tevatron needs (optimistic scenario):
  - ~ 2 fb^{-1} for 95% C.L. exclusion → 2003-2004 ?
  - ~ 5 fb^{-1} for 3σ obervation → 2004-2005 ?
  - ~ 15 fb^{-1} for 5σ discovery → end 2007 – beg 2008?
- Discovery possible up to $m_H \sim 120$ GeV
- 95% C.L. exclusion possible up to $m_H \sim 185$ GeV

Both machines (Tevatron, LHC) could achieve 5σ discovery if $m_H \approx 115$ GeV.
Who will find it first ?

LHC	versus	TEVATRON
Higgs cross-section ~10-100 higher		S/B ~ 5 higher
Conservative estimates (cross-sections, cut analyis, etc.) m_H=115 GeV 10 fb^{-1} S/$\sqrt{B} \approx 4.7$ $4.7 \rightarrow 7$ using Tevatron approach		Less conservative predictions (e.g. Neural Network analysis) m_H=115 GeV 10 fb^{-1} S/$\sqrt{B} \approx 5.3$
Will take lot of time to understand detectors and physics		Has lot of time to understand detectors and physics
Ready in 2007 ?		15 fb^{-1} by 2008 ? Need 3 * $\bar{p}$

For $m_H > 120$ GeV the LHC has no competition



Summary of Part 2

- Examples of precision physics at LHC: W mass can be measured to ~15 MeV, top mass to ~ 1 GeV
  → Higgs mass constrained indirectly to ~ 25%

- Standard Model Higgs boson can be discovered over the full mass region up to 1 TeV in ~ 1 year of operation.
  → final word about SM Higgs mechanism

- Excellent detector performance required:
  → Higgs searches have driven the LHC detector design.

- Among main channels : $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $H \rightarrow 4\ell$

- If SM Higgs not found before/at LHC, then alternative methods for Electroweak Symmetry Breaking will have to be found

End of Part 2