The Mediator: What Next?

Talk by: Andy Cooke Collaborators: Alasdair Gray, Lisha Ma, and Werner Nutt Heriot-Watt University

Overview

- Next Steps:
 - All Insertables Should Stream
 - Choosing Query Plans.
- Future Steps:
 - Republisher Hierarchies?
 - Support More Queries?

All Insertables Should Stream

If a Producer publishes to a global table, it should be able to forward (stream) its table updates.

- Archivers will be able to collect all updates to a table, not just some
- Consumers can always get full answers from:
 - Archivers, as they are always complete
 - Complete Producers (no overlapping views)

Simpler code, clearer semantics!

The Current Mediator



With a New Mediator



Benefits to Users

- Consistent Answers, irrespective of where you are in the world!
 - Today, two primary LPs may offer different answers
 - ...but a new mediator could prevent this
- Improved Security
 - Today, a "rogue" LP could be registered close to a Resource Broker, bringing down the Grid!
 - ... but a new mediator would ignore it

Benefits to Users (cont.)

Full, Correct Answers

- As archivers will "fan-in" from all Insertables
- Today, wrong answers may be returned to queries with aggregation!

We want to begin as soon as possible, in a separate CVS Branch

Discussion

We would like to add this fix to R-GMA before the end of the current project.

- It's needed before the mediator can be enhanced.
- Of course stability is the priority.

However,

- What other fixes are needed?
- How should these be prioritized?
- How do we organise their deployment?
- How do we minimise risk to stability?

Overview

- Next Steps:
 - All Insertables Should Stream
 - Choosing Query Plans
- Future Steps:
 - Republisher Hierarchies?
 - Support More Queries?

What is a Query Plan?

Query Plans are sent from the Registry to the Consumer. These should contain:

Publishers that should be contacted, and
 Quality Description, e.g. COMPLETE flag

e.g. a one-time query with 3 plans (Archivers), two of which are complete.

e.g. a continuous query with one plan, involving 5 producers.

Which Query Plan should be used?

If a Consumer Agent has a choice of Query Plans, which should it choose to execute?

 The plan that returns the *fastest* answer?
 The plan that returns the fastest, *most complete* answer?
 The plan offering the *freshest* tuples?

... or should users have a say?

Which Query Plan is fastest?

Fastest Query Plan could be found by:

- Measuring the time it takes for a getStatus() message to return.
- This measurement could be made for every new plan:
 - When the Consumer registers, and
 - When Consumer is notified of new Producers

Monitoring Completeness

- Registry maintains completeness flags for all Publishers
- Registry informs Consumers whenever a Publisher's status changes
- Registry monitors status of producers:
 - Primary Producers are complete if there are no overlapping producers
- Archivers monitor their own status
 - An Archiver is complete when it has fully started
 - It tells the registry when this happens.

Choosing Query Plans

- If there are several complete plans, which one is the best?
- If all possible plans are incomplete, which one is the best?
- Can it be that an incomplete plan is better than a complete one?

Incomplete plans could be ranked by counting primary keys

- Easy for latest archivers
- More difficult for history archivers!

Choosing Query Plans

We propose an algorithm that involves:

- Archivers tell registry when they've fully started (i.e. have contacted all SPs in its plan).
- Consumers maintaining a "league table", ranking plans according to:
 - Their "closeness"
 - Their "completeness"
- Primary keys can be counted to decide between two incomplete plans

Overview

- Next Steps:
 - All Insertables Should Stream
 - Choosing Query Plans
- Future Steps:
 - Republisher Hierarchies?
 - Support More Queries?

Republisher Hierarchies

Republisher Hierarchies may help to:

Reduce network traffic
 Improve the max republishing rate

 less threads!

 Share load across publishers

as more choice for consumers.

One layer of archivers



Two layers of archivers



Would Republisher Hierarchies help?

• We need measurements:

- How many Cs can a P serve?
- How many Ps can a C stream from?
- Max insert rate into a P?
- Max republishing rate?
- where is the bottle neck?
- Would the schema support hierarchies?
- Would the registry support hierarchies?

Overview

- Next Steps:
 - All Insertables Should Stream
 - Choosing Query Plans
- Future Steps:
 - Republisher Hierarchies?
 - Support More Queries?

Supporting more Queries

Improve language for continuous queries?
Queries with OR
Queries with aggregation, e.g. *"average over the last minute"*Support more one-time queries?
When Archivers have partial views
When no Archivers and need to merge?