

# Review of Reviews

- For discussion of recommendations see also:
  - ◆ PEB discussion, Dec. 2, 03
  
- Much positive was said:
  - ◆ “progress have been incredible good for 1-2 years”
  - ◆ “LCG has a impossible mission - like the UN”
  - ◆ “well organized documentation”
  - ◆ “concerning the SC2 committee: has worked very well”
  
- Question: Is the amount/quality of reviewing process
  - ◆ - just right and a valuable assessment of the project?
  - ◆ - too much work with too little output?
  - ◆ - not detailed enough?
  - ◆ - too detailed?