

Minutes of SA1 Meeting – 28 November 2003

Present:

SA1:

CERN: Ian Bird, Zdenek Sekera, Ian Neilson, Markus Schulz, Maria Dimou

Italy: Cristina Vistoli

Germany: Holger Martin

Northern: Jules Wolfrat, Per Oster

UK: Dave Kant

Central Europe: Alex Kuszniir

France: Rolf Rumler, Denis Linglin

South West: Andreu Pacheco

South East: Fotis Karayannis(phone)

Russia: -

JRA1:

Erwin Laure, Maite Barroso Lopez

NA:

Bob Jones, Gabriel Zaquine

SA2:

Franck Bonnassieux (phone)

1. Bob Jones - Update on project status and issues to be addressed
 - See slides.
 - Update to TA by end Jan 04.
 - Resolve outstanding issues, minor adjustments to work plan based on experience since negotiations
 - Contract - Feb, start - April
 - Kick-off event - Dublin 16-24 April
 - Build on LCG infrastructure, and develop next generation mw in parallel - expect 2005 replacement
 - Prototyping now - based on ARDA/HEPCAL II requirements - use as test of EGEE processes and to form core m/w group
 - Execution plan
 - Detail plan for first 3 months for prod deliverables, and more general for 1 year
 - Clarify who works on each deliverable
 - Identify individuals in teams of each activity (as far as is known)
 - Understand dependencies between activities and match milestones/deliverables
 - Work may cause minor changes to TA
 - Plan to be ready by all activity meeting on 13,14 Jan
 - For SA1/2
 - Support for training VO
 - Facilities/tbs
 - Verify ms/del in step with LCG
 - Who will write cook-books
 - Verify resources for start of project
 - Define org and comp of OAG
 - SA2: Liaison board with NRENS
 - SA2: how to gather reqs
 - SA2: identify SLA templates
 - Jules: What is LCG-2? - answered
 - Holger: schedule: tight - LCG-1/Lcg-2

2. Gabriel Zaquine - Execution Plan requirements

See slides.

- Management monitoring process
 - Define scope of work (TA)
 - Set up WBS - Ex plan
 - Dev a project master sched showing when work units carried out (ex plan)
 - Find way to measure work completed
 - Measure services provided- develop how
- Where is requirement for WBS coming from? It does not map into a service.
 - Need a better structure for planning and reporting - task or ongoing activity - does not really fit into a WBS? What is better?
 - Need to address offline - what are real requirements?
- Quarterly reports - follow up on WBS
- Template - does not separate 3 months from 1 year - Gabriel: 1st 3 months needs deeper decomposition of tasks.
- Basic elements:
 - Product bs (not for SA1) - ????
 - WBS
 - Table of milestones and deliverables
 - Staffing and resource plan - need now for hiring etc
 - Initial risk assessment
 - Initial quality target indicators - how to measure services - this will be a major deliverable of this activity, but not easy to say what these should be up front.
 - Timeline with GANTT chart
 - Major links with other activities
 - See doc for guidelines for exc. plan

3. Ian Bird - SA1 issues to be addressed in Execution Plan

See slides.

4. Cristina Vistoli - Thoughts on ROCs

See slides.

- Experience based on ops in Italy
- ROC functions taken from TA - including support, includes monitoring in collab with CIC, define tools to measure service level
 - Details - see slides
- Installation tools - ROC must rep views of its sites
 - Clear that need to provide installation fro all types of sites small vs large
- ROC Management team:
 - Coord upgrade install with RCs
 - Keep RC config
 - Certify the RC's - need certification suite
 - Deployment support -
- Site and service validation
- Support:
 - User and service support
- Monitoring in collab with CIC
- Policy management
 - SLA, Resource availability, shared resources (how to deal), security
 - How measure the delivery vs commitment
- **SLA's - needs a specific task**
- Problem tracking management?
- Use example - Grid.It GOC

- Needed a management organization
- Tools needed:
 - Install and config
 - Monitoring
 - Sw rep
 - Support organisations
 - Verification and installation support
- Need Regional level of responsibility
 - They have constraints within regions about national infrastructures etc - need separate chapters.

5. Franck Bonnassieux - SA2 and Relationship to SA1

6. Discussion on drafting execution plan

- Need to explain commitments:
 - Metrics - what are the relevant ones?
 - How to show "readiness" vs usage or availability
 - Could monitor resources and produce graphs of availability and useage vs time
 - Accounting must be a prominent activity (part of Policies section)
 - What are allocatable units of computing?
- **Missing from exec plan outline:**
 - Need to include Risk Analysis in exec plan
 - Start with LCG one for deployment
 - Transition for centres not in LCG - how they join EGEE
 - Staffing and resource plan
 - Tools - need a separate chapter?
 - Accounting - under Policies, but need a plan for tracking resource availability
 - Chapter on measurement and evaluation of services and service indicators
 - Relationship of OAG with NA5
 - Make sure site certification is part of process descriptions
 - As introduction include the scope from the TA - to make this a self-contained document
- **Actions:**
- Assignments for work on scenarios:
 - Alex Kuznir (CE): Deployment + Certification Activities
 - Per Oster (N): User Support
 - Andreu Pacheco (SW): Operational Support
 - Jules Wolfrat(N): Service Operation
 - Cristina Vistoli(IT): Deployment and Service Support
 - Gabriel Zachine, Dave Kant (UK): Quality of Service and metrics
 - Ian Bird: SLA's
- 1st draft for December 10th - discussion by email.
- Ian: set up mailing list (done: project-egee-sa1@cern.ch)
 - Members to ensure correct people in their regions are signed up
- Ian: send out current mandate/scope of LCG GDB for initial thinking about OAG roles and functions
- Cristina: Set up phone conferences to discuss ROC specifications and templates
- Gabriel: mail pointers to description of a process.

