Particle ID in high P_T reactions(3)

oVertex detectors and B-tagging oTop -physics oParticle I D for Mtop measurement oParticle I D for SM-Higgs search oSUSY, Exoticas oConclusion

Vertex detectors (1)

Requirements based on b-jets parameters -B hadrons lifetime : average of ~1.6 ps -semi-leptonic fraction ~10%e, and 10%m $-ct = 470 \text{ microns} \rightarrow \text{impact parameter } d \sim 100 \text{ microns}$ -need accuracy : < 20 microns on d Accuracy limited by -lever arm, -granularity, - number of layers secondary vertex primary vertex Solution: impact parameter -3 layer pixel detector -first layer as close as possible to beam pipe -single hit accuracy < 15 microns in rf -equipped with fast electronics Beware -of radiation damage -multiple scattering in material -power dissipation

Atlas pixels

•3 cylinders R= 5cm , 10cm, 13cm kapton cable •2 x3 disks decoupling capacitor •Sensor: " n + n oxygenated ", •FE Electronics DeepSubMicron module control chip (MCC •82 M pixels 50 x 400 mm wire-bonding detector substrate •2.8% X₀/layer power basses in MCM-D control and data busses are integrated or in MCM-D on a thin hybrid electronic chip Carbon fiber mechanical support Length about 80cm

Pixels electronics

FE electronics and readout architecture

-80 million channels to be "looked at" every 25 ns !!

-fastpreamp, good S(15ke)/N (200e)for mips \rightarrow digital or few bits r/o

-all FE chips proceed in parallel, controlled by local MicroControler

-occupancy small (<<10⁻²) : logic by columns

Schematically:

-each FE chip covers 24 columns of 160 cells [400 x 50 mm]=~1cm²
-at the bump bond pad is connected preamp + discriminator
-the End of Column logic drains data from columns= address of hit pixel(s) in the column +bunch counter
-upon LVL1 signal(<100kHz) the MC scans over its FEchips(~12) gather the hit pixel adresses +bc ,keeps those with proper bc,and clears the buffers.



Pixels: radiation damage

Expected neutron fluence per year at high luminosity **®**





B-tagging expected performance



- •Start from a calorimeter jet
- •Reconstruct tracks, and select those with p_T >cut (~1 GeV/c) and ΔR <0.4 •Measure d (with a sign), calculate s=d/**s**
- Calculate jet weight as Σlog(signif as b/signif as u) from all its tracks
 Adjust cut position
- •Tails in u-jets ? secondary interactions, Vo

B-tagging expected performance

- •Full offline reconstruction
- Based on jet weights
- •B jet sample from H(100)→bb and H(400)→bb
- •Background from jet-jet events same p_T range
- •L=2 x 10³³
- (at 10³⁴ rejection reduced by ~20%)
- •Ru ~100 for 60% efficiency
- •Rc more modest because ct
 - (D+)=300 mm, (D0)=120 mm
- •Rg limited by gluon splitting to cc

•Soft lepton tag

-identification of electrons and muons in cone axis adds a few % efficiency each



B-tagging in HLT?

CdF is using it for selection of "unbiased" B decays
Starting from LVL1 with 2 tracks >2 GeV/c pT
Done in a "hardware oriented" way with a processing time of 25 ms/event

- 12 independent sectors
- 4 layers(out of 5) of micro-strips grouped to 250 mm pitch
- 1 point/tracker (f, p_T) + hit pattern compared to 32000 masks



Interesting approach in LHCb/Velo

In ATLAS-CMS events to be processed, at LVL2 have in general several jets Some trial at LVL2-Atlas, not really convincing
Need "real tracking" to decide if some of the jets are B-jets or not. Efficient way to do it is at the Event Filter level, with ~full offline performance

B-tagging in HLT:CMS example



Figure 15-68 Efficiency for the *b*-tag versus mistagging rate for jet with E_T=100 GeV in the low (left) and high (right) luminosity scenarios.

- •Reconstruct Tracks in a cone defined by Calo-jet
- •Ask for at least 2/3 pixel hits.
- •Labelled as b-jet if ≥2 tracks above thresh significance

Particle ID for M(top) measurements

M(W), M(top) and M(Higgs) are linked in the standard model:



 G_{F} , a_{EM} , $\sin \theta_{W}$ known with high precision, precise measurements of M(top) and M(W) constrain M(H) (weakly because of log term) 10 MeV M(W) \rightarrow ~1 GeV M(top) To day (CDF+D0) M(top)=178 ± 5GeV Best strategy to measure it at LHC? [event statistics is not the main problem]



Particle ID for M(top) measurements



Inclusive tt cross-section ~0.7 nb

t→bW 100%

 $W \rightarrow ln$ 11% each , 67% hadronic; no Bs

 $W1 \rightarrow had, W2 \rightarrow had$ $W1 \rightarrow e/mn$, $W2 \rightarrow e/mn$ Others: one $W \rightarrow t$

: 44% 2 masses fully rec/ huge QCD BG $W1 \rightarrow e/m$, $W2 \rightarrow had (+ 2 \rightarrow 1) : 30\% 1$ mass fully reconstructed : 5% rate; mass not fully reconstructed

: not appropriate for precise M meast



Particle ID for M(top) measurement

Selection

- 1 iso lepton, pT > 20 GeV, |**h**| < 2.5 - pTmiss > 20 GeV

- = 2 jets with b-tag

Process	p _T ¹ > 20GeV ^E T ^{miss} > 20GeV	As before, plus N _{jet} ≥4	As before, plus N _{b-jet} ≥2	Events per 10 fb ⁻¹
tī signai	64.7	21.2	5.0	126 000
W+jets	47.9	0.1	0.002	1658
<i>Z</i> +jets	15.0	0.05	0.002	232
ww	53.6	0.5	0.006	10
WZ	53.8	0.5	0.02	8
z	2.8	0.04	0.008	14
Total background	L E E	aionau af a		1 922
S/B	ETTI	ciency of c	uls	65

Selection efficiency. = 5% **126k events, with S/B ~65**





W-ID from "top" sample

- •½ leptonic ttbar events contain W→jet-jet evts with good S/N
 ⇒identified hadronic W decays
- •This sample of jets is used to adjust the (non-b) jet –scale, starting from jets normalized using photon-jet evts (p_T balance)
- •B-jets are normalized from photon-B-id jets (only)
- •Remaining uncertainties on jet scales dominate the top mass systematic uncertainty
- •Hadronic Ws in jj used in other places $(H \rightarrow WW,..)$



SM-Higgs search global view





The ZZ "gold plated" mode

M(H)>180 GeV : ZZ mode open - "Gold plated channel " with each Z→ee or mm - Two M(II)=M(Z) constraints - I rreducible background (only) = non resonant ZZ - Main limitation is rate,when M(H) increases

- Adding evts with one Z®tt could help (trig/otherZ)
- Using Z→mm (*6 ee or mm) allows to extend search up to 700 GeV

Higgs mass (GeV)	200	240	280	320	360	400	500
$BR(H \rightarrow ZZ)$	0.26	0.29	0.30	0.31	0.30	0.28	0.27
$\sigma\!\times BR \ (\text{fb})$	12.4	11.2	9.6	8.9	8.7	6.8	3.2
Signal (no p_{T} cut)	134	127	110	105	105	86	44
Background (no p_{T} cut)	74	57	43	33	29	29	17
S / \sqrt{B} (no p_{T} cut) for 30 fb ⁻¹	15.6	16.8	16.8	18.2	19.3	15.9	10.7





-what about one Z in jet-jet? BG "Z +2 jets" is large.... some attempt with one Z in two b jets....

16

ZZ* 130<M(H)<180 GeV

- still 4 leptons ee or mm

- only one M(II)=M(Z) constraint remaining
- dip in the BR at 2M(W)
- -Zbb main reducible background can be reduced applying a veto on displaced vertices -important issue : <u>acceptance of the lower PT</u> <u>lepton</u> .Analyses require 2 lepons pT>20 GeV (trigger) and 2 leptons with pT>7 GeV (offline)



Table 19-14 Signal and background rates after all cuts and signal significances as a function of m_{H^*} for $H \rightarrow ZZ^* \rightarrow 4l$ events and for an integrated luminosity of 30 fb⁻¹ (low luminosity performance).

Higgs mass (GeV)	120	130	150	170	180	
Signal	4.1	11.4	26.8	7.6	19.7	
ŧī	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	
Zbb	0.08	0.12	0.19	0.17	D.19	
ZZ*	1.23	2.27	2.51	2.83	2.87	30 fb-
ZZ → ττ II	D.13	0.20	0.25	0.08	0.02	
Significance (S / \sqrt{B})	3.4	7.0	15.5	4.3	11.2	
Significance (Poisson)	2.4	4.8	15.5	3.2	11.2	



High luminosity

Higgs in 2 photons

For M(H)<150 GeV, most promising channels are: H \rightarrow gg and H \rightarrow tt in association with 2 forward jets (VBF)

Distinctive features of the $H \rightarrow gg$ mode are:

-low **s** x BR

- -large irreducible background
- -potentially large instrumental background (jet-jet and g-jet)
- -clean signature -high invariant mass resolution

Defining criteria for EM calorimeters

Ultimate energy resolution , when all calibration/normalization problems are solved:

CMS : $3\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus (200 \text{MeV} \oplus \text{pile-up})/E \oplus 0.55\%$ ATLAS : $10\%/\sqrt{E} \oplus (200 \text{MeV} \oplus \text{pile-up}/E \oplus 0.70\%)$ Remember converted photons are somewhat worse $\rightarrow \Delta M(H)/M(H) \sim 1\%$

At High luminosity the vertex is ambiguous, which worsens the M(H) resolution in the absence of angular measurements (a place where converted photons¹⁹help)

Jet-jet and gjet rejection

Overall jet rejection obtained in ATLAS MC full simulation, confirmed by test beam (using fine strips):

-1050 for quark jets

-6000 for gluon jets \rightarrow Ultimate performance process dependant! (probability of a high x isolated \mathbf{p}^0 is much higher in (MC) quark jet

Higgs mass (GeV)	120	130	140	150
Signal events (direct production)	1190	1110	915	617
Signal events (WH, ZH, fīH production)	93	76	58	35
γγ background	29 000	24 700	20 600	16900
Jet-jet background	4600	4100	3550	3050
γ-jet background	5800	4900	4100	3400
$Z \rightarrow ee$ background	121	2	121	
Stat. significance for 100 fb ⁻¹	6.5	6.5	5.8	4.3
Stat. significance for 30 fb-1	3.9	4.0	3.5	2.6

Channel very much dependant on detector Ultimate performance, resolution and photon/ jet identification.



A H®gg event display in CMS



Low luminosity case-1 collision only

Higgs $\rightarrow \tau\tau$ by VBF

The tt mode has a larger BR than g but a weaker signature and worse resolution
Asking 2 forward jets (and no other jet) selects weak process by WW fusion, which has less background





SUSY

•In the MSSM each fermion $f_{L,R}$ has a scalar partner $sf_{L,R}$, and to each Gauge boson is associated a massless spin ½ gaugino.

•Among other virtues, these states coming with an opposite sign to normal particles in loop corrections, cancel the quadratic divergence of the Higgs mass, which would otherwise run to "infinity".

None of these particles has been so far observed→ heavy!
sq and sg couple to QCD as usual particles, they should be copiously produced at LHC as soon as threshold is passed.

In the MSSM R-parity = (-1)**3(B-L)+2S is conserved: s-particles are produced in pair the lightest one is stable= neutralino c⁰
Exact signatures depend on the details of the model. A common feature is large SE_T and missing energy (neutralinos)

• The reach of experiments can be evaluated and compared using as parameter m_0 ($m_{1/2}$) the common mass of all scalars (spin ½) at GUT scale

CMS-SUSY reach

•Rates are high: 100 evts /day for m(sq,sg) = 1 TeV

Trigger is easy:
 multijets ⊕ E_T miss

In principle, ... in one month at 10^{33,} s-quarks and gluinos up to ~2 TeV can be discovered



SUSY parameters from decay chain



A,H decays in tt

•In the MSSM there are 5 Higgs bosons : h, H, A, H⁺⁻ The 5 masses depend on 2 parameters: M(A) and tg(**b**)

 For large M(A) and tg(b) the H and A couplings to bb and t pairs are enhanced bb→bbA

→tt is a favored discovery channel(tt BR=11%, s=760 fb for tg(b)=45,M(A)&M(H)=800 GeV)

•LVL1 Triggers = (one tau-jet or lepton)⊕ETmiss



An example of exotica :Black hole production..

•Another way of stabilizing the Higgs mass is to assume that there are extra space-dimensions, in which case the Planck mass could be as low as a few TeV.

 When √S reaches Mp Black Holes are produced, which decay "democratically" to quarks, leptons, Gauge bosons.



A typical event (?) could have: hadrons/leptons/gW,Z/Higgs ~ 75%/20%/3%/2%

....a dream for particle identification at the LHC

Conclusion-Summary

- Each of the 4 LHC experiment is a challenge
- Particle identification plays in all a major role
- Detectors have been carefully designed and are being built and tested with a lot of care.
- Tuning the triggers at start-up will be a crucial step
- A major issue-not discussed-will be the capacity of the experiments to "digest" the enormous amount of data they will produce, typically 1 Mbyte*200Hz to mass storage
- More in 3+e years, when LHC starts...

Back-up transparency

Light Higgs boson h: 300 fb⁻¹

