

ATLAS Data Challenges

19 March 2004

Dario Barberis

CERN & Genoa University



ATLAS DC1 (July 2002-April 2003)

- Primary concern was delivery of events to High Level Trigger (HLT) and to Physics communities
 - HLT-TDR due by June 2003
 - Athens Physics workshop in May 2003
- Put in place the full software chain from event generation to reconstruction
 - Switch to AthenaRoot I/O (for Event generation)
 - Updated geometry
 - New Event Data Model and Detector Description
 - Reconstruction (mostly OO) moved to Athena
- Put in place the distributed production
 - I "ATLAS kit" (rpm) for software distribution
 - Scripts and tools (monitoring, bookkeeping)
 - > AMI database; Magda replica catalogue; VDC
 - Job production (AtCom)
 - Quality Control and Validation of the full chain
- Use as much as possible Grid tools
 Dario Barberis: ATLAS Data Challenges



ATLAS DC1 (July 2002-April 2003)

- DC1 was divided in 3 phases
 - Phase 1 (July-August 2002)
 - Event generation and detector simulation
 - Phase 2 (December 2002 April 2003)
 - Pile-up production
 - Classical batch production
 - With Grid tools on NorduGrid and US-ATLAS-Grid
 - Reconstruction (April-May 2003)
 - Offline code only
- Worldwide exercise with many participating institutes



DC1 Task Flow





DC1 in numbers

Process	No. of events	CPU Time	CPU-days (400 SI2k)	Volume of data
		kSI2k.months		ТВ
Simulation Physics evt.	107	415	30000	23
Simulation Single part.	3×10 ⁷	125	9600	2
LumiO2 Pile-up	4×10 ⁶	22	1650	14
Lumi10 Pile-up	2.8×10 ⁶	78	6000	21
Reconstruction	4×10 ⁶	50	3750	
Reconstruction + Lvl1/2	2.5×10 ⁶	(84)	(6300)	
Total		690 (+84)	51000 (+6300)	60

Ŷ

CERN-Russia JWG - 19 Mar. 2004 DC1 Phase 1: July-August 2002



Dario Barberis: ATLAS Data Challenges

CERN-Russia JWG - 19 Mar. 2004

DC1 Phase 2: Nov. 2002 - Jan. 2003



Dario Barberis: ATLAS Data Challenges



Data Challenge 2

- DC2 operation in 2004:
 - distributed production of (>10⁷) simulated events in May-June
 - events sent to CERN in ByteStream (raw data) format to Tier-0
 - reconstruction processes run on prototype Tier-O in a short period of time (~10 days, "10% data flow test")
 - reconstruction results distributed to Tier-1s and analysed on Grid
- Main "new" software to be used (wrt DC1 in 2002/2003):
 - Geant4-based simulation, pile-up and digitization in Athena
 - complete "new" EDM and Detector Description interfaced to simulation and reconstruction
 - POOL persistency
 - LCG-2 Grid infrastructure
 - Distributed Production and Analysis environment



Phases of DC2 operation

- Consider DC2 as a three-part operation:
 - part I: production of simulated data (May-June 2004)
 - > needs Geant4, digitization and pile-up in Athena, POOL persistency
 - "minimal" reconstruction just to validate simulation suite
 - will run on any computing facilities we can get access to around the world
 - part II: test of Tier-0 operation (July 2004)
 - needs full reconstruction software following RTF report design, definition of AODs and TAGs
 - (calibration/alignment and) reconstruction will run on Tier-O prototype as if data were coming from the online system (at 10% of the rate)
 - output (ESD+AOD) will be distributed to Tier-1s in real time for analysis
 - in parallel: run distributed reconstruction on simulated data
 - this is useful for the Physics community as MC truth info is kept
 - part III: test of distributed analysis on the Grid (Aug.-Oct. 2004)
 - access to event and non-event data from anywhere in the world both in organized and chaotic ways



DC2: Scenario & Time scale

September 03: Release7

March 17th 04: Release 8 (production)

May 3rd 04:

July 1st 04: "DC2"

August 1st 04:

Put in place, understand & validate: Geant4; POOL; LCG applications Event Data Model Digitization; pile-up; byte-stream Conversion of DC1 data to POOL; large scale persistency tests and reconstruction Testing and validation

Run test-production

Start final validation

Start simulation; Pile-up & digitization Event mixing Transfer data to CERN

Intensive Reconstruction on "Tier0" Distribution of ESD & AOD Calibration; alignment Start Physics analysis Reprocessing



Production scenario

	Input		Out	Comments	
Event generation	none		Generated events	< 2 GB files	
G4 simulation	Generated Events	"part of" < 2 GB files	Hits + MCTruth	< 2 GB files	~ 400-500 jobs/day ~ 500 <i>G</i> B/day ~ 6 MB/s
Detector response	Hits + MCTruth (Generated events)	1 file	Digits +MCTruth	RDO (or BS)	No MCTruth if BS
Pile-up	Hits "signal" +MCTruth Hits "min.b"	1 file Several 10 files	Digits +MCTruth	RDO (or BS)	~ 1000 jobs/day ~ 10 GB/job ~ 10 TB/day ~ 125 MB/s
Byte-stream	"pile-up" data RDO	1 (or few) files	BS		Still working on scenario
Events mixing	RDO or BS	Several files	BS		
Reconstruction	RDO or BS		ESD		
AOD production	ESD		AOD		Streaming?

DC2 resources

Process	No. of events	Time	CPU power	Data volume	At CERN	Off site	
		months	kSI2k	ТВ	ТВ	тв	
Simulation	107	2	600	25	5	20	
Pile-up & Digitization	107	2	400	75	15	60	Phase I
ByteStream Production	107	2	(small)	20	20	16	(May- June)
Total Phase I	107	2	1000	120	40	96	
Reconstr. Tier-0	107	0.5	600	5	5	10	Phase II
Reconstr. Tier-1	107	2	150	5	0	5	(Jul)
Total	107			130	45	111	

DC2 resources - notes

- CPU needs are now based on Geant4 processing times
- We assume 20% of simulation is done at CERN
- All data in ByteStream format are copied to CERN for the Tier-O test (Phase II)
- Event sizes (except ByteStream format) are based on DC1/Zebra format: events in POOL are a little larger (~1.5 times for simulation)
- Reconstruction output is exported in 2 copies from CERN Tier-0
- Output of parallel reconstruction on Tier-1s, including links to MC truth, remains local and is accessed for analysis through the Grid(s)

DC2: Grid & Production tools

- We foresee to use:
 - 3 Grid flavors (LCG-2; Grid3+; NorduGrid)
 - (perhaps) "batch" systems (LSF; ...)
 - Automated production system
 - New production DB (Oracle)
 - Supervisor-executer component model
 - Windmill supervisor project
 - Executers for each Grid and LSF
 - Data management system
 - Don Quijote DMS project
 - Successor of Magda
 - ... but uses native catalogs
 - AMI for bookkeeping
 - Going to web services
 - Integrated to POOL Dario Barberis: ATLAS Data Challenges

New Production System (1)

- DC1 production in 2002/2003 was done mostly with traditional tools (scripts)
 - Manpower intensive!
- Main features of new system:
 - Common production database for all of ATLAS
 - Common ATLAS supervisor run by all facilities/managers
 - Common data management system
 - Executors developed by middleware experts (LCG, NorduGrid, Chimera teams)
 - Final verification of data done by supervisor

New Production System (2)

Tiers in DC2

- Tier-0
 - 20% of simulation will be done at CERN
 - All data in ByteStream format (~16 TB) will be copied to CERN
 - Reconstruction will be done at CERN (in ~10 days).
 - Reconstruction output (ESD) will be exported in 2 copies from Tier-0 (2 x ~5 TB).

Tiers in DC2

- Tier-1s will have to
 - Host simulated data produced by them or coming from Tier-2s; plus ESD (& AOD) coming from Tier-0
 - Run reconstruction in parallel to Tier-O exercise (~2 months)
 - > This will include links to MCTruth
 - Produce and host ESD and AOD
 - Provide access to the ATLAS V.O. members
- Tier-2s
 - Run simulation (and other components if they wish to)
 - Copy (replicate) their data to Tier-1
 - ATLAS is committed to LCG
- All information should be entered into the relevant database and catalog

Available (pledged) resources

TIERs					
	Site	kSI2k	TB (Disc)	TB (Tape)	kSI2k-extra
Australia	Melbourne	12	1		
Canada	TRIUMF	331	5		
Czech Republic	Prague	25	3		
CERN	CERN	200	45		
France	CCIN2P3	200	3		
Germany	Gridka	90	8	10	
Israel		23			
Italy	CNAF	200	10		
Japan	Tokyo	200			
Netherlands	NIKHEF	75	2	10	
NorduGrid	NG	380			
Poland	Cracow	80	3.5		
Spain	PIC	50	4		
Spain Russia+JINR	PIC	50 140	4 18	20-30	
Spain Russia+JINR Switzenand	PIC	50 140	4 18 4.0	20-30	
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan	PIC Manno Taipei	50 140 18 78	4 18 4.0 3.5	20-30 20	
Spain Russia+JINR Switzenand Taiwan UK-Batch	PIC Manno Taipei	50 140 18 78	4 18 4.0 3.5 20	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan UK-Batch UK	PIC Taipei RAL	50 140 18 78 1450	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzenand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL	50 140 18 78 1450 200	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL	50 140 18 78 1450 200	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriano Taiwan UK-Batch UK US	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzenand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US Data being collected a	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL I nd evolving quickly	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzeriand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US Data being collected a	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL nd evolving quickly	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675
Spain Russia+JINR Switzenand Taiwan UK-Batch UK US Data being collected a	PIC Manno Taipei RAL BNL nd evolving quickly	50 140 18 78 1450 200 3650	4 18 4.0 3.5 20 15 50 180.6	20-30 20	675

Russian contribution to DC2

- As for DC1, we foresee 4 production centres:
 - Dubna
 - Moscow State University
 - ITEP
 - Protvino
- They act collectively as a Tier-2
- Still open discussions:
 - which Tier-1 will act as technical support for middleware and ATLAS software installation?
 - in which Tier-1 storage facility will data produced in Russia be saved?
 - not necessarily the same as above!

Russian contribution to S&C manpower

 Russian contribution to software & computing manpower (FTEs, current estimate for 2004 average):

Funding Agency	Inner Detector	LAr	TileCal	DC/Grid/ Prod.	Total
Russia	2.6	0.3	1.0	0.1	4.0
JINR	-	-	1.0	0.1	1.1

- No contribution to core software (Framework, Core Services, Data Base, Infrastructure)
- Several infrastructure tasks are still not properly covered
 - a lot of "best effort" support for developers and users alike
- Please contact us for details and discussions!
 Dario Barberis: ATLAS Data Challenges