### Validation of Geant4 Hadronic Models at High Energies

Gunter Folger Simulation Validation meeting 3 December 08

#### Contents

- Motivation
- Comparison to thin target experiment
  - NA49: p + p/C  $\rightarrow \pi^{\pm}$  + X, 158 GeV/c
  - − NA22:  $\pi/K$  + Al/Au → x<sup>+</sup> + X, 250 GeV/c
  - − Omega:  $\pi^{+/-}$  + p →  $\pi^0$  + X, 140 GeV/c
  - − E592: p + Ta  $\rightarrow$  π<sup>+</sup> +X, 400 GeV/c
- Several more are planned
- Geant4 version 9.2 beta01

### Motivation

- Geant4 offers several models for inelastic interaction at high energies ( > 10-20 GeV)
  - Two theory inspired models, QGS and FTF
  - Parameterized model
- Validation of these models against experimental data is important
  - Thin target data allow to directly compare to model prediction
- Many experimental data are available
  - Selected a subset covering a range of observables and particles

## Data(1)

- Data from NA49 on p + C → π<sup>±</sup> + X, at 158GeV/c beam momentum
  - Eur. Phys. J. C49, 897-917 (2007)
  - A large dataset of high precision data
  - Double differential cross section as function of  $x_{\rm F}$  and  $p_{\rm T}$
  - Production cross section for pions vs  $p_{\rm T}$  for a set of  $x_{\rm F}$

#### Na49 compared to QGS and new FTF



## Data(2)

- EHS-NA22: pi+ / K+ scattering off Al or Au at 250 GeV/c
  - Z.Phys. C50, p361-371 (1991)
- Rapidity distribution for positive particles, starting at momenta ~190 MeV/c
  - part of (grey) protons (190-1200 MeV/c) from nuclear de-excitation included in selected distributions
    - Allows to validate nuclear de-excitation model
      - Cascade vs Precompound model

#### Where differ QGSP, QGSC, QGS\_BIN

- QGSP
  - QGS string model
  - Followed by nuclear deexciation using precomcpound
    - ~20 MeV excitation energy per wounded nucleon
- QGSC
  - QGS string model
  - Followed by Chips model to simulate "low energy" part of interacion
    - Re-absorbe some part of particles produced in QGS to get energy in nucleus (~1 GeV / fermi, as function of impact parameter)
- QGS\_BIN
  - QGS string model
  - Followed by Binary cascade for nuclear de-excitation
    - Uses formation time and coordinates of QGS
    - Particles formed within the wounded nucleus can re-scatter, increasing multiplicity of emitted nucleons.
- Equivalent for FTFP and FTF\_BIN.

# Na22 compared to QGS QGSP, QGSC, and QGS\_BIN



#### NA22 compared to FTF model



## Omega

- Omega Photon Collaboration:
- $\pi^0$  production cross section for  $\pi^{+/-}$  scattering off Hydrogen at 140 GeV/c
  - Z. Phys. C 52, 397-405 (1991)
  - Data is summed for  $\pi^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and  $\ \pi^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$ 
    - "no difference seen"
- Hadronic shower simulation is sensitive to  $\pi^0$  production (response, shape)







## Data(4)

- E592 collaboration
- p + Ta  $\rightarrow \pi^{+/-}$  + X, 400 GeV/c
  - ITEP-80-37, Phys.Rev. C22, 700-710, (1980)
  - Cross section as function of kinetic energy for secondary pions under scattering angles between 70° and 160°



Gunter Folger

## Summary

- Validation of Geant4 models (QGS, FTF) against thin target experiments has been done
  - Various combinations of primary and secondary particle are studied
  - For light and heavy nuclei
  - A range of observables has been analyzed
- Both models give satisfactory agreement with experimental data
- Revised FTF gives better overall description of data