



Enabling Grids for E-science

EGEE Review: Application Assessment of gLite

M. Lamanna EGEE NA4-HEP and LCG ARDA

www.eu-egee.org



- **EGEE JRA1 (gLite):**
 - Re-engineering of the existing middleware
 - Stable and dependable services to be deployable on a **very fast** growing infrastructure
 - *The scale of the EGEE-LCG infrastructure is already larger than expected*
- **EGEE NA4**
 - Dependable system for the applications needed
 - New use cases:
 - *Large number of concurrent users (HEP analysis)*
 - *Groups of users working in variable topologies (lightweight Biomed VOs)*
 - *New applications → new use cases and possible new requirements*
 - General need of “interactivity”:
 - *Interactive analysis on the Grid*
 - *The Grid as the environment where scientist evolve their applications out of a bag of services and tools (not a “simple” batch system)*

- **EGEE NA4 has 3 lines**
 - HEP, Biomed and “Generic” (“New”) applications
- **How does NA4 contribute to the middleware evolution?**
 - Different complementary approaches:
 - HEP: LCG ARDA project
 - *~100% of the whole NA4-HEP effort to evaluate gLite*
 - Biomed: several groups of experts are evaluating gLite
 - “New” applications: interest to follow the middleware evolution to present a complete scenario to new applications
 - The NA4 testing effort
 - Dedicated working group
 - In the first phase: contribution to the general gLite testing effort
 - On longer term: requirements checking

- **LCG contribution**

- Personnel effort:

- EGEE NA4-HEP contribution largely overmatched
 - *4 EGEE-funded FTEs and 6+ from LCG*

- Management:

- ARDA coherently managed (same lead) with NA4-HEP
 - Same persons sitting in the LCG and EGEE execution boards

- Key area in LCG


- LCG put a very relevant effort in contributing real experience, extracting general lessons and architectural guidelines:
 - *LCG GAG (Grid Advisory Group)*
 - *ARDA RTAG (LCG working group)*

and in the current environment as well (details in the next slide):






- *EGEE Project Technical Forum (PTF)*
 - *Conferences, workshops...*
 - *Contacts with other initiatives (US OSG, UK metadata group)*



- **ARDA continues on this line:**
 - Started as a project in LCG at the same time of EGEE (4/2004)
 - Put in contact the experiment Grid experts with the gLite teams and other EGEE bodies, e.g.:
 - Review of the architecture and design gLite docs
 - *Formal review process*
 - *Invitation of the ARDA experiments contact into PTF discussion*
 - *Informal channels (mailing lists, etc...)*
 - International events and conferences
 - *CHEP04 (Computing for HEP 2004): 2 presentations*
 - *SuperComputing 2004 (October): 2 demos*
 - *IEEE2004 (October): 1 presentation*
 - *III Latin American and Caribbean (LAC) - EU Ministerial Forum on the Information Society. November): 1 demo*
 - ARDA workshops
 - *June and October workshops*
 - JRA1-3/NA4 workshops and EGEE joint sessions
 - *July workshop (Catania)*
 - *November joint session in the 2nd EGEE conference (Den Haag)*



- **The complexity of the field requires great care in the phase of middleware evolution and delivery:**
 - Complex (evolving) requirements
 - New use cases to be explored (for HEP: large-scale analysis)
 - Different communities in the loop
 - LHC experiments and their middleware experts
 - other communities providing large middleware stacks (e.g. US OSG)
 - The complexity of the experiment-specific sw is comparable (often larger) of the “generic” one
 - The experiments require seamless access to a set of sites (computing resources)
 - but the real usage (therefore the benefit for the LHC scientific programme) will come from the possibility to build computing systems on a flexible and dependable infrastructure
- **How to progress?**
 - Build end-to-end prototype systems for the experiments to allow end users to perform analysis tasks

LHC Experiment	Main focus	Basic prototype component	Experiment analysis application framework	Middleware
	GUI to Grid	GANGA	DaVinci	
	Interactive analysis	PROOF ROOT	AliROOT	
	High level services	DIAL	Athena	
	Exploit native gLite functionality	Aligned with APROM strategy	ORCA	

ALICE **Interactive Session**


Demo at Supercomputing 04

egEE **Current Status**


- GANGA job submission handler for gLite is developed
- DaVinci job runs on gLite submitted through GANGA

Demo in Rio de Janeiro

All prototypes have been presented and “demo-ed” within the users communities



Real data processed at gLite
 Standard Athena for testbeam
 Data from CASTOR
 Processed on gLite worker node



Example:
 ATLAS TRT data analysis done by PNPI St Petersburg

ATLAS **08833**

Demo at Supercomputing 04

CMS

INFSO-RI-508833

Work Load Management

ARDA has been evaluating two WMSs


- WMS derived from Alien – Task Queue
 - available since April
 - pull model
 - integrated with gLite shell, file catalog and package manager
- WMS derived from EDG
 - available since middle of October
 - currently push model (pull model not yet possible but foreseen)
 - not yet integrated with other gLite components (file catalogue, package manager, gLite shell)

INFSO-RI-508833

gLiteIO tests

- Try to copy 1000 files of 0 to 10KB

DMS: data transfer




- On average an error occurred after 64 Files
- About 10 different error messages observed

INFSO-RI-508833

Performance

DMS: catalogs

- gLite File catalog
 - Good performance due to streaming
 - 80 concurrent queries, 0.35 s/query, 2.6s startup time



- Fireman catalog
 - First attempt to use the catalog: quite high entrance fee
 - Good performance
 - Not yet stable results due to unexpected crashes
 - We are interacting with the developers

INFSO-RI-508833

Package management

- Multiple approaches exist for handling of the experiment software and user private packages on the Grid
 - Pre-installation of the experiment software is implemented by a site manager with further publishing of the installed software. Job can run only on a site where required package is preinstalled.
 - Installation on demand at the worker node. Installation can be removed as soon as job execution is over.
- Current gLite package management implementation can handle light-weight installations, close to the second approach
- Clearly more work has to be done to satisfy different use cases

INFSO-RI-508833

- **Biomed assessment of gLite**
 - Less effort compared to the NA4-HEP
 - A lot of NA4 activity on the LCG-2 system as well
 - Similar approach
 - Migrate complete applications
 - Specific interests
 - Security
 - *First interesting features will be available in gLite release 1*
 - *Fine-grained ACL for data access*
 - *Data encryption (at least at some stage of the data handling)*
 - *In Den Haag, very good mutual understanding between NA4 and JRA3 (Security) ☺*
 - Job latency (“short jobs execution” use case)
 - *Quasi-interactive behaviour needed*
 - *Application-level mechanisms could solve it (for Biomed, see gPTM3D; analog approach also found in LHCb DIRAC)*
 - Lightweight VOs
 - *Size of collaborations smaller and short lived (compared to HEP)*
 - *Much more dynamics!*

- **First applications migrated:**
 - Already in use on gLite: Volume Rendering
 - Large datasets to process (~GB range) with high RAM needs (4 GB) prevent execution on a single computer
 - Splitting the input dataset for computing on the grid
 - Benchmarking application ported on different grids (for comparison it runs on Globus Toolkit3 and GridSystem)
- **More applications in the pipeline**
 - Running on LCG-2: CDSS, gPTM3D
 - To be migrated to gLite

- **High interest!**
- **Biomed-specific requirements understood and a lot of activity going on**
 - First key elements will be part of gLite release 1
- **Documentation and robustness to be improved**
- **A stable service is a prerequisite for more massive migration effort**

- The “generic application” has the specific feature that they are presenting the grid infrastructure to new applications
 - These applications see the grid now but will become power users when gLite will have delivered its new middleware and advanced functionality
 - Role of portals here is key!

- Genius “isolates” the users (especially new users) from the changing layer

- Interest in shell access

- Absolute necessity of stability!

- During last ARDA Workshop I tried to download, install and configure gLite client **successfully**
- Fedora Core 2 machines
 - the data management related commands worked
 - the job submission commands did not (libstdc++ problem)
- Production testbed UI
 - Data management and job submission worked

But.... Last Saturday data management did not work any more

- **Dedicated effort**
 - 2 FTEs (first 8 months) → 3 FTEs (Since PM9)
- **Multiple goals**
 - Requirements verification
 - Contribution to the overall testing effort
 - Coordinated action with JRA1 testing and SA1 certification activities
- **Status**
 - Preparation
 - Mainly done on the LCG-2 system so far
 - Now ready to start to evaluate gLite as soon the pre-production service become available
- **Specifics**
 - “User-runnable” tests
 - High level test (useful also as tutorial material)

- The workshop activities will address a set of open issues currently being discussed within NA4 and with other bodies (notably OSCAR)
- **Most interesting meeting topics**
 - “Common applications” emerging
 - Examples of “common applications”
 - More access to local resources
 - Interactive services
 - ...
 - Specific features not currently supported
 - Security critical for some applications
- **Re-inject this as input for EGEE Project Technical Forum (PTF)**

- **Compare the experience of DIRAC, gPTM3D, others... with the current plans**
 - Agent-based “mobile systems: in DIRAC these agents are distributed by the LCG2 WMS and then they collect tasks from an experiment-specific service
 - Robustness
 - Handcrafted control channels: in DIRAC, the communication layer is done via instant-message technologies
 - Duplication of effort on the application side?
 - Provisions for interactivity control
 - gPTM3D and ALICE (and maybe others)
 - Response time
 - Quality-of-service and (low) latency requirements

- **gLite provides a usable system (development prototype)**
 - Allow application to preview the system during the evolution and prepare for it
 - Applications provide valuable feedback
 - Test-like experience (bug reports, validation of the fixes)
 - Discussion on the architecture and exposed functionality (now collected in a systematic way in the EGEE PTF)
 - Collect experience, requirements, etc from end user
 - This will continue for advanced-features preview
 - Naturally very small in size/resources (3 sites)
- **NA4 looks forward for the pre-production service**
 - Ultimately delivered by SA1
 - Lot of preparation work/contribution from both JRA1 and NA4
 - Essential to explore (stable environment needed)
 - Higher complexity: more sites and more end users
 - Larger resources: more CPU/disk (essential to have real users)

- **Applications are the ultimate reason to build infrastructures like LCG and EGEE**
- **gLite assessment is serving multiple purposes and demonstrating the validity of this approach**
 - Increase the readiness of the final application via prototyping work:
 - HEP via ARDA, “Generic” via portals
 - The close link between application and middleware is a special asset for EGEE
 - Improve the “quality” of the final system
 - All communities active here + testing group
- **Outlook**
 - Pre-production service being prepared (direct input from NA4)
 - The gLite development test bed used so far
 - “Full-scale demonstration” will be best continued on the pre-production service as soon as available (SA1)
 - “Feedback on latest features” will continue on the smaller gLite development prototype (JRA1)