

Meeting Object: **PEB**

Editor(s): **Bob Jones, Marc-Eliau Bégin**

Meeting Date: **18/11/2004**

Meeting Place: **CERN, 600-R-002 + Phone**

Attendees: NA1: Bob Jones, Marc-Eliau Bégin,
NA2: Joanne Barnett (phone), John Dyer (phone)
NA3: David Fergusson (phone),
NA4: Frank Harris
SA1: Alistair Mills, Cristina Vistoli (phone),
JRA1: Maite Barroso, Frédéric Hemmer (phone)
JRA2: Alberto Aimar,
JRA3: Ake Edlund (phone),
JRA4: Kostas Kavoussanakis (phone)

Apologies: SA2: Jean-Paul Gautier, NA5: Matti Heikkurinen, NA3: Malcolm Atkinson

Absent:

Distribution: PEB Members

Information Minutes from the previous meeting:

<http://agenda.cern.ch/askArchive.php?base=agenda&categ=a044539&id=a044539/minutes>

1. PROPOSED AGENDA

- a. Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising
- b. Review of action items
- c. Final points for EGEE conference
- d. PM9 Deliverables and milestones:
 - TOC review
 - Name of reviewers and moderators
- e. Planning (timeline) towards EU Review
- f. AOB

2. AGENDA ITEMS

a. Minutes of the previous meeting and issues arising

Minutes were accepted with the following modification and remark:

- Slight reword of AOB section
- Frédéric clarified that action 97 was going to be covered by issues presented at Den Haag next week.

b. Review of action items

See action table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>

Deliverables and Milestones

Find updated list of Deliverables and Milestone here:

<http://egee-jra2.web.cern.ch/EGEE-JRA2/EUDocuments/Deliverables/Deliverables.htm>

New action status:

92: Now linked to action 94 – **On-going**

94: Maite said that Gabriel is in contact with the Italian cluster – **On-going**

c. Final points for EGEE conference

John Dyer said that there was no confirmation yet of the network UDP port 1234 would be opened for the demo session next week. He is actively following this point.

John Dyer will also check that the few sessions extending past 17:30 would not result in extra conference costs.

Meb sent to the chairmen of the Friday plenary sessions a presentation outline, including issues to be covered during their different sessions (compiled by Meb and Bob). Frank said that it was going to be difficult to fully cover the point on VOs. We agreed that this was going to require the coordination of several sessions: NA4 (Frank) and SA1 (Ian Bird).

John also mentioned that the press representatives had been invited from 9:00 onwards on Monday, which means that they are likely to attend the morning “concertation” event.

NA2 requested to have a regular point added to the PEB agenda to gather “press worthy” news from the activity. Bob suggested that NA2 read the Quarterly Reports, deliverables, Executive Summaries and PEB Minutes, since they contain press worthy information, before asking activity managers for further information. Bob agreed to meet Joanne next week during the conference to highlight relevant information/event interesting for press releases. We agreed that the most likely source of press releases was going to come from round key figures from the Production Service (e.g. 10 000 CPUs, 1 PB of storage) and new applications deployed on the Production Service from NA4.

Second beamer for the demos

John Dyer confirmed by email that a second beamer will provided

We need a mechanism for presenters to upload their slides on in the Conference’s programme

John Dyer suggested using memory stick during the conference.

Need to have 2 large rooms merged together for the [NA4/JRA1/JRA3 -- Application Requirements for gLite](#) session

John Dyer confirmed that this was going to be handled by local organisers.

d. PM9 Deliverables and Milestones

Find the latest updates here:

<http://egee-jra2.web.cern.ch/EGEE-JRA2/EUDocuments/Deliverables/Deliverables.htm>

During the discussion the last missing reviewers and moderators we assigned:

- DNA5.2: Mike Mineter (NA3), Ian Bird (SA1)
- DJRA3.2: Markus Schulz (SA1)
- DJRA4.2: Tiziana Ferrari (JRA1), Nick Thackray (SA1)
- DJRA3.2: Christophe Blanchet christophe.blanchet@ibcp.fr (NA4)
- DSA1.3: José R. Valverde jrvalverde@cnb.uam.es (NA4)

DNA3.1.2: Training Plan revision ([TOC](#))

Meb asked if gLite related training and Web-Services should not be part of the plan? This needs to be assessed by NA3.

The TOC was accepted with the above mentioned changes.

We brought the point of allocation of resources from other activities to support NA3 organised training events. Ian and Malcolm agreed to discuss this issue next week in Den Haag.

DNA3.3.1: Training Progress Report with updates at M15 and M24 ([TOC](#))

We need to add a section on lessons learned from the previous period. This could either be implemented in this document or in DNA3.1.2.

The TOC was accepted with the above mentioned change.

DNA4.3.1: EGEE Application Migration Progress report with revisions at M15 and M21 ([TOC](#))

Meb asked several clarifications on the TOC:

- Does section 3.1 Overview of LHC Data Challenges only cover EGEE Production Service (LCG-2) or also other Grids? Frank answered that it would also cover all other Grids used.
- We understand that in this context, “Migration” means “migrating application to the Grid”, not migration from one Grid to another.
- Section 3.5 Summary of Work by non-LHC experiments on LCG-2 refers to “other HEP application than LHC”

With these clarifications made, the TOC was accepted as is.

DNA5.1.2 - MNA5.1 eInfrastructure reflection group White Papers in conjunction with the EGEE Project Conferences - DNA5.2: European Grid project synergy roadmap (in collaboration with DEISA, SEE-GRID and other relevant initiatives and EU projects)

Bob explained that Matti is currently in Den Haag for the eIRG meeting, in which they are discussing with non-EGEE projects. These deliverables will be delayed until December. Meanwhile, these documents are already in good shape.

DSA1.3 Accounting and reporting web site publicly available ([TOC](#))

This document will describe how LCG-2 currently performs the current Accounting and reporting, based on APEL.

Section 8 will include future sources of monitoring:

- DGAS

- Etc

Bob said that it would be interesting to see how this refers to VO reporting. A meeting has been organised on Friday the 19th between JRA1 and SA1 to discuss this issue.

The TOC was accepted with the above mentioned change.

MSA2.2 Initial requirements aggregation model, specification of services as SLSs on the networks (TOC)

The PEB was not able to comment on this TOC. We should identify an independent source of expertise for a second opinion.

The TOC was preliminarily accepted.

MJRA1.4 Software for the Release Candidate 1 (Scope from TA.)

Bob said that JRA1 is now proposing what can be part of Release Candidate 1. This will be discussed next week with SA1 and NA4.

Meb pointed-out that there was no reviewer from non-HEP communities. We agreed to ask Vincent to nominate an extra reviewer from BioMeb and/or Generic application community.

The TOC was accepted with the above mentioned change.

DJRA3.2 Site access control architecture (TOC)

Frank asked how this work was related to David Kelsey's work. Ake answered that they are not doing the same thing. Both are in MWSG and JSPG and are in regular contact. Both are in JRA3 and are in regular contact.

Bob asked what was the plan for LCG-2 and gLite. We agreed that this work needs to be well coordinated with David K. and that it is reflected in gLite.

MJRA3.5 Secure Credential Storage procedures (TOC sent 02/11/04 and accepted.)

This milestone was covered in a previous meeting and agreed then. The milestone will be split into two. For details, see:

<http://agenda.cern.ch/askArchive.php?base=agenda&categ=a044539&id=a044539/minutes>

DJRA4.2 Definition of standardized network measurement query/response interfaces (TOC)

This work will not be part of gLite RC1. Kostas explained that this work will start with a throwaway prototype. After discussions Frédéric, Bob and Kostas, we agreed that since the

developers doing this work are going to leave the project at the end of December, this work would not be integrated in the JRA1 SCM system. However, we agreed that it was important that any production s/w be integrated in the SCM and follow the JRA1 development guideline right from the start. Kostas agreed that his new team will follow this way of working for the real implementation.

e. Planning (timeline) towards EU Review

Note: the following timeline was added at the bottom of the PEB minute web page:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>

NOVEMBER:

- Thursday, November 18th: TOC review by the PEB
- November 22nd - 26th: EGEE conference + deliverables and milestones preparation

DECEMBER:

- Thursday December 2nd: Submission of template and guidelines for QR3 to the PEB
- Thursday December 9th: Deliverables ready for the formal review
- Wednesday December 15th: QR3s back to the PO from ALL activity managers

JANUARY:

- Monday 10th January: Review of deliverables completed
- Thursday 13th January: Selection of deliverables and milestones presentation to PEB and PEB approval
- Friday 14th January: Submit PR to PEB/PMB for review
- Wednesday 19th January: All sent to the EU and reviewers: 8 deliverables, 1 QR, 1 PR.

Bob reminded David Fergusson that it was important for NA3 to be on time.

Frédéric said that MJRA1.4 was not going to be ready by the 9th of December. Bob said that this was OK since it's a milestone, which only has to be reported in the next Quarterly Report.

Bob then explained that last week, Fab, Anna Cook and Severine Bergerot went to Brussels to discuss the reporting dates. The EU said clearly that we had a contractual obligation to honor these dates and it was not possible to change them.

Bob concluded by saying that these dates are challenging but possible.

We need names for presenters for the EU Review, starting from the first rehearsal on the 13th of January:

ACTION (All): Propose names for the different presenters for the EU Review.

f. PM9 Deliverables and Milestones

Find the latest updates here:

<http://egee-jra2.web.cern.ch/EGEE-JRA2/EUDocuments/Deliverables/Deliverables.htm>

Moderator and reviewer names

The names of moderators and reviewers were selected. Refer to the link above for the latest status. *We are still missing several names.*

g. AOB

Frédéric asked if it was possible to fix the dates of the next All Activity Meeting, in order for JRA1 to organise their internal meetings. Bob said that this was going to be done soon.

Bob said that the date of the 3rd EGEE conference will hopefully be decided during the next PMB meeting, in Den Haag.

3. CURRENT ACTIVITIES STATUS

3.1. JRA1

(from Frédéric)

JRA1 Activity has been dominated by the JRA1 All Hands Meeting and preparation for the 2nd Conference in Padova with an excellent organization by our INFN-Padova colleagues.

Numerous material available at <http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a043838>.

In particular the summary slides are available at <http://agenda.cern.ch/askArchive.php?base=agenda&categ=a043838&id=a043838s8/transparencies>. Note that not everybody necessarily agrees with the proposed plan for RC1.

3.2. JRA3

(from Ake)

Full week of JRA1 All-hands meeting, and JRA1 Design team meeting in Padova, Italy.
Security session Tuesday Nov 17, 14.00-18.00, see

<http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a043838>

Security modules 'Message level security' and 'AuthZ framework' delivered.

Handing over meeting in CERN between Thomas Sandholm and Akos Frohner scheduled next week.

DJRA3.2 TOC ready for PEB.

3.3. JRA4

(from Kostas)

Deliverables and milestones

- [PM9] MJRA4.2 Still on course to meet the deadlines for this deliverable.

Staff changes

N/A

Sub-Activities

- NPM (Network Performance Monitoring). Good progress with the development of the prototype. We expect the back-end components to be complete by Tue 23/11, giving us one week for integration (a F2F integration meeting is planned) and the UI.

- BAR (Bandwidth Allocation and Reservation). Rough internal draft of BAR Architecture has been circulated amongst key personnel in JRA4. BAR goes to the background as we focus on NE Architecture (BAR is part of it, as is NPM). An NE Architecture is set up and convenes for the first time on 17/11. We expect it to interact with JRA1 and JRA3 after Den Haag.

3.4. NA2

EGEE CONFERENCE 2

The total number of registered delegates is 367.

The count for the individual days (on Sunday 14 Nov) was:

- Mon 235
- Tues 267
- Wed 324
- Thurs 274
- Fri 244

(204 of these delegates took the full week package)

Most of time is being spent to finalise the preparation of the conference. There is a bit of concern about the Industry forum, which will be held in parallel with the Concertation Meeting on Tuesday morning. TERENA was informed only at the very last moment (one week ago) after the meeting was already announced.

The letter of welcome has been agreed by Fabrizio with the local organisers and has been finalised.

The video and photography are also being taking care with the support of the local organisers and CERN.

New activity sheets have been prepared and they are printed and being stuffed into the new EGEE folders.

The programme is stable now with very few changes being requested.

There are still some information missing,(agendas and some biographies for some speaker. An email to the PEB has been sent to obtain this information.

The list of registered delegates is accessible via the EGEE conference 2 index page at:
<http://public.eu-egee.org/conferences/2nd/>

ACTION: NA4/JRA1/JRA3 large room for 200 delegates in CONFIRMED

ACTION: second beamer for demonstrations is CONFIRMED

IST 2004

John Dyer attended all three days of IST2004 to coordinate the RI booth and chair the RI networking session. Most of his time was spent manning the EGEE/GILDA/GENIUS section of

the RI booth. There was significant interest in the GILDA demonstration which the people from Catania provided and manned continuously.

There were some logistic problems with the EGEE element of the booth which have been discussed with Fabrizio. John DYER will provide a full written report within one week.

NA2 PARTNERS

The minutes of the last NA2 meeting are on-line on the NA2 intranet.

During the 2nd EGEE conference there will be an NA2 meeting on Wednesday from 14:00 till 17:30 and a joint NA2/NA3/NA4 on Wednesday from 09:00 till 11:15.

Templates have been produced for

- Word documents
- Power Point Presentations
- Poster

These templates to be used by the NA2 or by whoever needs to prepare EGEE publicity materials.

It must be stressed that TERENA has got printing budget print material for the EGEE conferences only. The NA2 partners must use their own budget for printing material in other events.

OBTAINING TECHNICAL INPUT for INFORMATION SHEETS and PRESS RELEASES.

TERENA is not in a position to create information sheets and technical press releases without raw content from personnel in the technical activities. It was agreed with the Project Director at a meeting on Tuesday 16 November that a standing action would be placed on the PEB action list, requesting the activities to make regular contributions to TERENA in order that the more publicity material can be produced.

3.5. NA4

PEB attendance

Frank will attend (and perhaps Vincent by phone).

Apologies from Massimo and Roberto.

Den Haag preparation: demos

The planning is to have biomed demos on November 24th in the morning and ARDA/generic demos on November 24th in the afternoon. The detailed planning can be found at:

<http://agenda.cern.ch/age?a044621>

All are working hard on demos. CERN /EIS and French ROC are trying to 'stabilise' the Biomed RLS which is essential for the GPSA demo.

We need clarification as to whether a second screen and beamer could be available.

DNA4.3

<http://www.cern.ch/edms/document/523422>

Work has started with NA4/HEP taking the editing token. It is planned to have the draft of HEP material (data challenges + ARDA) ready by Monday Nov 22.

M9 deliverable reviewers

Christophe Blanchet <christophe.blanchet@ibcp.fr> **DJRA3.2**

José R. Valverde <jrvalverde@cnb.uam.es> **DSA1.3**

3.6. NA5

(from Hannelore Hämmerle)

Here is the list of EGEE-contributors to the white paper:

- Fotis Karayannis (GRNET),
- Matti Heikkurinen (CERN),
- Klaus Ullmann (DFN),
- Karin Schauerhammer (DFN),
- Diego Lopez (RedIRIS),
- and I did some editing

3.7.

PEB Programme of work through to the first EU review

See Programme of Work table here:

<http://egee-intranet.web.cern.ch/egee-intranet/Project-Structure/boards/PEB2.html>