

# J/ψ production in Indium-Indium collisions: the NA60 experiment



U

μ

#### Outline:

- Reminder of the physics motivation
- A glimpse of the detector concept
- $^{\bullet}$  J/ $\psi$  production in Indium-Indium collisions

Roberta Arnaldi — INFN Torino for the NA60 Collaboration

Hard Probes 2004, 4-10 Nov. 2004

### A reminder of the physics motivation

QCD predicts that, above a critical temperature or energy density, strongly interacting matter undergoes a phase transition to a new state where the quarks and gluons are no longer confined in hadrons, and chiral symmetry is restored

Since 1986, many experiments, probing high-energy nuclear collisions at the CERN SPS, searched for this phase transition. Some of the theory-driven "signatures" required measuring lepton pairs and motivated NA38, CERES, HELIOS-3 and NA50:

- the production of thermal dimuons directly emitted from the new phase, if in thermal equilibrium
- changes in the ρ spectral function (mass shifts, broadening, disappearance) when chiral symmetry restoration is approached
- the suppression of strongly bound heavy quarkonia states dissolved when certain *critical thresholds* are exceeded
- $\rightarrow$  This talk will be focused on the third point, in particular on the suppression of the J/ $\psi$

see the talks of R. Shahoyan, H. Wöhri and G. Usai, for other interesting physics topics covered by NA60

### $J/\psi$ suppression from p-A to Pb-Pb collisions

J/ψ production has been extensively studied by the NA38 and NA50 experiments
 ⇒ the J/ψ is suppressed in Pb-Pb collisions
 with respect to the yields extrapolated from proton-nucleus data



### Specific questions that remain open



- What is the impact of the χ<sub>c</sub> feed-down on the observed J/ψ suppression pattern?
   ⇒ Study the nuclear dependence of χ<sub>c</sub> production in p-A collisions
- What is the physics variable driving the J/ψ suppression? L, N<sub>part</sub>, energy density?
   ⇒ Measure the J/ψ suppression pattern in Indium-Indium and compare it with Pb-Pb

New and accurate measurements are needed





Idea: place a *high granularity* and *radiation-hard* **silicon tracking telescope** in the vertex region to measure the muons before they suffer multiple scattering and energy loss in the absorber



R. Arnaldi, R. Averbeck, K. Banicz, K. Borer, J. Buytaert, J. Castor, B. Chaurand, W. Chen,
B. Cheynis, C. Cicalò, A. Colla, P. Cortese, S. Damjanović, A. David, A. de Falco, N. de Marco,
A. Devaux, A. Drees, L. Ducroux, H. En'yo, A. Ferretti, M. Floris, P. Force, A. Grigorian, J.Y. Grossiord,
N. Guettet, A. Guichard, H. Gulkanian, J. Heuser, M. Keil, L. Kluberg, Z. Li, C. Lourenço,
J. Lozano, F. Manso, P. Martins, A. Masoni, A. Neves, H. Ohnishi, C. Oppedisano, P. Parracho, P. Pillot,
G. Puddu, E. Radermacher, P. Ramalhete, P. Rosinsky, E. Scomparin, J. Seixas, S. Serci, R. Shahoyan,
P. Sonderegger, H.J. Specht, R. Tieulent, E. Tveiten, G. Usai, H. Vardanyan, R. Veenhof and H. Wöhri

### NA60's detector concept



#### The Indium run



#### **Event selection**

A clean sample of events is obtained with the following requirements, profiting from the accurate determination of the interaction point and of the dimuon vertex:

The interaction must take place in one of the seven targets; the Z-vertex of the collision is determined by the pixel telescope with ~ 200 µm accuracy



• The dimuon must be in the phase space window:  $-0.5 < \cos\theta_{CS} < 0.5$  $2.92 < y_{LAB} < 3.92$ 



### To match or not to match

#### If we do not use the muon track matching:

#### 🙂 we keep more statistics

• we use quality cuts on the muon spectrometer data to identify dimuons produced in the target region

#### If we use the muon track matching:

- we loose statistics
- 🙂 the mass resolution improves

we can use the vertex of the dimuon in the event selection, to keep only dimuons produced in Indium-Indium collisions

: we reduce the combinatorial background



Dimuon mass above 2 GeV

High mass dimuon spectra before and after muon track matching between the Muon Spectrometer and the Vertex Telescope



• dimuon matching efficiency: ~ 65% at the  $J/\psi$ 

- $^{\bullet}$  the mass resolution at the J/ $\psi$  improves from ~105 MeV to ~70 MeV
- the combinatorial background decreases from ~3% to ~1% in the J/ $\psi$  region
- out-of-target events are rejected

cleaner spectrum

# $J/\psi$ production in Indium-Indium collisions



Dimuon data from the 6500 A event sample

Combinatorial background from  $\pi$  and K decays estimated from the measured like-sign pairs

Signal mass shapes from Monte Carlo:

- PYTHIA and MRS A (Low Q<sup>2</sup>) parton densities
- GEANT 3.21 for detector simulation
- reconstructed as the measured data

Acceptances from Monte Carlo simulation:

- for J/ψ : 12.4 %
- for DY : 13.4 % (in mass window 2.9–4.5 GeV)



#### ... and the result is ...

From the J/ $\psi$  and Drell-Yan yields obtained from the previous fit, after the acceptance corrections, we extract the J/ $\psi$  / DY cross-section ratio.

The Drell-Yan cross-section must be defined in a given mass window. We choose the region 2.9 <  $M_{\mu\mu}$  < 4.5 GeV, so that our value can be directly compared with previous NA50 results. And the value is

B  $\sigma$ (J/ψ) /  $\sigma$ (DY) = 19.2 ± 1.2

### **Stability checks**

In order to evaluate the sensitivity of our result to the data analysis procedure, we have redone it, changing several steps. We found that the result is almost insensitive to (reasonable) changes in the background normalization, different event selection criteria and different fitting procedures. Systematical uncertainties are still under study but a value around 5% seems to be within reach.

Furthermore, the analysis of the dimuon mass spectra after muon track matching leads to essentially the same numerical values.



## Looking for the physics behind the suppression

The study of the J/ $\psi$  suppression pattern as a function of different centrality variables, including data from different collision systems, should allow us to understand which is the physics variable driving the disappearance of the J/ $\psi$ 

In the absence of "new physics", the J/ $\psi$  suppression patterns measured in different collision systems should overlap when plotted as a function of L (it is the case between p-A and S-U).

If the  $J/\psi$  is suppressed because of a geometrical phase transition, such as percolation, the scaling variable should be  $N_{\text{part}}$ 



If, on the other hand, the  $J/\psi$  is dissolved by a thermal medium, the QGP, the physics variable should be the (local) energy density.





For instance, for L ~ 7 fm, S-U, In-In and Pb-Pb collisions probe different values of  $N_{part}$ , ranging from 80 to 130

- → If the physics-driving variable is L, the three systems will overlap
- → If the physics-driving variable is N<sub>part</sub>, the three systems will show a different pattern

The values of L and  $N_{\text{part}}$ , integrated over all the centralities, are extracted from a Glauber calculation which fits the  $\mathsf{E}_{\text{ZDC}}$  spectrum





regions that will be exploited by the centrality study in Indium-Indium collisions

Theoretical predictions for the pattern as a function of centrality are welcome!

## Study of the kinematical variables of the J/ $\psi$

From the Indium data, we have also extracted information on

- the transverse momentum
- and the polarization angle of the  $J/\psi$

To extract the "true" angular or transverse momentum distributions from the measured ones, we have to know the **acceptance** of the experimental apparatus as a function of the kinematical values of the produced dimuon

#### Method:

Since the different kinematical variables are related, the acceptance in one variable can have a strong dependence on other variables. To take into account these correlations

a 3D method for the acceptance calculation has been developed.

Monte Carlo data have been generated according to flat  $p_T$ ,  $\cos\theta$  and  $y_{LAB}$  distributions; the MC events were tracked through the experimental apparatus and then reconstructed using the same procedures as the real data.

acceptance matrices are obtained from the ratio between the reconstructed and the generated events The procedure has been checked by injecting realistic  $p_T$ ,  $y_{LAB}$  and  $cos\theta$  distributions as inputs for the Monte Carlo simulation.

 $\rightarrow$  Very good agreement, except in the edges of the probed phase space, between the reconstructed and acceptance-corrected MC and the injected distributions.



NA50 studied the transverse momentum distributions of the J/ $\psi$  from p-A up to Pb-Pb collisions.

The observed increase of the  $\left< p_T^2 \right>$  values has been interpreted in terms of

#### initial-state parton multiple scattering:

if the length of the crossed nuclear matter L increases, the number of possible g-N scattering grows linearly, resulting in a higher  $\left< p_T^2 \right>$  for the J/ $\psi$ 

$$\left\langle p_{T}^{2} \right\rangle = \left\langle p_{T}^{2} \right\rangle_{pp} + a_{gN}L$$

 $<p_{T}^{2}>_{pp}$  is the value that a  $c\overline{c}$  pair has in absence of any scattering L: length of crossed nuclear matter



NA3 + NA38 + NA50 data rescaled to 158 GeV

 $a_{gN} = 0.077 \pm 0.002 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2/\text{fm}^{-1}$  $< p_T^2 >_{pp} = 1.15 \pm 0.02 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ 

#### Transverse momentum of the J/ $\psi$ in Indium-Indium



7 centrality bins have been defined (the centrality information is extracted from the  $E_{ZDC}$ )

#### Transverse momentum of the J/ $\psi$ in Indium-Indium



### Polarization of the $J/\psi$

The polarization of the J/ $\psi$  provides a detailed test of quarkonium production

#### **Quarkonium polarization:**

- **CSM**: predicts transverse polarization
- **CEM**: predicts no polarization
- NRQCD: predicts transverse polarization at large p<sub>T</sub>

Results up to now (E866, CDF...) do not show an increase of the polarization for high p<sub>T</sub>

#### Moreover, the recent paper

B.L. loffe and D.E. Kharzeev: hep-ph/0306176: "Quarkonium Polarization in Heavy-ion collisions as a possible signature of the QGP"

predicts an increase of  $J/\psi$  polarization in heavy-ion collisions in case of QGP:

"...polarization exhibits strong non-perturbative effects. The QGP is expected to screen away the non perturbative physics: the J/ $\psi$  which escape from the plasma should possess polarization as predicted by perturbative QCD..."

Experimentally, the polarization of the  $J/\psi$  is obtained from the angular distribution of the  $\mu^+$  from J/ $\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^ \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} \sim 1 + \lambda\cos^2\theta_{H}$ 

 $\theta_H$  is the emission angle of the  $\mu^+$  in the  $J/\psi$  rest frame.

There are various ways one can choose axes for the  $J/\psi$  rest frame. According to hep-ph/0306176 we choose the z axis to be coincident with the  $J/\psi$  direction in the center of mass frame.





 $\lambda < 0$  Longitudinally polarized

- $\lambda = 0$  Unpolarized
- $\lambda > 0$  Transversely polarized

# Polarization of the J/ $\psi$ in Indium-Indium

For these studies we count the events in the range 2.9 <  $M_{\mu\mu}$  < 3.3 GeV/c<sup>2</sup> (without matching)

- 0 < p<sub>T</sub> < 5 GeV/c
- 3.2 < y<sub>LAB</sub> < 3.8
- $-0.7 < \cos\theta_{\rm H} < 0.7$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta_{H}} \sim 1 + \lambda\cos^{2}\theta_{H}$$

where from the  $\lambda$  is extracted

The results are given as a function of:

- transverse momentum
- number of participant nucleons





Polarization of the J/ $\psi$  in Indium-Indium

< 0.5 0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

According to hep-ph/0306176, in case of QGP formation the expected value for the polarization is

 $\lambda = 0.6$  (for  $p_T \sim 0$ )

and even taking into account the initial transverse momentum of gluons,  $\lambda$  remains significantly higher than zero:





# Summary and outlook



We presented the cross section ratio  $J/\psi$  / DY in Indium-Indium collisions, integrated over all centralities, together with a preliminary study of two  $J/\psi$  kinematical variables:  $p_T$  and polarization angle

To better understand the heavy-ion results, a solid reference baseline from proton-nucleus data is needed

NA60 is now taking data with 400 GeV protons incident on 7 different nuclear targets, at high beam intensities (~ 2 × 10<sup>9</sup> p/burst), to study the impact of  $\chi_c$  production on the J/ $\psi$  suppression

We have also taken a small sample of proton-nucleus data at 158 GeV, in order to extract the normal nuclear absorption of the  $J/\psi$  at the energy of the heavy ion data

This will improve our understanding of the production and suppression of charmonium states

# backup

