



GDB

# **New GDB Standing Working Group T0/1/2 Networking**

*Kors Bos NIKHEF, Amsterdam  
GDB Meeting, 12 January, 2005*



# GDB Working Groups



**GDB has 3 active Working Groups now that operate independently but report regularly to the GDB so the progress can be monitored/guided/approved by all interested parties.**

- Security (Dave Kelsey)
- Installation Tools (Charles Loomis)
- T01/2 Networking (David Foster)

**We may have another one soon on “interoperability”**

**Need to update the website ( I have said this many times 😊)**



# Mandate I

GDB

- *The group prepares plans and follows the implementation of the wide area networking needed to support the Computing Models of the LHC experiments both during the preparation and operation of the LHC. The group reports regularly to the Grid Deployment Board (GDB) on progress. **The GDB approves the general strategy and plans developed by the group and agrees on a set of milestones to enable progress to be followed by the project and the experiments. The GDB chair will include relevant information on planning, progress and potential problems in his regular report to the PEB.***
- *The network infrastructure interconnecting the centres providing computing resources for LHC will in most cases be provided by national and regional research network organisations, through agreements made between the computing centres and these organisations. The goal of the group is to ensure that these individual agreements will **provide a coherent infrastructure to satisfy the LHC experiments' computing models and requirements**, and that there is a credible solution for the management of the end-to-end network services.*



## Mandate II

- *The first priority of the group is **to plan the networking for the Tier-0 and Tier-1 centres**, based on the outcome of the LHCC Review of Experiment Computing Requirements scheduled for 17-19 January 2005, on a timescale compatible with the LCG Technical Design Report. The group should **also cover Tier-2 centres**, as appropriate information on requirements becomes available. The group is not concerned with networking for lower tiers.*
- *The membership of the group includes networking experts from Tier-1 and other major regional centres, representatives of relevant research networking organisations, and other experts as agreed by the group.*



Country	T1 Centre	Representatives	Email
Switzerland	CERN	David Foster Paolo Moroni	David.Foster@cern.ch Paolo.Moroni@cern.ch
France	CCIN2P3	J�rome Bernier Dany Vandrome	jerome.bernier@in2p3.fr vandrome@renater.fr
Germany	FZK	Bruno Hoefft Klaus Ullmann Karin Schauerhammer	Bruno.Hoefft@iwr.fzk.de ullmann@dfn.de schau@dfn.de
Italy	CNAF	Luca Dell'agnello Enzo Valente	luca.dellagnello@cnaif.infn.it Enzo.Valente@garr.it
Netherlands	NIKHEF/SARA	Kees Neggers Peter Michielse	kees.neggers@surfnet.nl michielse@nwo.nl
Nordic Consort.	Nordic Consort.	Peter Villemoes	Peter.Villemoes@nordu.net
Spain	PIC	Mari Paz de Andres Gonzalo Merino	mpandres@pic.es merino@pic.es
UK	RAL	Robin Tasker	r.tasker@dl.ac.uk
USA	FNAL & BNL	Don Petravick Bruce Gibbard Harvey Newman	gibbard@bnl.gov <a href="mailto:petravick@hppc.fnal.gov">petravick@hppc.fnal.gov</a> newman@hep.caltech.edu
Canada	Triumf	Steven McDonald	mcdonald@triumf.ca
Taipei	ASCC	Erik Yen Yu-lin Chang Chih-chang Chang	<a href="mailto:eric@sinica.edu.tw">eric@sinica.edu.tw</a> <a href="mailto:ylchang@gate.sinica.edu.tw">ylchang@gate.sinica.edu.tw</a> ccchang@beta.wsl.sinica.edu.tw
LCG Project Leader	CERN	Les Robertson	Les.Robertson@cern.ch
Service Challenges contact	CERN	Jamie Shiers	Jamie.shiers@cern.ch
Grid Deployment Board	NIKHEF	Kors Bos	bosk@nikhef.nl
EGEE/SA2 contact	CNRS	Jean-Paul Gautier	Jean-Paul.Gautier@urec.cnrs.fr
GEANT contact	DANTE	Hans Doebbeling	Hans.Doebbeling@dante.org.uk



GDB

## Meetings

- **January 20-21 in Amsterdam**
- **<http://agenda.cern.ch/fullAgenda.php?ida=a045746>**
- **Depending on the first meeting outcome 2 or 3 more meetings may be needed this year**
- **We may try to colocate future meetings with other important network events like the Terena Conference, IGRID or SuperComputing**



# Milestones

GDB

- For the initial Service Challenge tests some of it is needed now
- The Service Challenge Milestones require the full T0/1 network be in place by the end of this year
- Performance tests for twice the nominal rate are planned in Q2 of 2006
- T1/2 network must be put in place in 2006 (some already end 2005)



# Nominal Data Rates

**Definition: *Nominal data rate* between CERN and all Tier-1s = the long term sustained average data rate**

*A quick calculation from the computing model presentations  
Needs to be verified with experiment reps in detail*

**in Mbytes/sec**

<b>ALICE</b>	<b>ATLAS</b>	<b>CMS</b>	<b>LHCb</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>600</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>300</b>	<b>150</b>	<b>1800</b>

To achieve this we must be able to run for long periods  
*at least twice this rate*





# Comparable numbers ?

GDB

- To compare and use these numbers we have to make sure that what is included in these numbers is the similar for all 4 experiments:
- This includes the raw data transport to the T1's
- This includes the derived data transport to the T1's
- This includes derived data transport between T1's
- *Does it include MC data transport from the T2's ??*
- *Does it include data transport for analysis ??*
- *What else does it include ??*
- *Does it include "safety factors" and "efficiencies" ??*



# Tier-1 Centres

(January 2005)

GDB

				ALICE	ATLAS	CMS	LHCb	
1	GridKa	Karlsruhe	Germany	X	X	X	X	4
2	CCIN2P3	Lyon	France	X	X	X	X	4
3	CNAF	Bologna	Italy	X	X	X	X	4
4	NIKHEF/SARA	Amsterdam	Netherlands	X	X		X	3
5	Nordic	Distributed	Dk, No, Fi, Se		X			1
6	PIC	Barcelona	Spain		X	X	X	3
7	RAL	Didcot	UK	X	X	X	X	4
8	Triumf	Vancouver	Canada		X			1
9	BNL	Brookhaven	US		X			1
10	FNAL	Batavia, Ill.	US			X		1
11	ASCC	Taipei	Taiwan		X	X		2
				5	10	7	6	28



# Estimated Rates per T1 Center **GDB**

	#T1's	rate	rate/#T1	CCIN2P3	CNAF	FZK	NIKHEF	Nordic	PIC	RAL	BNL	FNAL	Triumf	ASCC	checksum
	<b>All numbers in Mbytes/sec except the bottom row which is in Mbit/sec</b>														
ALICE	5	600	120	120	120	120	120			120					600
ATLAS	10	750	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75		75	75	750
CMS	7	300	43	43	43	43			43	43		43		43	300
LHCb	6	150	25	25	25	25	25		25	25					150
Total/T1		1800		263	263	263	220	75	143	263	75	43	75	118	1800
twice		3600		526	526	526	440	150	286	526	150	86	150	236	3600
Mbits/sec		<b>28800</b>		<b>4206</b>	<b>4206</b>	<b>4206</b>	<b>3520</b>	<b>1200</b>	<b>2286</b>	<b>4206</b>	<b>1200</b>	<b>686</b>	<b>1200</b>	<b>1886</b>	28800
		<b>CERN</b>													



## First Estimates

- **Roughly speaking all T1 centers need to be connected with a 10 Gbit/sec to CERN**
- **And with a multiple of 1 Gbit/sec to each other and their T2's**
- **All numbers are a yearly average**
  - Will there be a substantial difference between beam on/off ?
  - Do we expect any “spikes” of more than a factor 2 ?
- **The bandwidth is needed 365 days 24 hours/day**
- **All these numbers need to be verified with the experiments and a more detailed model (also for analysis) should be developed if possible.**



## Points for the WG

- **Get a better estimate for the above numbers**
- **Get a more detailed network usage model**
- **CERN takes care for the networking inside CERN !**
- **The Centers pay for their connectivity to CERN ?**
- **Who pays for the T1/1 and T1/2 connectivity ?**
- **How to connect the US, Canada and Taipei ?**
- **An emergency plan needs to be made for 2005**
- **And a roadmap for the next 10 years**