

The gLite Software Development Process

Alberto Di Meglio CERN







www.eu-egee.org





- Software configuration management and tools
- Release process
- QA Metrics and Process Auditing



- JRA1 Software Process is based on an iterative method loosely based on RUP and some XP practices
- It comprises two main 12-month development cycles divided in shorter development-integration-test-release cycles lasting from 2 to 6 weeks
- The two main cycles starts with full Architecture and Design phases, but the architecture and design are periodically reviewed and verified.
- The process is fully documented in a number of standard document:
 - Software Configuration Management Plan (SCM)
 - Test Plan
 - Quality Assurance Plan
 - Developer's Guide



- The SCM Plan is the core document of the Software Process
- It contains a description of the processes and the procedures to be applied to the six SCM activity areas:
 - Software configuration and versioning, tagging and branching conventions
 - Build Tools Systems
 - Bug Tracking
 - Change Control and the Change Control Board (CCB)
 - Release Process
 - Process Auditing and QA Metrics
- It is based on a number of standard methods and frameworks including:
 - ISO 10007:2003 Quality management systems -- Guidelines for configuration management, ISO, 2003
 - IEEE Software Engineering Guidelines (<u>http://standards.ieee.org/reading/ieee/std/se</u>)
 - The Rational Unified Process (http://www-306.ibm.com/software/awdtools/rup/)
- In addition it adopts best-practice solutions¹ to guarantee the highest possible quality in a very distributed and heterogeneous collaboration

¹S.P. Berczuk, Software Configuration Management Patterns, Software Patterns Series, Addison-Wesley, 2002 A. Di Meglio et al., A Pattern-based Continuous Integration Framework for Distributed EGEE Grid Middleware Development, Proc. CHEP 2004



- Based on CVS using CERN Central IT CVS service
- Fixed directory structure for each module
- Rules for tagging and branching (e.g. bug fix branches)
- Common naming conventions for baseline and release tags
- Configuration files to automate creation of workspaces
 - Used to enforce build reproducibility
 - Used to create private workspaces for developers
- Rules apply also to external dependencies, all thirdparty packages and versions are controlled by the build systems, not the developers









- Ant: used for the general build management and all Java modules.
- Make + GNU Autotools: for C/C++, Perl and other languages as necessary. Some effort has been done to port the Makefiles to Windows or use Cygwin, but with very limited success
- CruiseControl: used to automate the nightly and integration builds on the central servers
- An abstraction layer has been created on top of these tools to provide a common interface to all build tools independently of the language, platform and tool used



- Two nightly build servers on RH Linux 3.0 (ia32)
 - Clean builds out of HEAD and v. 1.x every night of all components
 - Results are published to the gLite web site
 - Tagged every night and totally reproducible
- One continuous build server on RH Linux 3.0 (ia32)
 - Incremental builds out of v. 1.x every 60 minutes
 - Results published to CruiseControl web site
 - Automated build error notifications to developers and Integration Team
- One nightly build server on RH Linux 3.0 (ia64)
 - Clean builds every night of all components
- One nightly build server on Windows XP
 - Clean builds every night of all components currently ported to Windows
- Build system supported platforms:
 - Red Hat Linux 3.0 and binary compatible platforms (SLC 3, CentOS, etc), 32 and 64-bit (gcc)
 - Windows XP/2003



- Based on the Savannah project portal at CERN
- Used also for change requests (for example API changes, external libraries version changes, etc). In this case, request are assigned to the Change Control Board for further evaluation (explained later)
- Heavily customized to provide several additional bug status on top of the default Open and Closed (!)
- Each gLite subsystem is tracked as a separate category and related bugs are assigned to the responsible clusters

egee

- Two conditions: Open, Closed
- Ten main states: None, Accepted, In Progress, Integration Candidate, Ready for Integration, Ready for Test, Ready for Review, Fixed, Duplicate, Invalid
- Transitions between two states is subject to specific checks (Is it a defect? Can it be fixed? Test passed, Review passed?)
- Not all transitions are allowed and additional automated changes can be triggered by changing the bug status (condition, assignment, etc)

Defect Tracking Cycle

Enabling Grids for E-science





- All public changes must go through a formal approval process
- The CCB is tasked to collect and examine the change requests
- Changes are tracked and handled as quickly as possible
- The CCB is not a physical team, but a role that is assumed by more than one team or group depending on the type of change (interface changes, bug fixes, software configuration changes, etc)



- Software Metrics are collected as part of the build process
- Failure to pass a quality check fails the build
- Additional checks are implemented in the version control system (coding style, documentation tags)
- Software Defect and QA Metrics are collected from the defect tracking system
- Reports and graphs are published on the project web site

Code Style and Conventions

CC Enabling Grids for E-science

gLite coding style report

system org.glite

Summarv					
Subsystems	SLOC		Errors	Errors / line	
13	1091608	ŧ	52792	0.0484	
Subsystems					
Name		Errors	Line	es Errors / line	
org.glite.jdl		2572	3847	7 0.6686	
org.glite.ce		9575	2641	10 0.3626	
org.glite.wms-ui		18785	9383	34 0.2002	
org.glite.rgma		7677	1100	0.0698	
org.glite.gpbox		6645	1081	0.0614	
org.glite.service-discovery		336	7508	B 0.0448	
org.glite.amga		1448	4180	0.0346	
org.glite.security		3225	1080	0.0298	
org.glite.wms		1888	2972	0.0064	
org.glite.data		641	1968	888 0.0033	
org.glite.dgas		0	3122	26 0.0000	
org.glite.testsuites		0	6358	82 0.0000	
org.glite.wms-utils		0	3060	0.0000	
			Coding conve	rentions checked by CHECKSTYLE and C using the gLite coding Line count by	ODEWIZAR
)				Line count by	SLOCCOu rnet

Unit Tests Reports

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

	Build Results	Test Results	XML Log File	Control Panel	
Name				Status	Time(s)
.org.glite.rgma.ProducerPropertie	esTest				
testlsHistor	'Y			Success	0.008
testisLates	t			Success	0.000
Properties »					
.org.glite.rgma.QueryPropertiesT	est				
testlsHistor	Y			Success	0.008
testisLates	t			Success	0.000
testIsContin	nuous			Success	0.000
testEquals				Success	0.000
Properties »					
.org.glite.rgma.StorageTest					
testEquals				Success	0.006
testGetPas	sword			Success	0.000
testGetLoc	ation			Success	0.000
testGetUse	erName			Success	0.000
testisDatab	ase			Success	0.000
testisMemo	ry			Success	0.001
testHasDet	ails			Success	0.000
Properties »					
.org.glite.rgma.TimeIntervalTest					
testValueA	sMillis			Success	0.006
testValueA	sSeconds			Success	0.000
testValueA	sMinutes			Success	0.000
testValueA	sHours			Success	0.000
testValueA	\sDays			Success	0.000
Properties »					

Autogenerated Documentation

CGCC Auto Enabling Grids for E-science

	EGEE > gLite > Documentation > Autogenerated APIs			
6666				
Enchling Cride	gLite v1.5 Autogenerated API Documentation			EGEE > gLite > Documentation > Autogenerated APIs > org.glite.r
for E-science	Subsystems			gLite v1.5 Autogenerated API Documentation - org.
	org.glite.ce		Enabling Grids	
9	org.glite.data		ior E-science	Components
c ito	org.glite.gpbox			org.gitte.rgma.api.cpp
GLIG	org.glite.jdl			org glite rama log4con
	org.glite.rgma		GLITE	org.glite.rgma.log4i
ABOUT GLITE	org.glite.security		0 1	org.glite.rgma.server.servlet
EGEE JRA1	org.glite.service-discovery		▼ABOUT GLITE	org.glite.rgma.services
EGEE JRA3	org.glite.wms		EGEE JRA1	org.glite.rgma.servicetool
EGEE JRA4	org.glite.wms-ui		EGEE JRA3	org.glite.rgma.stubs-servlet-java
SOFTWARE LICENSE			EGEE JRA4	
ABOUT EGEE			ABOUT EGEE	
INTRODUCTION			INTRODUCTION	
				-
	All Classes Package Class Use Tree Deprecated Index	Help		
	ColumnDefinition PREV PACKAGE NEXT PACKAGE	FRAMES NO FRAMES		
	Consumer ConsumerFactory			
	Create TableStatement Package org.glite.rgma			
	Index This package contains the user & DI for R. GMA a relation	al implementation of the Grid Monitoring Architecture from GGF		

II Classes	Package Class Us	e Tree Deprecated Index Help	
olumnDefinition	PREV PACKAGE FRAMES NO FRAMES		
ColumnDefinitionList			
ConsumerFactory			
createTableStatement	Package org.g	lite.rgma	
ndpoint			
ndex ist	This package contains the user API for R-GMA, a relational implementation of the Grid Monitoring Architecture from GGF.		
OnDemandProducer			
rimaryProducer	See:		
roducerFactory	Description		
ProducerProperties			
ProducerTableEntryList	Interface Sum	mary	
ProducerType	Commun	A stract uses a Community rations data from one or more and durant	
ueryProperties	Constaner	A cuent uses a Consumer to retrieve data from one or more producers.	
CGMAException	ConsumerFactory	A factory to create Consumers.	
GMASecurityException	OnDemandProducer	A client uses an OnDemandProducer to publish data into R-GMA when the cost of creating each me	
RGMAWarning	PrimaryProducer	A client uses a PrimaryProducer to publish information into R-GMA.	
Registry RegistryFactory	ProducerFactory	A factory for Primary, Secondary and OnDemand Producers.	
RemoteException	Registry	Admin AFI for the Registry.	
ResourceEndpoint	RegistryFactory	Factory to create RegistryAdmin instances.	
ResourceEndpointList ResultSet	Resource	An object managed by a Web Service that an API can interact with.	
ResultSetMetaData	ResultSet	A set of tuples, modelled on the java sql.ResultSet and providing a subset of its functionality.	
SchemaFactory	ResultSetMetaData	Column and table details for a ResultSet, modelled on java sql ResultSetMetaData.	
SecondaryProducer	Schema	Admin API for the Schema.	
torage tringlist	SchemaFactory	Factory to create SchemaAdmin instances.	
ableAuthorization	SecondaryProducer	A client uses a secondary producer to republish or store information from other producers.	
imeinterval	Service	Provides methods common to all services.	
upleStore ist	TupleStore	Details of a named tuple store.	
Vpes			
Inita	2		
	4	1	
Done		🙂 Interi	

Total Physical Source Lines of Code (SLOC)

• SLOC = 955,825 (as of 21 November 2005)

Total SLOC by language (dominant language first)

•	Java	285271 (29.85%)
	C++	266828 (27.92%)
	Ansi C	209326 (21.90%)
	Perl	75386 (7.89%)
	sh	70904 (7.42%)
	Python	43459 (4.55%)

- Total complete builds: 641 (all 1.x branches), 236 (HEAD)
- Number of subsystems: 21
- Number of CVS modules: 454
- **Pre-Release Defects/KSLOC = 2.75**
- Post-Release Defects/KSLOC = 1.10

Code Size and Stability

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

Code Size (SLOC)

The Code Stability chart shows the change rate of code size during the life of the project. As the project nears completion the rate should approach 0 The Code Size chart shows the changes in total number of SLOCs during the life of the project

Code Stability (dSLOC/dt)

Open and Closed Bugs

Open (1076 - 40.83%) Closed (1559 - 59.17%)

gnt (c) 2004 EGEE

Bugs Status

Fixed (1095 - 41.56%)
Ready for Test (358 - 13.59%)
Invalid (211 - 8.01%)
None (193 - 7.32%)
Duplicate (159 - 6.03%)
Accepted (142 - 5.39%)
Ready for Integration (139 - 5.28%)
Remind (123 - 4.67%)
Wont Fix (67 - 2.54%)
In progress (60 - 2.28%)
Integration Candidate (50 - 1.9%)
Unreproducible (28 - 1.06%)
Ready for Review (10 - 0.38%)

Software Defects Statistics

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

Bugs Severity

Copyright (c) 2004 EGEE

Bugs Type

Execution error (917 - 34.8%)
 Configuration error (479 - 18.18%)
 Documentation error (285 - 10.82%)
 Installation error (242 - 9.18%)
 Crash error (197 - 7.48%)
 Design error (184 - 6.98%)
 Enhancement Request (143 - 5.43%)
 Build error (140 - 5.31%)
 Processes and tools error (48 - 1.82%)

Copyright (c) 2004 EGEE

Defects Trends (I)

The Rayleigh Defect Prediction Model

Open Bugs (Total) 1500 1350 gLite 1.4.1 gLite 1.2/1.3 1200 1050 gLite 1.0 900 750 600 450 300 150 0 27/06/2004 16/12/2004 12/03/2005 06/06/2005 02/04/2004 21/09/2004 31/08/2005 Copyright (c) 2004 EGEE

The Rayleigh Defect Prediction Model applied to gLite

Defects Trends (III)

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

Open Bugs (Configuration)

Copyright (c) 2004 EGEE

Open Bugs (WMS)

Open Bugs (Data Management)

Copyright (c) 2004 EGEE

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

http://www.glite.org

http://cern.ch/egee-jra1