

Accounting in LCG/EGEE Can We Gauge Grid Usage via RBs?



APEL in LCG/EGEE



- Overview
- Accounting in LCG/EGEE
- Data Consolidation
- Determining Grid Usage at the RB Level.

APEL, Job Accounting Flow Diagram

CGCC Enabling Grids for E-science in Europe

[1] Build Job Accounting Records at site.

[2] Send Job Records to a central repository

[3] Data Aggregation



Accounting for Grid Jobs

Build Job Records at Site

 APEL mapping grid users to the resource usage on local farms

How Grid Users are Mapped to Resource Usage on Local Farm

User time = 1.58 sec /C=some_country/OU=some_unit/CN=some_user System time = 1.45 sec Total_cpu_time = 3.03 sec GridUser DN Resources Used GateKeeper log LSF lsb.acct log Gram_Script_Job_ID Batch Job ID \Box Gram_Script_Job_ID = 1089012591:lcglsf:internal_2760748699:10728.1089012589 Ч. GramScriptJobID → Batch JobID mappings iobID = 551009Messages log







127 Sites publishing data (Oct 10 2005)

3.9 Million Job records

~ 100K records per week (period June – Oct 2005)

Batch Support in APEL

CGCC Enabling Grids for E-science in Europe

Currently Available in LCG 2.6

- OpenPBS, Torque, PBSPro and Vanilla PBS
 - ~90% Sites in LCG/EGEE
- Load Share Facility (Versions 5 and 6)
 - CERN, Italy

Work in Progress (LCG 2.7)

- Condor
 - Canada, Cambridge
- Sun Grid Engine
 - Imperial College

Demos of Accounting Aggregation

Enabling Grids for E-science in Europe

Global views of resource consumption.

- LCG View
- http://goc.grid-support.ac.uk/gridsite/accounting/tree/treeview.php
 - Shows Aggregation for each LHC VO
 - Requirements driven by CRRB / Kors Bos
 - Tier-1 and Country entry points
 - All data normalised in units of 1000 . SI2000 . Hour
 - Tabular Summaries per Tier1/ Country
- GridPP View
- http://goc.grid-support.ac.uk/gridsite/accounting/tree/gridppview.php
 - Shows Aggregation for Rocs and Organisations
- CESGA View
- http://www.egee.cesga.es/EGEE-SA1-SWE/accounting/reports/
 - Prototype for EGEE View of resource consumption?

LHC View: Data Aggregation For VOs per Tier1, per Country



Organisation Structures



Aggregation of Data for GridPP Community

4306 109446 0

0

32057

0

0

0

0

LCG2

UCL-CCC

UCL-HEP



🖃 📹 GridPP

sh

🗄 🛃 LondonT2

🗄 🚄 ScotGrid 🗶 Durham

🖃 📹 SouthGrid

2 QMUL-eScience **RHUL-LCG2** LUCL-CCC 🗶 UCL-HEP 🗄 📹 NorthGrid

GridPP UK Computing for Particle Physics	CPU Quantity - NormalisedCPUTime Refresh	•	21 	tart yea DO5 👻	ar - mo 1	onth	- E	nd yea 005 💌	ır- E -[nd ma 9 💌	onth				
	Region Site	alice	Norm: atlas	alised V babar	Vall Clo	ck T	ime [un fl. cms	its 1K. dteam	SI2K.H	burs]	ilc	lhch	nheno	sivi	70116
ridPP	RHAM LCC2	10	70	767	0000	000	1044	277	0	2224	0	10001	o	0	o Zeus
LondonT2	BITLab LCG	0	79 50	767 0	9009 N	0	61	577 642	0	ZZZ4	0	277	0	0	0
🖉 BITLab-LCG 🖊 IC-LCG2	BRISTOL-PP- LCG	0	12	0	0	0	2	8	0	0	0	83	0	0	0
QMUL-eScience	CAVENDISH- LCG2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
RHUL-LCG2	Durham	0	42	0	0	0	14	40	0	0	0	2458	0	0	0
L NCL-CCC	hp-bristol	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
nter 🖉 🖉	IC-LCG2	20	4071	0	7	0	2131	1246	0	0	0	17406	0	2	72
NorthGrid	Lancs-LCG2	0	29051	282	24010	0	9047	379	0	0	0	199361	0	0	0
🗶 Lancs-LCG2	LivHEP-LCG2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
LivHEP-LCG2	ManHEP-LCG2	2	248	5442	9046	0	18	124	823	0	0	876	0	0	0
AnnHEP-LCG2	LCG2	0	18126	851	22171	0	4813	1257	0	0	0	35166	0	0	9088
SHEFFIELD-LCG2	QMUL- eScience	2	71411	251	0	0	1095	229	0	0	0	320123	0	0	0
	RAL-LCG2	15174	1047016	105366	50553	0	26403	1990	72793	30934	0	510105	0	0	138532
	RALPP-LCG	6646	26146	17637	5873	0	10751	460	168	9302	0	35677	0	0	11824
ScotGRID-Edinburgh	RHUL-LCG2	1137	8219	0	0	0	11594	281	0	0	68	105909	0	0	0
🖉 scotgrid-gla	ScotGRID- Edinburgh	0	662	158	620	0	196	81	0	0	0	1107	0	0	0
SouthGrid	scotarid-ala	0	1436	0	11382	0	9	18	0	0	0	23	0	0	1154
EHAM-LCG2	SHEFFIELD-	4200	100440	0	0	0	11000	100	0	0	0	1000		0	0

0

0

0

STOR

CAVENDISH-LCG2

2 OXFORD-01-LCG2

ALPP-LCG

🗄 式 Tier1A **RAL-LCG2**

Summarv alice atlas babar biomed cdf cms dteam dzero hone ilc lhcb pheno sixt zeus Summed Usage 27303 1348072 130754 133471 0 102609 7475 73784 42460 68 1276649 0 2 170097 As A Percentage 0.8% 40.7% 3.9% 4% 0% 3.1% 0.2% 2.2% 1.3% 0% 38.5% 0% 0% 5.1%

0

0

0 0

11093 182

24141 161

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0 1068

36319

0

0 0

0 0

0

0 0

9427

0

0

0

Aggregation of Data for Tier2

	Normalised Wall Clock Time [units 1K.SI2K.Hours]								
Region	Site	alice	atlas	babar	biomed	cms	dteam	dzero	lhcb
	Lancs-LCG2	0	29051	282	24010	9047	379	0	199361
	LivHEP-LCG2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ManHEP-LCG2	2	248	5442	9046	18	124	823	876
	SHEFFIELD-LCG2	4306	109446	0	0	11093	182	0	1068

Summary	alice	atlas	babar	biomed	cms	dteam	dzero	lhcb
Summed Usage	4308	138745	5724	33056	20158	685	823	201305
As A Percentage	1.1%	34.3%	1.4%	8.2%	5%	0.2%	0.2%	49.7%

Click here for a csv dump of this table





Data Aggregation at Site Level

VO	Njobs	CPU(Hours)	CPU (SI2K.Hours)	WCT(Hours)	WCT (SI2K.Hours)	RecordStart	RecordEnd
alice	1	0	0	0	0	2005-06-20	2005-06-20
alice	1	0	0	0	0	2005-08-31	2005-08-31
atlas	2	0	0	0	0	2005-04-28	2005-04-29
atlas	12	0	0	0	0	2005-05-17	2005-05-26
atlas	805	1	1	25258	29046	2005-06-01	2005-06-27
atlas	129	0	0	3	4	2005-07-11	2005-07-28
atlas	34	0	0	1	1	2005-08-10	2005-08-23
babar	51	243	280	245	282	2005-08-10	2005-08-19
biomed	906	9148	10521	9333	10733	2005-07-13	2005-07-29
biomed	782	11482	13204	11545	13277	2005-08-10	2005-08-29
cms	4	0	0	7	8	2005-06-01	2005-06-24
cms	210	4265	4905	4449	5116	2005-07-12	2005-07-14
cms	103	3331	3831	3411	3923	2005-08-11	2005-08-21
dteam	525	0	0	15	17	2005-04-18	2005-04-29
dteam	1250	1	2	108	125	2005-05-02	2005-05-31
dteam	798	1	1	167	192	2005-06-01	2005-06-26
dteam	173	0	0	21	24	2005-07-11	2005-07-29
dteam	472	1	1	18	21	2005-08-10	2005-08-31
dteam	17	0	0	0	0	2005-09-01	2005-09-01
dzero	1	0	0	0	0	2005-07-28	2005-07-28
dzero	2	0	0	0	0	2005-08-31	2005-08-31
lhcb	1174	3	4	283	325	2005-04-18	2005-04-29
lhcb	7663	77798	89468	85123	97891	2005-05-02	2005-05-31
lhcb	3317	36253	41691	36959	42503	2005-06-01	2005-06-26
lhcb	147	4	5	68	78	2005-07-11	2005-07-29
lhcb	3236	49698	57152	50847	58474	2005-08-10	2005-08-31
lhcb	6	77	88	78	90	2005-09-01	2005-09-01

Breakdown of data per Vo per month showing Njobs, CPUt, WCT, record history



Total CPU Usage per VO



Gantt Chart NB: Gaps across all VOs consistent with scheduled downdowns in GocDB

http://www.egee.cesga.es/EGEE-SA1-SWE/accounting/reports/

SWE Total number of jobs run by VO and DATE



January 2005 - October 2005

	Jan 05	Feb 05	Mar 05	Apr 05	May 05	Jun 05	Jul 05	Aug 05	Sep 05	Oct 05	Total
alice	0	0	0	0	0	124	0	1	0	0	125
atlas	2,535	6,500	24,790	45,567	36,280	26,109	27,462	34,064	19,355	13,719	236,384
biomed	177	713	4,794	12,675	9,870	1,715	1,269	3,579	1,223	679	36,694
biomedsgm	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
bmed	0	0	0	0	11	2,308	2,932	1,711	571	296	7,829
Total	2,713	7,213	29,584	58,242	46,161	30,256	31,663	39,355	21,149	14,694	281,030





Number of jobs
▶ СРИ ТІМЕ
► ELAPSED TIME
▶ MEMORY
CUSTOM
▶ REPORTS
▶USERS

Provides reporting for the SWE federation.

Protected area for users to get usage at dn level.

Determining Usage of the Grid



- How many different ways are there to submit a job on the grid?
- Broadly speaking, two categories: Indirect and Direct
- INDIRECT: using a resource broker and a JDL
 - Example: via a known "core" RB for SFT Monitoring Jobs
 - Or via a private RB to target national resources
- DIRECT: globus-job-run by specifying the target resource
 - Example: Atlas production run earlier in 2005
 - which required 1 hr cpu time, but took 7 seconds to submit via a RB. Thus, the RBs were a bottleneck.
 - CPU Usage per site for this run available from the Atlas production database.
 - Significant usage via this path: 50% Rome LCG Production

Determining Usage of the Grid

Enabling Grids for E-science in Europe



Comparing Numbers: APEL vs JRA2



- Can RB L&B be used to gauge use of the grid?
 - Not all RBs have RGMA publishers installed
 - RBs are not the only gateway to grid resoucres
- Sum over RBs to find total usage for each site and compare with the numbers at the end point.
- Example: SWE (PIC) Tier-1 that has deployed APEL (complete dataset).

PERIOD	Total (Njobs)	ATLAS	CMS	DTEAM	LHCB	BIOMED
FEB 2005	LB = 20 APEL = 8922	886	2455	4076	1505	0
AUG 2005	LB = 4327 APEL = 14233	998	4294	3352	3878	1711

Service Metrics for RB



- Rather than gauge grid usage on a site or VO basis, why not try it on a job by job basis?
- Metric WG wants to monitor availability of RBs using test jobs that have a know finite WCT on the target resoucre.
- Compare APEL information with L&B information for these test jobs.



DK2	Data Flow Diagram
	A graphical means of presenting, describing or analyzing a process.
	Dave Kant, 3/28/2004

RB L&B Data



- RGMA query of JobStatusRaw table which holds L&B data
- Record States of RB for the specified Job
 - Submitted
 - Waiting
 - Ready
 - Scheduled
 - Running
 - Done
 - Cleared
- Correlate time in running state with the APEL WCT

Alternative Approaches



- Compare on a job-by-job basis via the WMS job ID
 - DGAS APEL integration
 - APEL support for Condor
- Any other ways?