

Enabling Grids for E-sciencE

TNLC meeting

Appraisal of the TNLC work SA2 activity

4th EGEE conference, Pisa – 2005-10-25





www.eu-egee.org

INFSO-RI-508833





- The purpose of the committee is to provide an efficient place to deal with "practical" issues of interface between NRENs and EGEE.
 - Formalize the relationship.

Memorandum of Understanding.

- Between the EU EGEE and GEANT 2 projects.
- Purpose
 - GEANT 2 will provide a high bandwith network.
 - EGEE requires network services between its consortium members.

Ultimate goal is to provide services from GN2 to EGEE.

Both projects have a common interest in a close cooperation in order to achieve their respectives objectives.



Terms of reference

- SLAs
- To approved the SLSs which will be delivered by GEANT and NRENs.
- To present, disseminate and discuss proposed SLAs.
- To approve SLA monitoring procedures.
- To receive and assess reports network performance against the SLAs.

Network services

- To agree recommendations for deployment of network services.
- To receive reports on the proposed network services.
- To receive requests from the EGEE team for new features.

Operational interface

- To present formal EGEE requirements and use cases to GEANT/NRENs
- To present EGEE traffic usage monitoring for assessment of the network usage. The TNLC should use these reports for decisions and futures recommendations.

Meetings

• CERN (June 2004)

- Network requirements;
- Operational interface (NOC, TTS).

• Den Haag (November 2004)

- Result of the Net Overview in Europe;
- Dialogue NRENs/Tier1;
- EGEE requirements;
- EGEE Classes of Services, Aggregation model;
- Presentation of the architecture for BAR;
- Presentation of the NPM architecture.

Athens (April 2005)

- Networking LHC considerations;
- Institution of SLAs;
- Network Operational Interface between GEANT/NRENs and EGEE;
- Network resource provisionning architecture;
- BAR deployment issues.

• Pisa (October 2005)

- GEANT 2 status;
- Operational Interface (trial);
- SLA establishment.

Comments



- Positive points
 - Relevance of broached topics;
 - Information exchanges.
- But some issues
 - More topics from EGEE;
 - Lack of advice from the NREN community on the EGEE proposals
 - i.e. SLA, operational interface
 - Lack in relaying the information to the NRENs
 - i.e. questionnaire on Premium IP resources : dedicated, on demand.



To improve

• To link the project and NREN Consortium

• Terms of reference

- Process for technical decision making;
- Process for agreement reaching;
- Process for information dissemination.
- Organisation
 - NRENs representatives
 - For the whole NREN community
 - Meeting frequency;
 - Face to face, visio-conference ?
 - Meeting agenda and papers;
 - Minutes.