

Incontri di Fisica delle Alte Energie

Catania, 31 marzo 2005 1

Outline

- Highlights on Bs mixing
- CDF detector and triggers
- B_s mixing analysis:

 -B_s signals
 -Lifetime measurement
 -Flavour tagging calibration
 - –**B_d** mixing
 - –Amplitude scan for Δm_s
- Preliminary CDF result

• Future prospects

B⁰ meson flavour oscillations

Flavour oscillations occur through 2nd order weak interactions

$$\Delta m_{q} = \frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{W}^{2} \eta S(m_{t}^{2} / m_{W}^{2})}{6\pi^{2}} m_{Bq} f_{Bq}^{2} B_{Bq} \left| V_{tq}^{*} V_{tb} \right|^{2}$$

∆m_d (exp.)= 0.510<u>+</u>0.005 ps⁻¹ (*HFAG 2005*)

 $f_{Bd}^2B_{Bd} = (223 \pm 33 \pm 12) \text{ MeV}$

 $f_{Bs}^{2}B_{Bs}^{2} = (276 \pm 38) \text{ MeV}$

+ $|V_{td}|$ determined at ~15%



Measuring $\Delta m_s / \Delta m_d$ determines $|V_{ts}| / |V_{td}|$ at 5% precision

But in the ratio uncertainties cancels:

$$\frac{\Delta m_s}{\Delta m_d} = \frac{m_{Bs}}{m_{Bd}} \frac{f_{Bs}^2 B_{Bs}}{f_{Bd}^2 B_{Bd}} \frac{|V_{ts}|^2}{|V_{td}|^2} = \frac{m_{Bs}}{m_{Bd}} \frac{\xi^2}{|V_{ts}|^2}$$

$$\xi = 1.24 \pm 0.04 \pm 0.$$

Lattice-QCD:

CKM Unitarity Triangle

In **SM**, the CKM unitary matrix describes the weak decays of quarks, <u>CPV allowed through phase η </u>

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{ud} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + O(\lambda^4)$$

Unitarity relations:

$$V_{ud}V_{ub}^{*} + V_{cd}V_{cb}^{*} + V_{td}V_{tb}^{*} = 0$$

are represented as U.T. (area α CPV):



$$\left|\frac{V_{td}V_{tb}^{*}}{V_{cd}V_{cb}^{*}}\right| = \frac{\left|V_{td}\right|}{\left|V_{ts}\right|} \times \frac{1}{\left|V_{cd}\right|}$$

$$(\text{ since } |V_{cb}| = |V_{ts}| = A\lambda^2)$$

Measurement of Bs mixing frequency Δm_s gives a precise determination of one side of UT

Sandro De Cecco - INFN Roma

Experimental status on Δm_s



Δm_{s} constraint to U.T.



SM CKM-fit prediction for ∆m_s



The Collider Detector at Fermilab



The Tevatron pp collider

Superconducting proton-synchrotron: $36p \times 36p$ bunches, crossing each 396 ns



@CDF : 240-360 pb-1 used for B physics lost ~ 100 pb-1 due to COT crisis

*B Physics at p**p**collider*



BUT: σ (bb) << σ (pp) (~65 mb) \Rightarrow B events have to be selected with specific triggers Trigger requirements: large bandwidth, background suppression, small dead-time

The CDF II detector



B physics triggers at CDF II





SiliconVertexTracker: the hadronic B trigger



Searching for B_s mixing @ CDF



B_s time evolution



Significance of B⁰_s mixing measurement



Analysis Strategy

Two different B_s signatures:

Hadronic B_s signals

Hadronic B_s signals (2)

Calibration B⁰ and B⁺ hadronic signals

Semileptonic B_s Signals

$$B_s^0 \to D_s^- l^+ \nu X(D_s^- \to \phi \pi^-)$$

Sandro De Cecco - INFN Roma

Semileptonic B_s Signals (2)

 $B^0_{s} \rightarrow D^-_{s}l^+\nu(D^-_{s} \rightarrow K^{*0}K^-)$

 $B^0_{\rm s} \rightarrow D^-_{\rm s} l^+ \nu(D^-_{\rm s} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-)$

Semileptonic B⁰ and B⁺ Signals

Signal yields summary

Lifetime bias from SVT trigger

Efficiency as a function of decay time is obtained using **M**onte**C**arlo:

- B production (B p-spectrum from data), decay model (EvtGen)
- full simulation of Detector & Trigger reproducing run-by-run conditions (alignments, beam line, ...)
- •<u>Check:</u> emulate SVT sculpting on $B^+ \rightarrow J/\Psi K^+$ unbiased sample

Lifetime in the hadronic B_s modes

Lifetime in the B⁰ and B⁺ hadronic modes

Hadronic B-lifetimes results

 \pm (stat) \pm (syst)

 $\begin{aligned} \tau({\rm B^+}) &= 1.66 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.01 \text{ ps} \\ \tau({\rm B^0}) &= 1.51 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01 \text{ ps} \\ \tau({\rm B_s}) &= 1.60 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.02 \text{ ps} \end{aligned}$

 $\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.10 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.01$ $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B^0) = 1.06 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01$

Systematic summary

Effect	Variation (μm)	Variation (μm)
	B^0	B_s
MC input $c\tau$	negligible	negligible
p_T reweight	1.9	1.9
Scale Factor	negligible	negligible
Bkg ct description	1.1	1.1
Bkg fraction	2.0	2.0
I.P. correlation	1.0	1.0
Eff. parameterization	1.5	1.5
L_{xy} significance	negligible	2
$\Delta \Gamma_s$	-	1.0
Alignm. + others	2.4	2.4
Total	4.2	4.7

Average lifetimes (exp.):

$$\begin{split} \tau({\rm B^+}) &= \textbf{1.653} \pm \textbf{0.014 ps} \\ \tau({\rm B^0}) &= \textbf{1.534} \pm \textbf{0.013 ps} \\ \tau({\rm B_s}) &= \textbf{1.469} \pm \textbf{0.059 ps} \end{split}$$

Theory prediction:

$$\tau(B^+)/\tau(B^0) = 1.06 \pm 0.02$$

 $\tau(B_s)/\tau(B^0) = 1.00 \pm 0.01$

Lifetime in the semileptonic B_s modes

Perform an unbinned Likelihood fit:

•D_s meson mass, pseudo decay time, pseudo-decay time resolution

•Integration over K-factor p.d.f.

-Combinatorial background from $\mathsf{D}_{\mathbf{s}}$ sidebands

Lifetime in the semileptonic B_s modes

B_s decay time Resolution

Time resolution effect on mixing

 $(\Delta m \cdot \sigma_t)^2$

2

The <u>amplitude of mixing asymmetry is diluted</u> by a factor: D_{σ} = e

Flavour tagging

NOT yet used

<u>Opposite Side K</u>: due to $b \rightarrow c \rightarrow s$ it is more likely that a B^0 meson will contain in final state a K^+ than a K^- . (\rightarrow PID)

 \rightarrow search for **K** from secondary opposite vtx

<u>SS Pion</u>: B_d^0 is likely to be accompanied close in DR by a π^+ from fragmentation <u>SS Kaon</u>: for B_s^0 is likely to be accompanied close in DR by a K+ (\rightarrow PID)

 \rightarrow search for π/K from Primary vertex

Calibrating the taggers

•Statistical uncertainty for tagging efficiency:

- –A typical tagging: ϵ =0.1,D=0.4, ϵ D²=1.6%
- -**1000** events: εD² =1.6<u>+</u>0.7% (44%)
- -100K events: cD²=1.60<u>+</u>0.07% (4.4%)

•Dividing events into classes based on tagging power improves εD²

 $\langle D^2(x) \rangle > \langle D(x) \rangle^2$

- → Binned Dilution: needs statistics
 •Solution: Lepton + Displaced track trigger
- ~1.4 M sample rich in semileptonic B
- High B purity
- Lepton Charge = Decay flavor of B

Soft lepton tagging

• The soft electron and muon tagger are built in a Likelihood based aproach

•Dilution is binned as a function of the lepton transverse momentum wrt the B jet direction in the opposite hemisphere

IFAE Catania 31-03-2005

Flavor Tagging Summary

•Measure the 5 taggers effective Dilutions in the ℓ + track calibration sample:

 \rightarrow Predict εD^2 event by event

 \rightarrow Test in Δm_{d} measurement \rightarrow

Tag type	εD² (%)
Muon	(0.70±0.04)%
Electron	(0.37±0.03)%
2ndary vtx	(0.36±0.02)%
Displaced track	(0.36±0.03)%
Highest p jet	(0.15±0.01)%
Total (exclusive)	~1.6%

B⁰ mixing in the hadronic channels

- Validation of the flavor tag calibration using B^0 and B^+ sample
- $B^0 \rightarrow D\pi, B^+ \rightarrow D^0\pi$
- $\ B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^{*0}, \ B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K$
- Event by event predicted dilution D from the flavor tag calibration
 - $B^0: e^{-t/\tau} (1 \pm S \cdot D \cdot \cos(\Delta m_d t))$

 $B^+:e^{-t/\tau}(1\pm S\cdot D)$

- Fit the "Dilution scale factor" S
- =1 if the tag calibration is correct.
- 5 scale factors for 5 tag types

 \rightarrow Scale factors are then used for <u>**B**</u>_s<u>mixing</u> analysis in the hadronic

B⁰ mixing in the semileptonic channels

– Measure Δm_d

Extract 5 dilution scale factors

 \rightarrow The dilution scale factors are used for semileptonic <u>**B**</u>_s mixing</u> analysis

B⁰ mixing results

	HADRONIC	SEMILEPTONIC
Δm _d	(0.503±0.063±0.015) ps ⁻¹	(0.498±0.028±0.015) ps ⁻¹
Total εD ²	(1.12±0.23)%	(1.43±0.09)%
Dilution scale S		
Muon	0.83±0.10±0.03	0.93±0.04±0.03
Electron	0.79±0.14±0.04	0.98±0.06±0.03
Vertex	0.78±0.19±0.05	0.97±0.06±0.04
Track	0.76±0.21±0.03	0.90±0.08±0.05
Jets	1.35±0.26±0.02	1.08±0.09±0.09

- Δm_d consistent with WA: 0.510±0.005 ps⁻¹
- Total εD²: 1.1—1.4%
- All dilution scale factors consistent with 1
- Hadronic: 15~25% uncertainty
- Semileptonic: 5~15% uncertainty

Amplitude scan method, ex.: the B^o case

2

Amplitude

CDF Run II Preliminary

data \pm 1.645 σ (stat. only)

--- 1.645 σ

- data $\pm 1\sigma$ \blacktriangle 95% CL limit 0.4 ps⁻¹

o sensitivity

10

27.5 ps⁻¹

20

≈ 355 nh

 $L \approx 355 \text{ pb}^{-1}$

 $\Delta m_{d} [ps^{-1}]$

Hadronic B⁰

•Standard cosine fit not very sensitive for high Δm (i.e. the B_s case) •Method: Introduce "Amplitude" A in Likelihood:

$$L_{sig}^{t} = \frac{1}{\tau} e^{-t/\tau} (1 \pm \mathbf{A} D \cdot S \cdot \cos(\Delta m t))$$

- Fit the amplitude **A** fixing $\Delta \mathbf{m}$
 - \rightarrow Amplitude: A, uncertainty: σ_{A}

Δm_s amplitude scan road map

<u>"Blind Analysis":</u>

- Scrambling flavor tag decision $\leftarrow \rightarrow$ multiply the tag decision x (-1)^{Run Number}
- Perform the blind amplitude scan to the Bs candidates:
- Amplitude A is randomized in the blind scan but: σ_A is not biased
- Evaluate sensitivity \rightarrow exclude Δm_s range where (1-A)>1.645• σ_A (95% C.L.)
- <u>Systematic uncertainty</u>
- Following the prescription by Moser, et.al. (NIM A 384 491)
- We use toy Monte-Carlo sample generated at each value of Δm_s in the amplitude scan
- Toy MC includes all variables and distributions used in Likelihood
- -Take shifts in amplitude (ΔA) and statistical uncertainty ($\Delta \sigma_A$).
- Derive systematic using formula

$$\sigma_A^{syst} = \Delta A + (1 - A) \frac{\Delta \sigma_A}{\sigma_A}$$

- Open the box after:
- Sensitivity estimation
- Systematic evaluation

Systematic Uncertainties

Systematic errors are negligible with respect to statistical in both cases

Amplitude Scan result (semileptonic)

Amplitude Scan result (hadronic)

CDF Combined Result

CDF+World Combined Result

- World Average (LEP, SLD, CDF run I)
- Sensitivity: 18.2 ps⁻¹
- Limit: 14.5 ps⁻¹

- World Average + CDF Run II
- Sensitivity: 18.6 ps⁻¹
- Limit **14.5 ps**-1

Future perspectives

With the same data:

- Add new tagging algorithm Same Side Kaon Tag
- Add more channels
- Add signals from other triggers
- Improve decay time resolution with PV event by event

With new data:

- Increased Luminosity
- Use new trigger strategies

•2 SVT Tracks + tagging muon at trigger level (already in place since summer 2004)

Other channels, example

$$B_s^0 \rightarrow D_s^- \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+$$

- •133±23 Bs candidates
- •Already used for lifetime
- •But not for mixing

Same side Kaon tagging

Exploits the charge correlation between the b quark and the leading product of b hadronization.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{a} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \end{bmatrix} \pi^{-} \mathbf{K}^{*0}$$

Already used in Δm_d measurement, gives an $\epsilon D^2 = 1.1 \pm 0.4 \%$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} & \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} & \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{d} \\ \mathbf{s} \end{bmatrix} \pi^{+} \mathbf{K}^{+}$$

 B^{+} case is complicated by the contribution of excited B_{d} and B_{s} states

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b} \\ \mathbf{c} \\ \mathbf{c}$$

SS Kaon tag possible with PID "Simple case": so excited states expected Issues:

•Need to know εD^2 to set a limit on Δm_d

•Underlying event backgound

Is MC describing the data?

One possible way to solve the issue of having a prediction for the SSKT dilution is to extract it from MC.

 \rightarrow Compare <u>DATA</u> with <u>Pythia</u> b-antib production and hadronization with all the processes on, underlying event "tune A" from HF x-sec. CDF data.

Look at the charged tracks in a cone of $\Delta R=0.7$ around the B_s (no PID)

First order good agreement

MC-data comparison with PID

Short term realistic scenario

•Start to "eat" interesting Δm_s range combining the 2 analysis

BACKUP slides

Systematics Summary Table (Hadronic)

source	selected Δm_s scan points				
	0.0	5.0	10.0	15.0	20.0
$B_s \to D_s K$ level	0.019	0.024	0.030	0.037	0.047
dilution scale factors	0.143	0.168	0.205	0.254	0.314
dilution templates	0.119	0.147	0.178	0.211	0.246
fraction of Λ_b	0.014	0.009	0.009	0.011	0.012
Punzi term for σ_{ct}	0.009	0.008	0.022	0.033	0.030
dilution of $B \to DX$	0.025	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.001
σ_{ct} scale factor	0.000	0.024	0.061	0.090	0.144
usage of L00 in bias curve	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bs lifetime uncertainty	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
reweighted p_t spectrum	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001
non-Gaussian tails in ct resol.	0.001	0.027	0.052	0.078	0.104
neglect B^0 in fit	0.039	0.036	0.033	0.031	0.028
effect of $\Delta\Gamma/\Gamma = 0.2$	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028	0.028
Total systematic	0.195	0.232	0.289	0.357	0.443
Statistical	0.393	1.129	1.010	2.652	5.281

Systematics Summary Table (Semileptonic)

Source	selectex Δm_s scan points				
	0.0	5.0	10.0	5.0	20.0
Prompt background fraction	0.044	0.065	0.102	0.145	0.143
Prompt background dilution	0.014	0.040	0.027	0.062	0.157
Prompt background shape	0.015	0.010	0.019	0.054	0.057
Physics background fraction	0.134	0.078	0.093	0.096	0.103
Sample composition	0.002	0.015	0.022	0.021	0.039
Dilution scale factors	0.061	0.071	0.068	0.070	0.069
σ_{ct^*} scale factor	0.002	0.012	0.033	0.047	0.065
SVT bias curve	0.002	0.001	0.005	0.005	0.012
Primary vertex	0.007	0.003	0.003	0.005	0.007
B_s lifetime	0.001	0.011	0.014	0.020	0.026
non-Gaussian tails in ct resol.	0.005	0.047	0.049	0.052	0.078
effect of $\Delta\Gamma/\Gamma=0.2$	0.012	0.005	0.005	0.005	0.009
Total Systematics	0.156	0.142	0.167	0.220	0.273
Statistical	0.159	0.406	0.856	1.654	3.364

Decay Time Resolution

Decay vertex error matrix overall correction for mis-knowledge of hit resolution

 \rightarrow Apply a scale factor **S** to $\sigma(ct)$ from vertex fit:

- Use large data control sample, real Ds + track from Primary Vertex
- Parameterize **S** in terms of several variables (P_T , Isolation,...)
- Correct $\sigma(ct)$ ' = S· $\sigma(ct)$ event by event.

B_d mixing with Same Side π tagging

Tevatron plans

RECYCLER had a first successful test

Plans beyond FY 05 depends on Recycler

Year	Base plan luminosity/yr (fb ⁻¹)	Design plan Luminosity/yr (fb ⁻¹)
FY02	0.08	0.08
FY03	0.20	0.22
FY04	0.31	0.38
FY05	0.39	0.67
FY06	0.50	0.89
FY07	0.63	1.53
FY08	1.14	2.37
FY09	1.16	2.42
Total	4.41	8.56

٠

•

Tevatron performances

CDF II tracking system

LAYER 00: 1 layer of radiation-hard silicon at very small radius (1.5 cm)

CDF Particle IDentification

