The Search for Neutral Higgs Bosons at DØ

Andy Haas
Columbia University
DØ/ATLAS Experiments

TeV4LHC @ CERN
Higgs / Landscape
April 29, 2005



Boselab

Supersymmetry to the Rescue?

- Boson and fermion loop integral contributions differ by a factor of (-1)
  - A fermion for every boson: scalar field masses are stabilized
- Nobody works at Boselab... Supersymmetry is slightly broken
- Supersymmetry demands an even number of Higgs doublets
  - A light Higgs is predicted ($m_h < m_Z$ at tree-level)
  - With 2 Higgs doublets, couplings unify at 10^{16} GeV
  - This is the MSSM





Higgseses in Supersymmetry

- Higgs fields come in pairs (H_u and H_d)
- 5 Higgs bosons : h, H, A, H+, H-
- $\tan\beta = H_u / H_d$
  - At $\tan\beta$=~50, coupling of A to b = A to t
  - Cross-sections for bbh grow like $\tan^2\beta$!
- h is predicted to be light, <~135 GeV
  - LEP limits on Higgs mass -- 92 GeV (not the usual 114 GeV like in the SM...)
  - LEP limits are much looser (~50 GeV) if CP-violation is allowed in the Higgs sector... this talk assumes CP-conserving Higgses !
- At high $\tan\beta$ (>~20), the A is degenerate with the h,H:
  cs(A) =~ cs(h/H)
  width(A) =~ width(h/H)
  m(A) =~ m(h/H)
  BR(A→bb)=~BR(h/H→bb) =~ 90%





Higgs Widths

- Higgs bosons get wider, proportional to $\tan^2\beta$
- Widths are modeled with BW shape, weighted by cross-section
- Not a large effect on sensitivity, for reasonable $\tan\beta$ values, after considering kinematic criteria
- See Marine's talk!





Mass-splitting of the Higgses

- What about when all 3 Higgs are nearly degenerate?
  - What matters is the shape and height of the invariant mass peak
- When cross-sections are significant, the mass-splitting is small compared to the detector resolution





Mass splitting, weighted by inverse cross-section difference, of h and H

Signal at NLO in QCD

- There are two ways to calculate the signal production at a ppbar collider:
  - ppbar->bbh (4-flavor scheme)
  - ppbar->bh (5-flavor scheme) : use b-PDF
  - Both methods now agree at NLO
  - Uncertainties from PDF are comparable in size
- D0 has performed a measurement to test the predictions of the b-quark PDF calculations
  - Z+b / Z+j





Z+b is a probe of b-quark PDF ⇒

σ(Z+b) / σ(Z+j) = 0.023 ± 0.005

= 0.018 (CTEQ6 prediction)

(DØ – hep-ex/0410078) - PRL. 94, 161801 (2005).

Monte Carlo Higgs Spectra

- Our Monte Carlo uses Pythia, which is semi-leading-order
- The events have been re-weighted, to mimic the NLO kinematics as closely as possible
- Comparisons of the bh and bbh processes are in good agreement





bh



bbh



Current Detector Data / Efficiency



Data / Triggering

- Custom multi-jet triggers
    - Level 1:
      Three towers with TOT E_T > 5 GeV
    - Level 2:
      Three clusters with E_T > 8 GeV
      and H_T >50 GeV
  - Each triggerlist had new Level 3 criteria, as we improved them to remain within bandwidth limits while retaining signal efficiency

  Three jets with E_T > 15 GeV with two above 25 GeV in |eta|< 3.0
  Jets use 0.5 cone algorithm and
  PV Z position to correct jet E_T and eta
  Require a good L3 PV with |z|<35cm

- 260pb^{-1} of good data
  - 87.5 Million events



Trigger efficiencies measured
using data:
> mu-based unbiased trigger for L1/L2
> special run for L3 study

68-80% efficient for m_A of 90-150 GeV

Tracking Systems



Track Reconstruction

- Efficiency for p_T>1GeV is about 95% in the central region, 85% for the forward
- Impact parameter resolution is ~20 microns
- Will continue to improve with better alignment and understanding of material





An axial view of a 3-jet event in data, with reconstructed clusters and tracks

Primary Vertex Reconstruction

- Common locations of tracks along the beam-line are clustered into "primary vertices"
- There can be more than one interaction in a crossing
  - Very unlikely to have more than one interesting event in a single crossing
  - About 0.4 interactions per crossing on average for this data set - depends on instantaneous luminosity
- The interesting vertex is selected based on the momenta of the vertices' tracks
- This "hard-scatter" primary vertex is used to calculate jet E_T





b-Jet Identification

- Identify jets containing bottom hadrons
  - main weapon again the huge QCD background
  - b-hadrons travel ~3 mm before decaying
  - Their mass leads to an opening angle between tracks --- large IP significances
  - Additional large IP significance tracks may arise from daughter decays (charms, tau)
- High-IP-significance tracks are used to find the secondary vertex(es)
  - Jets with a secondary vertex in $\Delta R<0.5$ are “tagged” as b-jets
- Backgrounds consist of:
  - mis-tags: poorly reconstructed tracks which randomly happen to form a vertex
  - long-lived strange / charm decays or photon to e^+e^- conversions
  - “gluon splitting” into nearly collinear charm or bottom pairs



b-Tagging Efficiency

- The b-tagging efficiency is measured in data and compared to simulations
  - Very difficult because no pure b-jet data sample is available
- Use the fact that the p_T^{rel} spectrum of muons is different for b, c, light – jets
- Compare vertex tags to muon tags and extract vertex tagging efficiencies







Muon P_T^{rel} spectra, before/after b-tag

Secondary Vertices in Jets





Z->bb candidate.

Real data!

Analysis Method

- Signal:
  - 3 or more b-tagged jets
  - Invariant mass of leading jets is peaked at m_A
- Backgrounds:
  - Determined from data!
    - Shape estimated from the double b-tagged data sample (taking into account the kinematic bias from requiring a 3rd b-tag)
    - Normalized outside the “signal region”
  - Also modeled in MC as a cross-check
    - “fakes”: all light-quark/gluon jets (measured from data)
    - “heavy flavor”: (ALPGEN) bbj(j), ccj(j), bbcc, cccc, bbbb
    - “other”: tt, Z(→bb)+jets (Pythia)



“Signal window”

Triple b-tagged Background

Full multi-jet data sample → Calculate TRF (E_T & 3 regions of η)

Double b-tagged data sample → Apply TRF to un-b-tagged jets

↓

Triple b-tagged background shape → (Fit outside the signal region to data) → Triple b-tagged background

Tag-rate-function (TRF)



Background is completely determined from data !

Cross-check Using Double b-tagged Data

TRF is iteratively corrected for “heavy-flavor” in the un-b-tagged data sample

Full multi-jet data sample → Calculate TRF (E_T & 3 regions of η)

Full multi-jet data sample → Apply TRF to un-b-tagged jets

↓

Double b-tagged background

Compare

Double b-tagged data sample

Contribution from “heavy-flavor” MC



Good agreement in shape.
Normalization of “heavy-flavor” MC agrees to within 10%.

MC Cross-checks





Monte Carlo accurately predicts many other distributions in the double b-tagged data as well.

Monte Carlo predicts a background shape and normalization in good agreement with the triple b-tagged data.

Systematic Uncertainties

- Signal efficiency uncertainties (total = 21%):
  - b-tagging efficiency (15%)
  - Trigger efficiency (9%)
  - Jet energy scale (8%)
  - Integrated luminosity (6.5%)
  - NLO kinematics (5%)
  - Jet reco/ID efficiency (4%)
  - Jet energy resolution (1%)
- Background shape / normalization uncertainties (total = 3%):
  - TRF shape (2%)
  - Statistics outside signal window (1%)
  - Width of signal window (1%)



MSSM Beyond Tree-Level

- Supersymmetric loop corrections are calculated using "CPSuperH"
  - Production doesn't scale like $\tan^2\beta$... can be faster or slower depending on the supersymmetric model and its parameters
- We set limits on $\tan\beta$ vs. m_A in two of the "benchmark scenarios":
  - no mixing and maximal mixing in the stop-quark sector



$$\sigma \times BR_{SUSY} = 2 \times \sigma_{SM} \times \frac{\tan\beta^2}{(1+\Delta_b)^2} \times \frac{9}{[9+(1+\Delta_b)^2]}$$

Δ_b is a function of various SM/SUSY parameters:

$X_t = A_t - \mu \cot\beta$, μ, M_g, M_q, etc.

MSSM Limits

- Limits set using CL_S method (TLimit)
  - For each m_A, set a cross-section limit at 95% C.L.
  - We got a little lucky
- Cross-section uncertainties are calculated by varying the factorization / renormalization scales, and the PDF sets (CTEQ6)
- The current result excludes much more parameter space than our preliminary result from last year
  - Similar analysis, with more data
  - But, much more carefully done! And:
    - better reconstruction
    - vastly improved theoretical understanding





A Quadruple b-tagged Event







Future of the Analysis

- With no analysis improvements, expect to exclude down to tanβ=40 with 4fb^{-1}
- But we also look forward to a much improved analysis with next year's data set (1fb^{-1})
  - Inclusion of more triggers
  - Better calorimeter and jet energy calibration / resolution
  - Improved b-tagging
  - Use quadruple b-tagged events
  - Neural network?
- Combine with bbH(→$\tau\tau$) ?
- Also keeping an eye on our competitors...
  - Combine with H(→$\tau\tau$), H(→bb) at higher m_H?





Improving the b-tagging

- This analysis is very sensitive to the b-tagging efficiency and the background tagging rate
- One obvious way to improve the b-tagging S/B is to use more information about the secondary vertex(es) in each jet
  - number of tracks on vertex
  - the χ^2
  - the “mass”
  - decay length significance
  - number of secondary vertices
  - angles between secondary vertices
  - … combine into neural network?
- Initial studies show large gains in performance are possible





Improved Expectations

- Use of a NN for b-tagging and addition of silicon “Layer 0” for Run IIb (this fall!)
  - About a 30% improvement in b-tagging efficiency at the same background rate
- Can also decrease the systematic uncertainties by a factor of ~2
  - More statistics for measuring b-tagging efficiency, and better studies
  - Smaller jet energy scale uncertainty
  - More trigger studies



Exclude down to tanB~25 for low m_A

Exclude up to m_A~200 GeV for tanB>~50

Some sensitivity up to m_A~300 GeV for very large tanB

Conclusions

- A search has been completed for neutral Higgs bosons in the MSSM using 260 pb^{-1} of DØ Run II data
  - We understand our triggers, jets, tracking, vertexing, and b-tagging
  - Good agreement between heavy-flavor multijet simulations and data
  - Methods were developed for estimating the triple b-tagged backgrounds
  - Solid theoretical understanding of the bbh production process in the MSSM
  - hep-ex/0504018 : submitted to PRL!
- Now that an initial analysis has been completed, we will focus on improving it
  - Better b-tagging
  - Advanced analysis techniques
  - New triggers / data – 1 fb^{-1} by this summer, ~8 fb^{-1} by 2009!
- We hope to make a discovery, or place impressive limits on tanβ vs. m_A in the next few years, while preparing for the LHC era

Backup slides...

The Final Piece of the Standard Model

- The Higgs boson is needed for the Standard Model to be consistent
- Only one parameter of the Higgs is undetermined: its mass...
  - Precision measurements predict:

    114 GeV < m_h < 211 GeV
- We're looking hard for the SM Higgs at the Tevatron...



More to the Story?

- Hierarchy problem:
  - Why is $m_h << m_{pl}$?
- Hierarchy stability problem:
  - QFT predicts radiative corrections
  - How does m_h stay $<< m_{pl}$?
- Can the gauge couplings unify?
  - Needed for Grand Unified Theories (GUT)
  - Ruled out in the SM !





Cosmic Connections

- Supersymmetry has a natural dark matter candidate: the neutralino
  - tanβ controls the annihilation rate in the early universe
- The Higgs fields could have CP-asymmetry
  - Extra matter / anti-matter asymmetry of the Universe
- Dark energy is a scalar field, like the Higgs
  - Supersymmetry solves the dark energy problem "halfway"...
- The inflaton is also a scalar field





Fermilab Tevatron Accelerator – Run II

- 1 km radius p-pbar super-syncrotron
  - World's most powerful: 1.96 TeV
  - 36 bunches of p and pbar
  - 396 ns crossing period
  - 25 cm RMS interaction region
- One store every day or so...





Tevatron Performance

- Run II began 4 years ago
- Instantaneous luminosity has steadily increased
- Last year was excellent, and this year is also right on track
- Almost 1 fb^{-1} delivered so far
- Should collect 4-8 fb^{-1} in the next few years





The DØ Detector for Run II



Calorimeters

- Showers are induced by sheets of depleted Ur
- Cells measure the ionization in liquid Ar gaps
- Cells are arranged in a projective geometry
- Highly granular (.1x.1)(η-ϕ)
- Jets are almost completely contained: >20 hadronic interaction lengths





Muon System

- Used in this analysis for:
  - b-tagging studies...
  - jet energy corrections
- A big toroidal Iron magnet: ~2000 Ton and 1.9 Tesla
- Only muons with |p|>3 GeV penetrate the magnet
  - Very low background thanks to heavy shielding
- 3 drift-tube layers and scintillating panels determine the position and timing of muons
- Coverage out to |eta|<2.0
- A-layers and scintillators create the best muon trigger ever built



Trigger System

- Level 1
  - Calorimeter EM and TOT E_T (.2x.2)(η-ϕ)
  - Muon stubs
  - Central high-p_T axial tracks
- Level 2
  - Clustered calorimeter EM and TOT E_T
  - Missing transverse calorimeter E_T
  - Matched muon segments
  - Displaced high-p_T axial tracks
- Level 3 trigger algorithms:
  - Jets (no split/merge)
  - Central stereo tracks
  - Muons matched to central stereo tracks
  - Primary vertex
  - Jet lifetime b-tags



Jet Reconstruction

- The E_T in (0.1x0.1)(η-ϕ) towers is summed, and seeds are found
- Energy is clustered in cones of $\Delta R=\text{sqrt}(\Delta\eta^2+\Delta\phi^2)=0.5$ around the seeds
- The midpoints (in η-ϕ) between stable cones are also used as seeds
- The unique cones with E_T>8 GeV are merged or split, depending on whether they share more or less than 50% of a jet's E_T
- Jets' E_T are calibrated, using the jet energy scale (JES)
  - accounts for out-of-cone showering and the underlying event, on average



$$E_{jet}^{corrected} = \frac{E_{jet}^{colorimeter} - E_{offset}}{R_{jet} \cdot R_{cone}}$$