

# Higher Loops: Summary and Prospects

Impression and observations

George Sterman, Stony Brook

My perspective in the light of work with

Nikolaos Kidonakis Carola F. Berger, Tibor Kucs

Maria-Elena Tejeda-Yeomans & S. Mert Aybat

- Why so many loops?
- How we get away with perturbation theory in QCD
- Themes of this Loopfest
- What resummation says about singularities
- Concluding comments

*No figures: please imagine loops as necessary*

- Why so many loops?

- Coupling to the decoupled

All New Physics is embedded in Standard Model observables but only through values of observable parameters:  $M_W$ ,  $\alpha_s$ , etc. Effect of massive ( $M_{\text{new}} \gg E_{\text{ext}}$ ) states is local

- Discovering the quantum mechanical stories

But final states are generally indistinguishable from standard model processes event by event.

At high enough energies ( $E_{\text{ext}} \geq M_{\text{new}}$ )

these effects become nonlocal; producing deviations from Standard Model predictions

But only by precision in rates & distributions of Standard Model and New Physics signals can the nonlocality be quantified and New Physics discovered.

- **How we get away with perturbative QCD**

- **The problem for perturbation theory**

- 1. Confinement**

$$\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[\phi_a(x) \dots] | 0 \rangle$$

**has no  $q^2 = m^2$  pole for  $\phi_a$  that transforms nontrivially under color (confinement)**

- 2. The pole at  $p^2 = m_\pi^2$**

$$\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[\pi(x) \dots] | 0 \rangle$$

**is not accessible to perturbation theory ( $\chi$ SB etc., etc.)**

- And yet we use infrared safety & asymptotic freedom:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q^2 \hat{\sigma}_{\text{SD}}(Q^2, \mu^2, \alpha_s(\mu)) &= \sum_n c_n(Q^2/\mu^2) \alpha_s^n(\mu) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p) \\
 &= \sum_n c_n(1) \alpha_s^n(Q) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p)
 \end{aligned}$$

- What are we really calculating? PT for color singlet operators

–  $\int e^{-iq \cdot x} \langle 0 | T[J(x)J(0) \dots] | 0 \rangle$  for color singlet currents

$e^+e^-$  total, sum rules etc. “no scale” (Dixon)

- Another class of color singlet matrix elements:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int dx_0 \int d\hat{n} f(\hat{n}) e^{-iq \cdot y} \langle 0 | J(0) T[\hat{n}_i \Theta_{0i}(x_0, R\hat{n}) J(y)] | 0 \rangle$$

**With  $\Theta_{0i}$  the energy momentum tensor**

- These are what we really calculate

**“Weight”  $f(\hat{n})$  introduces no new dimensional scale**

**Short-distance dominated if all  $d^k f / d\hat{n}^k$  bounded**

**Individual final states have IR divergences, but these cancel in sum over collinear splitting/merging and soft parton emission because they respect energy flow**

We regularize these divergences dimensionally (typically) and “pretend” to calculate the long-distance enhancements only to cancel them in infrared safe quantities

It is this intermediate step that makes the calculations tough, and is part [not all] of why higher-order calculations are hard!

The goals of experiment are remarkably similar – to control late stage interactions in calorimeters. J. Repond

- **Jet, event shape, energy flow observables**  
(Tkachov 95, Korchemsky, Oderda, GS 96)
- **Light quarks ( $m \ll \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ ): hadronization respects energy flow**
- **Parton-hadron duality**
- **Were it not for light quarks all of QCD would be NRQCD**
- **Analogies to calculations:**
  - \* **Energy flow expectations  $\Leftrightarrow$  calorimetric measurements**
  - \* **Event generators  $\Leftrightarrow$  multi-particle cross sections**

- **But sometimes want to introduce new scales**  
say  $(1 - T)Q$ , mass of narrow jets in  $e^+e^-$  annihilation
- **And anyway the formation of initial-state hadrons**  
**is never short-distance . . .**



- **Generalization: factorization**

$$Q^2 \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m) = \omega_{\text{SD}}(Q/\mu, \alpha_s(\mu)) \otimes f_{\text{LD}}(\mu, m) + \mathcal{O}(1/Q^p)$$

- $\mu =$  factorization scale;  $m =$  IR scale ( $m$  may be perturbative)
- New physics in  $\omega_{\text{SD}}$ ;  $f_{\text{LD}}$  “universal”
- Deep-inelastic ( $p = 2$ ),  $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Q\bar{Q} \dots$
- Exclusive decays:  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$
- Exclusive limits:  $e^+e^- \rightarrow JJ$  as  $m_J \rightarrow 0$

- Whenever there is factorization, there is evolution

$$0 = \mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \ln \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m)$$

$$\mu \frac{d \ln f}{d\mu} = -P(\alpha_s(\mu)) = -\mu \frac{d \ln \omega}{d\mu}$$

- Wherever there is evolution there is resummation

$$\ln \sigma_{\text{phys}}(Q, m) = \exp \left\{ \int_q^Q \frac{d\mu'}{\mu'} P(\alpha_s(\mu')) \right\}$$

- **Infrared safety & factorization proofs:**
  - **(1)  $\omega_{\text{SD}}$  incoherent with long-distance dynamics**
  - **(2) Mutual incoherence when  $v_{\text{rel}} = c$ :  
Jet-jet factorization Ward identities.**
  - **(3) Wide-angle soft radiation sees only total color flow:  
jet-soft factorization Ward identities.**
  - **(4) Dimensionless coupling and renormalizability  
 $\Leftrightarrow$  no worse than logarithmic divergence in the IR:  
fractional power suppression  $\Rightarrow$  finiteness**

– **Summary for  $e^+e^-$ : factorization into universal jets + soft**

$$\sigma = \prod_{\text{jets } j} J_j(p_j) S_{\{j\}}$$

**we'll come back to this**

- **Themes of this Loopfest**

- A. Bringing new physics to the foreground in precision measurements matched with precision theory**

- **Intrinsic theoretical uncertainties in the Standard Model can be smaller than those of extensions like SUSY. Why wait for experiment?**
    - **Venturing to higher loops in extensions of the Standard Model requires consistent treatment of renormalization in addition to calculational power.**

- **Two loop Yukawa corrections in MSSM:  
 $M_W$  and weak mixing. G. Weiglein, S. Heinemeyer**
- **The high price of giving up custodial  $SU(2)$   
in extensions of the Standard Model. T. Krupovnickas**
- **Fermionic and bosonic corrections to weak mixing  
in the Standard Model. M. Awramik**
- **$\mathcal{O}(\alpha^2)$  corrections to  $d\Gamma/dx$  for  $\mu$  decay. K. Melnikov**
- **Exploration of EW corrections and uncertainties  
in  $M_W$ . U. Baur**

- In QCD special requirements of  $t\bar{t}$  near threshold resummations in  $\alpha_s/v$  and  $\alpha_s \ln v$ : advances to NNLL/ $\nu$ NRQCD A. Hoang
- NNLO and NNLL in  $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \bar{\nu}$ .  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$  in coefficients and  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$  in anomalous dimensions. U. Haisch
- Qualitative advances of a few years ago are today's commonplace tools (requiring uncommon skill to use)  
(approximate) Quote of the workshop: “Only a few diagrams, about 300.”
- The exploitation of advances in computing power

## B. The background to New Physics: QCD corrections

analytic and numerical tracks

– Taming NNLO cross sections: how to use infrared safety?

\* Subtractions and antennae:

**Implementing soft-jet factorization**

**Organized into the number of “unresolved” partons**

$$\sigma^{\text{NNLO}} = \int_{n+2} \left( d\sigma_{n+2}^{(0)} - d\alpha_{n+2}^{(0)} - d\gamma_{n+2}^{(0)} + d\beta_{n+2}^{(0)} \right) + \dots$$

$\alpha^{(0)}$ ,  $\gamma^{(0)}$  **single and double-particle subtractions**

$\gamma^{(0)}$  **eliminates double counting** W. Kilgore, T. Gehrmann



# Explicit NNLO subtractions for 3-jet cross sections in $e^+e^-$ organized around color connections (antennae)

T. Gehrmann, A. Gehrmann-De Ridder

## \* Sector decomposition

F. Petriello

Utilize logarithmic bounds on singularities

$$PS = \prod_i \int d\lambda_i \lambda_i^{a_i \varepsilon} (1 - \lambda)^{b_i \varepsilon}$$

Chosen such that  $|M|^2 \sim 1/\lambda_i$ , to develop Laurent series:

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{1+\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{-\varepsilon} \delta(\lambda) + \left[ \frac{1}{\lambda} \right]_+ + \dots$$

**Transparent implementation of experimental cuts  
consistent with infrared safety** Petriello, Melnikov

**Another exploitation of computing capability**

- \* **Similar themes in GRACE evaluation of phase space integrals toward NLO QCD generator. Y. Kurihara**
- \* **Semi-numerical calculations for virtual corrections to Higgs plus jets in heavy-top effective theory Laurent expansion (again). G. Zanderighi**

## C. Advances at tree and NLO

What it looks like to one outsider: Degree of difficulty.

$$\text{Difficulty} = C \times E \exp [L/(1 + \mathcal{N})]$$

with  $E$  = number of external lines,  $L$  = number of loops  
 $\mathcal{N}$  = number of supersymmetries

- Progress in QED scattering generators. S. Yost, A. Lorca
- Multipurpose automated computation  
D. Rainwater, K. Yoshimasa, A. Lorca
- Matching parton showers to NLO P. Skands, Z. Nagy

- **Recursive trees and the new analytic continuation: spinors, tree and loops. L. Dixon**

$$(k^\mu \sigma_\mu)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \lambda_\alpha \tilde{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}$$

- \* **Continuation of a story from the previous Loopfest**
- \* **The newest features come from “on-shell analytic continuation”**

$$\lambda_1 \rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_1 = \lambda_1 - z\lambda_n$$

- \* **Recursion in tree diagrams**
- \* **Progress toward recursion at NLO**
- \* **Ultimate role of twistor space not settled**

- **What resummation says about virtual corrections**
  - **Context: Breakthroughs in multiscale NNLO matrix elements, anomalous dimensions and amplitudes**  
(Tausk, Smirnov, Anastasiou, Glover, Oleari Tejada-Yeomans, Bern, De Freitas, Dixon, Gehrmann, Remiddi . . . )
  - **Progress in the resummation of logarithmic corrections to all orders in perturbation theory**
  - **Challenge of cross sections: especially with realistic cuts**
  - **Synergy between the two in this context?**
  - **Resummation is based on jet-soft-jet factorization with simplified color structure.**

- **The structure of elastic amplitudes in dimensional regularization**
  - **Partonic processes**

$$f \quad : \quad f_A(\ell_A, r_A) + f_B(\ell_B, r_B) \rightarrow f_1(p_1, r_1) + f_2(p_2, r_2) + \dots$$

$$f' \quad : \quad V(Q) \rightarrow f_1(p_1, r_1) + f_2(p_2, r_2) + \dots$$

- **Color tensor**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\{r_i\}}^{[f]} \left( \{\rho_j\}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) &= \mathcal{M}_L^{[f]} \left( \{\rho_j\}, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) (c_L)_{\{r_i\}} \\ &\equiv \left| \mathcal{M}^{[f]} \right\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- **Recursion relations in infrared structure**

(Catani 98, Tejada-Yeomans GS (03), Bern Dixon Kosower (05))

- **Color tensor factorization**

$$\mathcal{M}_L^{[f]} \left( \rho_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = J^{[f]} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \\ \times S_{LI}^{[f]} \left( \rho_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) h_I^{[f]} \left( \rho_i, \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2) \right)$$

- **The factors . . .**

- **An infrared safe coefficient  $h_I$  for each color tensor  $I$**
- **Coherent virtual soft gluon exchange function  $S_{LI}$ :  
interpolates short to long distance color tensors**
- **Product of “jets” collinear to external lines: color diagonal**

- **The jet functions:**

$$J^{[f]} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \equiv \prod_i J_{(\text{virt})}^{[fi]} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right)$$

– **Definitions form 1<sub>singlet</sub> ↔ 2:**  $J^{[i]} = J^{[\bar{i}]} = \sqrt{M^{[i\bar{i} \rightarrow 1]}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}^{[i\bar{i} \rightarrow 1]} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) &= \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\xi^2}{\xi^2} \left[ \mathcal{K}^{[i]}(\alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon) \right. \right. \\ &+ \mathcal{G}^{[i]} \left( -1, \bar{\alpha}_s \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\xi^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon, \right) \epsilon \right) \\ &\left. \left. + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\xi^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2} \gamma_K^{[i]} \left( \bar{\alpha}_s \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right) \right] \right\} \end{aligned}$$



- **Derived from factorization**  
(Mueller 79, Collins-Soper, Sen 80)
- **Compare to fixed-order by re-expansion of  $\alpha_s$  in  $D$  dimensions**  
(Magnea-GS 91)
- **Anomalous dimensions  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\gamma_K \leftrightarrow A$  available to 2, 2, 3 loops**  
(Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt, Gehrmann, 2005)

- **The soft functions**

$$\mathbf{S}^{[f]} \left( \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) = \text{P exp} \left[ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{-Q^2} \frac{d\tilde{\mu}^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2} \mathbf{\Gamma}^{[f]} \left( \bar{\alpha}_s \left( \frac{\mu^2}{\tilde{\mu}^2}, \alpha_s(\mu^2), \epsilon \right) \right) \right]$$

- **From evolution equation:**  $\frac{d}{d \ln Q} S_{LI} = -\Gamma_{LJ}^{[f]} S_{JI}$

(Botts-GS 85, Kidonakis, Oderda-GS 98)

- **LL in soft  $\rightarrow$  NNLL overall:**

- **“The fifth form factor” (Dokshitzer and Marchesini 08/05)**

**Relation of  $t \rightarrow u$  and  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ?**

- **What we know; what we need to know**
  - $\Gamma_S$  known at 1 loop, “available” at 2
  - **For  $\gamma_K$  to  $\alpha_s^{n+1}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\Gamma_S$  to  $\alpha_s^n$ :  $1/\epsilon^P$ ,  $P > 1$ .  $m \rightarrow m'$**
  - **For  $1/\epsilon$  need only Sudakov form factor and  $\Gamma_S$  to  $\alpha_s^{n+1}$**
  - **Color evolution is entirely in the soft function. Could indicate simplifications in subtraction color structure.**
  - **Reproduces  $\epsilon$  structure of QCD  $2 \rightarrow 2$  amplitudes**
  - **A recent surprise, motivated by study of SYM and heroic calculation of 3 loop planar diagrams . . .**

- **Recursive infrared structure of  $2 \rightarrow 2$  at 3 loops**

(Tejeda Yeomans GS (03), Bern, Dixon, Smirnov (05) [Maximal SYM])

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}^{[f(3)]}\rangle &= \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(2)]}\rangle + \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(1)]}\rangle \\ &\quad + \mathbf{F}^{[f(3)]}(\epsilon) |\mathcal{M}^{[f(0)]}\rangle + |\mathcal{M}_{UV}^{[f(3)]}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

– where for example . . .

– The coefficient of  $|\mathcal{M}^{[f(0)]}\rangle$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{F}^{[f(3)]}(\epsilon) &= -\frac{1}{3} \left[ \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \right]^3 - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) - \frac{2}{3} \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{\beta_0}{4\epsilon} \right)^2 \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(3\epsilon) + \left( \frac{\beta_0}{4\epsilon} \right) \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(\epsilon) \right]^2 - \mathbf{F}^{[f(2)]}(\epsilon) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{K} + \frac{\beta_0}{2\epsilon} \right) \left[ 2\mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(3\epsilon) - \mathbf{F}^{[f(1)]}(2\epsilon) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \mathbf{L}^{[f(2)]}(3\epsilon) - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}^{[f(2)]}(2\epsilon) \right\} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{L}^{[f(3)]}(3\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

– All F's, L's on the right are combinations of  $\gamma_K$  to  $\alpha_s^3$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $\Gamma_S$  to  $\alpha_s^2$ , and  $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

- **Concluding Comments**

- **Perturbative quantum field theory is vibrant, opportunistic and inspires total dedication. There seems no other way to get things right.**
- **The capabilities of experiment and theory are well matched and mutually inspiring.**
- **The field was advanced qualitatively by 2-loop computations and three-loop anomalous dimensions, and applications are still being found.**

- **Amazing (to me at least) advance in analytic results within the previous year,**
- **As well as in the power of numerical approaches.**
- **There is further potential for applications of resummation whose power is greatly enhanced by exact 2-loop results.**
- **Is it possible to combine the nominal flexibility of sector decomposition with physically-motivated subtraction formalism that makes use of the universality in final-state evolution?**

- The somewhat coarser resolutions and backgrounds at the LHC may paradoxically provide the time to fully realize the potential of techniques that are now being developed and reach fruition at a future (but not too far future) ILC**