# The Role of the Collimation System in Protecting the Aperture

LHC Collimation



Ralph W. Aßmann

**MP Review** 

11.04.2005

# Outline

- The LHC Aperture
- Collimators and Collimation System
- Machine Protection Topics Concerning Collimation
  - MP Features of Collimators
  - First Interception of Beam Losses
  - Local Protection with Collimators
  - Survival of Collimators During Accidents
- Conclusion



### **LHC Ring Aperture**

### Definition for LHC design: $\mathbf{O}$

n1 from APL program (J.B. Jeanneret) n1 contains machine tolerances (orbit, beta beat, off-momentum, alignment, mechanical tolerances) and is normalized to shape of secondary halo.

Guaranteed available aperture in x/y • directions:

Take out shape of on-momentum secondary halo but keep machine tolerances (flat halo). Now defined in "real" beam sigmas.

•	n1 = 7:	Available transverse aperture	=	1.2 * 7 σ
		Available radial aperture	=	1.4 * 7 σ
R.	Assmann	LHC design value for aperture		



 $\equiv$ 

 $\equiv$ 

<mark>8.4</mark> σ



R. Assmann

β\*: 0.55 m → 17 m (IR1)

### **Distribution of Available Aperture (Injection)**

LHC Collimation



All loss studies rely on full and detailed LHC aperture model -> S. Redaelli.

# **Design Aperture Imperfections**

20%

30%

0.05 %

The design aperture has **allowances** for imperfections:

- Maximum beta beat: •
- Maximum orbit: 4 mm •
- Spurious dispersion: •
- Mechanical tolerances: •
- Allowance for δp/p: •

- (3 mm at triplets)
- 0.2-2.5 mm depending on element
- These are design assumptions established many years ago for the LHC project.

Difficult requirements: What can be achieved realistically?

In case that tolerances are not met:

**Reduction in available aperture!** 

LHC Collimation

### LHC Collimation **Aperture and Collimators** 450 GeV (injection): **RF and beam Betatron** Momentum Beam cleaning cleaning instrumentation Dump IR8 (INJ) IR1 IR2 (INJ) IR5 Smaller emittance and beam size Higher beta at experimental triplets 7 TeV (collision): RF and beam Betatron IR8 IR1 IR2 Momentum IR5 Beam cleaning instrumentation Dump cleaning

R. Assmann

→ Various collimators for cleaning and protection around the ring...



# **Detailed Table**

								Pr
Phase	Acronym	Material	Length	Number	Locations	INJ	ТОР	Purpose
			[m]					
	Scrapers							e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
1	TCHS	tbd	tbd	6	IR3, IR7			Beam scraping
2	TCHS	tbd	tbd	2	IR3, IR7			Skew beam scraping
	-							
	Collimators							
1	ТСР	C-C	0.2	8	IR3, IR7	Y	Y	Primary collimators
1	TCSG	C-C	1.0	30	IR3, IR7	Y	Y	Secondary collimators
1	TCSG	C-C	1.0	2	IR6	Y	Y	Help for TCDQ set-up
2	TCSM	tbd	tbd	30	IR3, IR7			Hybrid secondary collimators
4	TCS4	tbd	tbd	10	IR7			Phase 4 collimators
	Diluters							
1	TDI	Sandwich	4.2	2	IR2. IR8	Y		Injection protection
1	TCLI	С	1.0	4	IR2. IR8	Ŷ		Injection protection
1	TCDI	С	1.2	14	TI2, TI8	Υ		Injection collimation
1	TCDQ	C-C	6.0	2	IR6	Y	Y	Dump protection
		_						
	Movable Abs	orbers	4.0	10				<b>—</b>
1	тст	Cu/W	1.0	16	IR1, IR2,		Ŷ	l ertiary collimators
1		Cu	1.0	16	IK5, IK8	v	Y	Showers from collimators
		Ou	1.0	10		•	ı V	
1		Cu	1.0	4	IK1, IK5		Ŷ	Secondaries from IP
3	TCL/TCLP	Cu	1.0	4	IR1, IR5		Y	Secondaries from IP

150 locations in ring and transfer lines. 86 collimators in 2007 for the two rings  $\rightarrow$  powerful and complex system!



- **TC**... = **T**arget **C**ollimator
  - TCP = Primary collimator
  - TCSG = Secondary collimator Graphite
  - TCSM = Secondary collimator Metal
  - TCHS = Halo Scraper
- TCL... = Target Collimator Long
  - TCLI = Injection protection (types A and B)
  - TCLP = Physics debris
  - TCLA = Absorber
- **TCD**... = **T**arget **C**ollimator **D**ump
  - TCDQ = Quadrupole (protect quadrupole for mis-dumped beam)
  - TCD<mark>S</mark> = Septum
  - TCDI = Injection transfer lines
- TD... = Target Dump
  - TDI = Injection



### **Example: Injection Settings** (in $\sigma_{\beta}, \delta=0$ )

HC Collimation.





LHC Collimation

### Beam dump:

Designed to extract beam within 2 turns. Pulse rise time of 3  $\mu$ s (dump gap).

### Failure modes:

See presentation by B. Goddard, Jan Uythoven et al!

Most relevant for collimation:

Dump action from 1 of 15 modules, others retriggering after 0.7 μs at 7 TeV, not synchronized with dump gap.

Frequency difficult to predict

Assume at least once per year!

Up to 8 bunches can hit a collimator at 7 TeV.

Up to 280 bunches can hit at 450 GeV (injection error).





### **Settings at 7 TeV**

 $a_{abs}$ 

 $\mathbf{a}_{sec3}$ 

**a**<sub>prim3</sub>

 $\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{abs}}$ 

a<sub>ring</sub>

 $\mathbf{a}_{\mathsf{prot}}$ 

a<sub>prot</sub>

 $\mathsf{a}_{\mathsf{sec}}$ 

 $\mathbf{a}_{\rm prim}$ 

### **Energy Ramp**

LHC Collimation

(in  $\sigma_{\beta}$ ,  $\delta=0$ , nominal  $\beta^*$ )

~ 20.0 σ	Active absorbers	"Normalized aperture"				
	in IR3		6			
18.0 σ	Secondary		5	Secondary collimator		
	collimators IR3 (H)	[mn	4	Primary collimator		
15.0 σ	Primary		3			
	collimators IR3 (H)		2	Secondary collimate		
~ 10.0 σ	Active absorbers		1	Primary collimator		
	in and IR7			J RAMP TOP		
8.4 σ	Triplet cold		Ē			
	aperture	re en		SCALED —		
• <b>8.3</b> σ TCT <b>p</b>	TCT protection &	apert	0.005			
	cleaning at triplet	at a	0.004			
7.5 σ	ICDQ (H) protection element	ency	0.003			
		efficie	0.002			
<b>7.0</b> σ	Secondary collimators IR7	Ine	0.001			
60 σ	Primany		o Ē			
0.00	collimators IR7	0.45 2 4 6 7 Energy [TeV]		Energy [TeV]		
	~ 20.0 σ 18.0 σ 15.0 σ ~ 10.0 σ 8.4 σ 8.3 σ 7.5 σ 7.0 σ 6.0 σ	<ul> <li>20.0 σ Active absorbers in IR3</li> <li>18.0 σ Secondary collimators IR3 (H)</li> <li>15.0 σ Primary collimators IR3 (H)</li> <li>10.0 σ Active absorbers in and IR7</li> <li>8.4 σ Triplet cold aperture</li> <li>8.3 σ TCT protection &amp; cleaning at triplet</li> <li>7.5 σ TCDQ (H) protection element</li> <li>7.0 σ Secondary collimators IR7</li> <li>6.0 σ Primary collimators IR7</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>20.0 σ Active absorbers in IR3</li> <li>18.0 σ Secondary collimators IR3 (H)</li> <li>15.0 σ Primary collimators IR3 (H)</li> <li>10.0 σ Active absorbers in and IR7</li> <li>4 σ Triplet cold aperture</li> <li>3 σ TCT protection &amp; cleaning at triplet</li> <li>7.5 σ TCDQ (H) protection element</li> <li>7.0 σ Secondary collimators IR7</li> <li>6.0 σ Primary collimators IR7</li> </ul>	~ 20.0 σActive absorbers in IR3 $7$ 618.0 σSecondary collimators IR3 (H) $7$ 615.0 σPrimary collimators IR3 (H) $7$ 6~ 10.0 σActive absorbers in and IR7 $2$ 1 08.4 σTriplet cold aperture $0.006$ 0.0058.3 σTCT protection & cleaning at triplet $0.006$ 0.0037.5 σTCDQ (H) protection element $0.002$ 0.001 07.0 σSecondary collimators IR7 $0.002$ 0.001 0		

Efficiency improves if collimators are closed:

However, tolerances become tighter!

# **Beam Cleaning in the LHC**

LHC Collimation

**The LHC machine:** First collider ever where continuous and efficient cleaning is required during all phases of beam operation (above a few % design intensity).



Beam losses cannot be avoided. E.g.: 500 kW for 1% of beam lost in 10 seconds! Cleaning → Protect cold aperture against energy deposition from protons (quenches)! Requirement: Cleaning collimators are closest elements to the beam!

# **Collimating with Small Gaps**

~ 0.6

$$a_{coll} \leq a_{triplet} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\beta_{coll}}{\beta_{triplet}}} \cdot \left(\frac{A_{primary}}{A_{secondary}}\right)$$

Collimator gap must be **10 times smaller** than available triplet aperture for nominal luminosity!

LHC Collimation

Collimator settings usually defined in sigma with nominal emittance!



### **The LHC Phase 1 Collimator**





Vacuum tank with two jaws installed *R. Assmann* 



Beam passage for small collimator gap with RF contacts for guiding image currents

Designed for maximum robustness: Advanced CC jaws with water cooling! Also have collimators with Cu and W jaws!

# **Specific MP Features of Collimators**



Collimation was not designed for machine protection as first purpose but for beam cleaning!

Nevertheless, collimators play important role in machine protection:

- Two jaws per collimator (beyond Tevatron and RHIC standard):
  - − Cleaning works with only one jaw per collimator → Important additional investment!
  - Two jaw design provides an aperture limitation in both directions: Much safer against operational errors (beam always gets closer to one of the two jaws)!
  - Collimators provide good (not perfect) passive protection: All primary multi-turn beam losses occur at a collimator. Cleaning provides reasonable phase space coverage.
  - Redundancy in the system for cleaning (live without single jaws).
- Monitoring of jaw positions, collimator gaps and hardware (→ talk by OA):
  - Independent motor control and monitoring system.
  - Jaw positions and gaps monitored continuously with 40 μm accuracy.
  - Monitoring of hardware parameters (jaw temperature, shock impact, ...).
- Maximum reliability:
  - − Maximum robustness. Concept of spare surface (movement orthogonal to collimation plane → fresh surface for local damage)!
  - Automatic jaw retraction for motor or power failures.
  - Fast handling and service-free or fast service (radiation constraints).



CERP

### **Normalized Phase Space Coverage Injection**



Decent coverage of phase space:

HC Collimation

Beam will likely first be intercepted at a collimator or absorber or diluter (also for asymptotic orbit change)!

BLM's at collimator protect against beam loss!

Not very comfortable margin though (profit from tighter settings)!



Shadow against incoming beam halo or mis-kicked beam on triplet aperture!

Two collimators (H+V) for each incoming beam at each IP!

→ 16 additional collimators (Cu/W jaws)!

Replace in case of beam hit (better than triplets)!

### **Local Protection: Injection Collimators**



V. Kain, B. Goddard

LHC Collimation

Project

## **Self-Protection of Collimators**

Project

HC Collimatio

- Impact of the full LHC beam will damage and destroy any collimator or other beamline element in the LHC (potentially many at once).
- Collimators are the most at risk elements in the LHC (beam cleaning collimators are closest to the beam and not protected by machine protection elements).
- They are mandatory for the cleaning of beam losses → damage will induce limitations on the beam intensity!
- LHC collimators have been designed with robustness as highest priority (for phase 1).
- However, if severe damage occurs collimators must be replaced: About 2 weeks downtime per collimator exchange. Limited number of spares (~18%).
- Collimators must be protected against damage for efficient running!
- Interlocks from collimation for abnormal beam losses are required (temperatures and BLM measurements)!

### **Allowable Losses**

Acceptable shock beam impact to regular machine equipment and metallic absorbers:

LHC Collimation

- 1e12 p at injection:
  4e-3 of beam
- 5e9 p at 7 TeV: **2e-5 of beam**
- Acceptable shock beam impact to C-C collimators/absorbers:
  - 3e13 p at injection: 10% of beam
     8e11 p at 7 TeV: 3e-3 of beam
- Maximum allowed continuous loss rates at collimators (goal):
  - 100 kW continuously.
  - 500 kW for 10 s (1% of beam lost in 10s).
  - 1 MW for 1 s.

### **Collimator Beam Tests: Robustness**

Take:

450 GeV 3 10<sup>13</sup> p 2 MJ 0.7 x 1.2 mm<sup>2</sup>

equivalent

Full Tevatron beam 1/2 kg TNT

... and hit each jaw 5 times!





LHC Collimation

Project

C-C (left) and C (right) jaws after impact

No sign of any damage!

### **Robustness validated!**

### Conclusion

- LHC aims at 200-300 times more stored energy than achieved before with lower quench limits of the LHC SC magnets.
- The LHC aperture is tight: Achieved design is ~ 7.5 σ at machine aperture restriction with tight operational tolerances.
- Most collimators in the LHC are used for beam cleaning of multi-turn losses. These collimators are the elements in the LHC closest to the beam and most robust. Cleaning must be done from injection to collision.
- Collimators provide reasonable passive protection against multi-turn losses with emittance blow-up or orbit drifts (via early interception of beam losses).
- Several collimators are used for local protection against mis-kicked beam from irregular dumps and injections: protection of experimental triplets from TCT, protection of ring from TCLI.



### **Conclusion continued**



- There is a close inter-dependence of settings for cleaning and protection → system must be considered as one system.
- The LHC collimation implements several important features for machine protection (machine protection), most importantly two jaws per collimator and an independent monitoring system.
- LHC collimators are up to 100 times more robust than metallic LHC equipment. However, they are highly exposed and adequate self-protection is very important for efficient operation! Crucial link to machine protection!
- Further talks the from collimation team:
  - S. Redaelli: Loss Maps after beam cleaning
  - O. Aberle: How can we ensure collimator settings?