

New Physics upper bound on $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+ l^-)$

Ashutosh Kumar Alok and S Uma Sankar

(IIT Bombay, India)

BEACH 2006

@ Lancaster University, Lancaster

July 2-8, 2006

CONTENTS

- **New Physics bounds on $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-)$, $l = e, \mu$. [A.K.Alok and S.U. Sankar, Phys. Lett. B 620(2005)61]**
- **New Physics bounds on $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma)$, $l = e, \mu$. [hep-ph/0603262]**
- **Summary**

- The same $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ Four Fermi interaction is responsible for both leptonic decay $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$.
- Recently, the semi-leptonic decays $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$ have been observed at BaBar and Belle.

$$B_{exp}(B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-) = (11.5_{-2.4}^{+2.6} \pm 0.8 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-7}$$

$$B_{exp}(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-) = (4.8_{-0.9}^{+1.0} \pm 0.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-7} \text{ (Belle)}$$

- These branching ratios are very close to the values predicted by the SM. Hence we considered the impact of these measurements on predictions for $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$.

- **SM predictions for these modes are** $B(B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-) < (7.58 \pm 3.50) \times 10^{-14}$ and $B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < (3.2 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-9}$.
- **50% uncertainty in these predictions is due to 12% uncertainty in the decay constant f_{B_s} and 10% uncertainty in V_{ts} .**
- **$B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ has been studied in various models, both with and without natural flavor conservation, before. In both these kinds of models it was shown that $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ can have a branching ratio $\geq 10^{-8}$.**
- **Present experimental limits are** $B(B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 5.4 \times 10^{-5}$ (L3) and $B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 5 \times 10^{-7}$ (D0).

- **The effective new physics Lagrangian for $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ can be written as**

$$L_{eff} = L_{VA} + L_{SP} + L_T$$

**where L_{VA} : Vector & Axial vector, L_{SP} : Scalar & Pseudoscalar
and L_T : Tensor.**

- $\langle 0 | \bar{s} \sigma^{\mu\nu} b | B(p_B) \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow L_T$ **doesn't contribute to $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$.**

So we will not consider tensor terms any more.

- **We will consider L_{VA} and L_{SP} one at a time.**

- $L_{VA} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right) \bar{s} \gamma^\mu (g_V + g_A \gamma_5) b \bar{l} \gamma_\mu (g'_V + g'_A \gamma_5) l$
- g_V, g_A, g'_V & g'_A are effective couplings due to new physics.
- $\Gamma_{VA}(B_S \rightarrow l^+ l^-) = \frac{G_F^2 f_{B_S}^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right)^2 (g_A g'_A)^2 m_{B_S} m_l^2$
- To get bounds on $(g_A g'_A)^2$, we look at semi-leptonic decays.

- **We first consider $B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-$.**

$$\Gamma_{NP}(B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{G_F^2 m_B^5}{192\pi^3} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right)^2 (g_V'^2 + g_A'^2) I_{VA}$$

where $I_{VA} = g_V^2 V^2 I_1 + g_A^2 A_1^2 I_2$

- I_1 and I_2 are integrals over q^2 from $q_{min}^2 = (2m_l)^2$ to $q_{max}^2 = (m_B - m_{K^*})^2$.
- $\Gamma_{NP}(B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-)$ depends on both vector and axial vector couplings. To get a handle on vector couplings we look at $B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-$.

- $\Gamma_{NP}(B \rightarrow Kl^+l^-) = g_V^2(g_V'^2 + g_A'^2) \left(\frac{G_F^2 m_B^5}{192\pi^3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right)^2 \left[\frac{f^+(0)}{2} \right]^2$

- We are trying to see what is the maximum value of $(g_A g_A')^2$, consistent with semi-leptonic data.

- To get this, we make the approximation

$$\Gamma_{exp}^{semi-lep} = \Gamma_{NP}^{semi-lep}$$

- $$g_V^2(g_V'^2 + g_A'^2) = \frac{B_{exp}(B \rightarrow K l^+ l^-)}{3.45 [f^+(0)]^2} \times 10^4$$

- $$g_A^2(g_V'^2 + g_A'^2) = \frac{B_{exp}(B \rightarrow K^* l^+ l^-) \times 10^4 - 1.58 V^2 g_V^2(g_V'^2 + g_A'^2)}{8.94 A_1^2}$$

- **Adding all errors in quadrature we get**

$$g_A^2(g_V'^2 + g_A'^2) = (6.76 \pm_{3.48}^{4.04}) \times 10^{-3}$$

- **The maximum values for $B(B_S \rightarrow l^+l^-)$ are**

$$B(B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 6.71 \times 10^{-14}$$

$$B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 2.87 \times 10^{-9} \text{ at } 1\sigma.$$

and

$$B(B_s \rightarrow e^+e^-) < 1.2 \times 10^{-13}$$

$$B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-) < 5.1 \times 10^{-9} \text{ at } 3\sigma.$$

- **These bounds are similar to SM predictions.**

- **Should not be surprising because**

$$\Gamma = (c.c.)^2 [f.f.]^2 \text{ Phase Space}$$

In semi-leptonic case $\Gamma_{exp} \simeq \Gamma_{SM}$. Then we assumed $\Gamma_{NP} = \Gamma_{exp}$ which implies $(c.c.)_{NP} \simeq (c.c.)_{SM}$ and hence $\Gamma_{NP}^{B_S \rightarrow l^+ l^-} \simeq \Gamma_{SM}^{B_S \rightarrow l^+ l^-}$.

- **A more stringent upper bound is obtained if we equate the new physics branching ratio to the difference between the experimental value and the SM prediction.**
- **Given the measured values of branching ratios of $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$ by Belle and Babar, new physics cannot boost $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ above SM value if it is of the form vector/axial-vector.**

- Let us turn now to L_{SP} with scalar and pseudoscalar couplings.

$$L_{SP} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right) \bar{s}(g_S + g_P \gamma_5)b \bar{l}(g'_S + g'_P \gamma_5)l$$

- $B_{SP}(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-) = \frac{0.17 f_{B_s}^2 g_P^2 (g_S'^2 + g_P'^2)}{(m_b + m_s)^2}$
- To get a bound on $g_P^2 (g_S'^2 + g_P'^2)$ we need to consider only $B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-$.

- $\Gamma_{NP}(B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-) = g_P^2(g_S'^2 + g_P'^2) \left(\frac{G_F^2 m_B^5}{256\pi^3} \right) \left(\frac{\alpha}{4\pi \sin^2 \theta_W} \right)^2 A_0^2 \left(\frac{m_B}{m_b - m_s} \right)^2 I_{SP}$

- $g_P^2(g_S'^2 + g_P'^2) = \frac{(m_b - m_s)^2 B_{exp}(B \rightarrow K^*l^+l^-) \times 10^3}{2.16 A_0^2}$

- **Substituting this in $(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-)$ rate we get**

$$B(B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-) = (2 \pm 1) \times 10^{-5}$$

(Larger than present upper bound !)

- If new physics effective Lagrangian is of the scalar/pseudoscalar form, then the present measurements of semi-leptonic rates DO NOT provide any useful constraint on $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$.
- *If experiments at Tevatron or LHCb find that $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-) > 10^{-8}$, then we can immediately conclude that the new physics responsible for it is of scalar/pseudoscalar type.*
- Recently we repeated the exercise for $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$.

- We are interested on how the current data on $b \rightarrow s$ transitions, due to effective interactions $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ and $b \rightarrow s\gamma$, constrain the new physics contribution to $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$.
- Unlike in the case of $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$, if new physics is in the form scalar/pseudoscalar, then it makes no contribution to $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$ as

$$\langle \gamma | \bar{s}b | B_S \rangle = 0 = \langle \gamma | \bar{s}\gamma_5 b | B_S \rangle$$

- A legitimate question to ask at this stage is : Is it possible to have an order of magnitude or more enhancement of $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$ for any type of new physics operator?

- **We found that if new physics is in the form of vector/axial-vector operators then the present data on $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$ doesn't allow a large boost for $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma)$.**
- **If new physics is in the form of tensor/pseudotensor operators, then the data on $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$ gives no useful constraint but the data on $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$ does. Here again, a large enhancement of $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma)$, much beyond the SM expectation, is not possible.**
- **Hence we conclude that the present data on $b \rightarrow s$ transitions allow a large boost in $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-)$ but not in $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma)$.**

SUMMARY

- The quark level interaction $b \rightarrow sl^+l^-$ is responsible for the three types of decays (a) semi-leptonic $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$, (b) purely leptonic $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-$ and also (c) leptonic radiative $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$.
- If $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-) \geq 10^{-8}$ then the new physics operators responsible for this have to be of the form scalar/pseudoscalar. Such operators have no effect on $B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma$.
- Current data on $B \rightarrow (K^*, K)l^+l^-$ and $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$ do not allow any kind of New Physics to give rise to a *large* enhancement of $B(B_s \rightarrow l^+l^-\gamma)$.