## QUARTIC

## Preliminary UTA drawing of Mike's concept for a fast time resolution Cerenkov counter:



Initial design uses
3-D View $2 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ rods, now believe $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ rods are more feasible


Front View


Microchannel plate PMT

## Updated Raw Cerenkov light in fused silica:

Mike's back of envelope -> 200 pe's

| $\lambda$ | \#PE | QE | \#p*Q E | $\theta \mathrm{c}$ | n |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 180-250 | 1652.6 | 15.70\% | 259.5 | 49.6 | 1.544 |
| 250-350 | 1148.7 | 18.00\% | 206.8 | 47.8 | 1.490 |
| 350-450 | 624.7 | 19.90\% | 124.3 | 47.2 | 1.471 |
| 450-550 | 394.3 | 11\% | 43.4 | 46.9 | 1.464 |
| 550-650 | 271.1 | 1.50\% | 4.1 | 46.7 | 1.458 |
| total |  |  | 638.0 |  |  |


| \#P ave | Oc ave | L | Q E ave | \#P.ave*QE ave |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 4161.6 | 48.8 | 3.99 cm | $15.57 \%$ | 648.0 |

UV is important! 640-650 total, -> $130 \mathrm{pe} / 6 \mathrm{~mm}$ rod (but this is still for whole cone, perhaps 10 pe's in reasonable time range-still in progress)

## Updated Raw Cerenkov light in fused silica:

Same info as last slide, but in pictoral form


## Ultra-preliminary time distribution:


$11 \%$ of accepted pe's in first bin, but don't know time width of this bin! hot off the press!

## New Ouote from Specialty Glass

Fused Silica rod, $6 \mathrm{~mm}+-.051 \mathrm{~mm} \times 6 \mathrm{~mm}+-.051 \mathrm{~mm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}+-.025 \mathrm{~cm} ; 6 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{x}$ 10 cm sides mechanically polished, less than or equal to 1 wave/inch flatness, within 1 degree parallelism; sides and ends perpendicular within 1 degree; ends ground.

Qty $\quad 50$ pieces
Price $\$ 25.00$ each
Ship 4-5 weeks ARO
Same as above except 4mm square cross section: 100 pieces @ \$18.00 ea
Andrew, the above prices represent SGP machining the entire part, which is not quite as cost effective as creating a rod, then finishing it. Although, it is probable that the mechanically polished faces (as above) would actually be flatter and smoother. Also, the edges will be sharper.

For reference 2 mm rods would cost $3-4 \mathrm{k}$; only 206 mm rods needed per detector

## UTA News

- Submitted internal preproposal for Texas ARP
- Plan to submit DOE ADR
- Calculating background rejection as f(resolution)
- Calculating time distribution
- Asked for distribution of protons as $f(x, y)$ at 420 m ; haven't got it yet
- Poster session at UTA leads to EE contacts
- Pursue other fused silica vendors, or just buy these?
- Have no PMT news

